

Sudbury Historical Commission January 14, 2022 Letter to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Section 106: USACE NAE-2017-01406

ATTACHMENT A

Listing of SHC Questions

Determination of Adverse Effects

Confirm whether the DCR project will have an adverse effect on historic properties. And if so, on which properties and CMRRCHD features and how?

APE:

Has the Corps made a determination according to 36 CFR 800 of not only the areas in which the undertaking may directly cause impacts but also determined (made an assessment of) indirect impacts? Will this undertaking cause any indirect impacts? If so, what are the indirect impacts?

DCR's Standing

Explain the legality of DCR's standing under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and NHPA Section 106.

Updated Project Impacts Listing:

CMRRCHD Diamond Junction: Which project proponent will remove the Diamond Junction (with tracks) and when during the project Phases I and II will it be removed, and who will reset the Diamond Junction and when during the two project Phases will it be reset?

Because the 30 CMRRC railroad features are contributing elements of the NR-eligible CMRRC Historic District and within the APE, how will the Corps assure that adverse impacts will not happen to these railroad features that lie outside of the Limit of Work? How far outside the LOW is each railroad feature that is so indicated on the listing?

Post Review Discoveries Plan:

Does the LOW correspond to the APE? Is the LOW shown on the PRDP the same LOW for both Eversource's activities during Phase I and DCR's activities during Phase II?

This listing does not include the SHC's questions contained in the Commission's October 25, 2021 letter to the Corps.