

Present: Frank Riepe (Chairman), Deborah Kruskal, Dan Martin,  
Tris Windle, Linda Wade

***Sign Application – Sudbury Wine Warehouse, 5 Concord Road***

The applicant was represented by Robert Thompson of Jim Did It Signs Company.

The proposal was to replace all (4) existing McKinnon's signs with those bearing the name of the new business. The Chairman commented that it is unlikely the existing signs can be classified as pre-existing, non-conforming and that the replacement signs proposed cannot be approved. The bylaw allows 39 square feet of signage. The burden is on the applicant to prove such a protected legal status. In the event that it could be proved that one sign on each wall was pre-existing, non-conforming a motion was made and the Board voted to approve the layout with the letters being raised and the sign panels having a border. The proposal to change the color of the building could not be considered without the submission of the actual manufacturer's paint sample and number. The applicant was further advised that the building is now in a Historic District and therefore, any signs, colors and exterior changes must be approved by the Historic Districts Commission as well.

***Sign Application - Ti-SALES, 36 Hudson Road***

Kerry Olsen was present on behalf of the applicant.

The application is for a 16 square foot building sign. The Board finds the design satisfactory and approved the proposal as submitted.

***Sign Application – Sudbury Mobile, 432 Boston Post Road***

The applicant was not present. The Board did not take up the application.

***Sign Application – Yankee Tails Pet Boutique, 387 Boston Post Road***

Representing the applicant was Chuck Shea.

The application proposes a 25 square foot building sign. The Board is pleased with the design and unanimously approves the application as submitted.

***Minutes***

The Board approved the Minutes of 3-9-05 with one correction.

***Site Plan Review – Sudbury Meadows Comprehensive Permit  
Recommendations to Zoning Board of Appeals***

The Board reviewed the application submitted to the Zoning Board for a Comprehensive Permit (40B) development on North Road. No landscape design or building architecture was submitted. The Board opines the layout of the 16-unit development is mechanical and uninspired, and inconsistent with the neighborhood. In addition, it appears to be a self-storage facility in an industrial zone. The Design Review Board recommends an architecture of eight two-family houses that attempt to look like a single house (of which there are ample historic precedents in Massachusetts) be the design structure. Plans must be prepared by an architect registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The architecture must be compatible with the character of Sudbury.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.