From: William C. Henchy [mailto:whenchy@family.net]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 5:36 PM

To: Appeals, Board of <appeals@sudbury.ma.us>; Jonathan F.X. O'Brien <<u>jobrien@murphyking.com</u>> **Cc:** Barbara Saint Andre <<u>bsaintandre@k-plaw.com</u>>; Garber, Glenn <<u>GarberG@sudbury.ma.us</u>>; Chris Claussen <<u>cgclaussen@gmail.com</u>>; Christopher Kennedy <<u>chris@northbankandwells.com</u>>; Joseph Hakim <<u>jehakim@optonline.net</u>>; Bob Engler <<u>rengler@s-e-b.com</u>>; Sudbury Water District

Trakini <u>Venakinie Optonime.net</u>z, bob Englei <u>Venglei w e-b.com</u>z, Sudbuly Water b

<<u>customerservice@sudburywater.com</u>>; Don Provencher <<u>DLProven@aol.com</u>>

Subject: Sudbury Water District Comments August 11, 2016

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board:

Please see attached for filing in this matter my response to the comments filed by the Sudbury Water District on August 11, 2016.

Thank you.

William C. Henchy Law Offices of William C. Henchy P.C. 165 Cranberry Highway Orleans, MA 02653 508-255-1636 508-255-1325 (fax) 508-246-6776 (cell)

whenchy@alumni.tufts.edu

www.henchylaw.com

LAW OFFICES OF

WILLIAM C. HENCHY, P.C.

165 CRANBERRY HIGHWAY ROUTE 6A ORLEANS, MA 02653 TELEPHONE: (508) 255-1636 FACSIMILE: (508) 255-1325

INTERNET: whenchy@alumni.tufts.edu www.henchylaw.com

August 16, 2016

Jonathan F.X. O'Brien Chairman Sudbury Zoning Board of Appeals Flynn Building Sudbury MA 01776

RE: Village at Sudbury Station; Sudbury Water District Comments dated August 11, 2016

Dear Chairman O'Brien and Members of the Board:

The Sudbury Water District, on August 11, 2016, submitted a comment letter to the Zoning Board of Appeals in which it suggested that the applicant's proposed private irrigation wells be subject to water restrictions as imposed by the Sudbury Water District. Apparently to be consistent with that proposed limitation on irrigation, the Sudbury Water District suggests that landscaping be drought resistant species and that lawn areas requiring watering be minimized.

This proposed limitation on irrigation directly conflicts with Article XVII of the Sudbury Water District's own By-laws which specifically provide that irrigation systems supplied by private irrigation wells, such as those proposed by the applicant here, are not subject to mandatory water restrictions issued by the Sudbury Water District. See http://sudburywater.com/town-of-sudbury-bylaws-related-to-water-district-issues/

I suggest that the condition proposed by the Sudbury Water District in this regard would be a blatantly discriminatory provision to place into any Comprehensive Permit issued to my client, where the Water District's own by-laws preclude any such restriction. Any such restriction upon the applicant is plainly not consistent with local needs as that term is defined in 760 CMR 56.02.

Finally, as this office has repeatedly stated, permitting of the wastewater treatment plant is subject to MassDEP permitting. It will fully comply with all MassDEP requirements under the general programmatic permit for small wastewater treatment plants. My client objects to the backdoor attempt by the Sudbury Water District to attempt a permit condition on this wastewater plant not within its jurisdiction, and therefore not within this

Board's jurisdiction either. <u>See Zoning Board of Appeals of Amesbury v. Housing Appeals Committee</u>, 457 Mass. 748, 756 (2010).

Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Very truly yours,

William C. Henchy

Wch/

cc. Sudbury Water District

Robert Engler

Christopher Claussen Christopher Kennedy

Joseph Hakim

Barbara Saint Andre Town Counsel