



SUDBURY CONSERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES

Meeting Minutes of Monday, January 22, 2024

Present: David Henkels, Chair; Luke Faust (8:07PM); Jeremy Cook; Bruce Porter; Kasey Rogers; Mark Sevier; and Lori Capone, Conservation Coordinator

Absent: Ken Holtz, Vice Chair

The meeting was called to Order by Chair Henkels at 7:03PM via roll call.

Wetland Applications:

Notice of Intent: 38 Stubtoe Lane, DEP #301-1405

Chair Henkels re-opened the Hearing for the project to demolish an existing garage and porch, and construct an addition with garage, driveway, associated grading and utilities, within the 100-foot Buffer Zone, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Ms. Yang Jin and Mr. Scott Tingley were the applicants. This Hearing was continued from October 30, 2023.

Ms. Maureen Herald from Norse Environmental Services introduced herself, representing the applicants for the project. She outlined the developments since the last meeting in October. She noted the Commission's request to explore incorporating rooftop and driveway infiltration into the design, along with mitigation plantings. Ms. Herald expressed confidence that these measures addressed the Commission's previous concerns and invited any questions from the members.

Coordinator Capone expressed her belief that the proposal thoroughly addressed all the comments previously raised by the Commission. She highlighted how the proposal aimed to benefit the vernal pool ecosystem by introducing shrubs that would offer shelter and food to vernal pool species. She also emphasized that measures had been taken to address runoff concerns, including providing infiltration for runoff from both the existing and expanded driveways. She noted that she had provided draft special conditions for review by both the Commission and the applicant prior to the meeting.

Chair Henkels sought clarification from Coordinator Capone regarding the adequacy of the proposed shrubs in mitigating the project's impact. He specifically inquired about the high bush blueberry and the winterberry shrubs, questioning if they were sufficient. In response, Coordinator Capone noted that the project would only increase impervious surface by 670 square feet. She explained that the shrub planting would cover approximately one-third of this area. Additionally, she highlighted the inclusion of infiltration basins and trenches along the driveway, expressing her belief that these measures met the criteria for mitigation requirements. Commissioner Sevier expressed his support.

Chair Henkels opened the floor to members of the general audience. There were no further questions or comments.

On motion by Commissioner Porter to close the Hearing, seconded by Commissioner Cook, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Commissioner Capone stated that the Order was straightforward. She mentioned the existence of an operations and maintenance plan for the infiltration system, emphasizing the importance of adhering to it for future maintenance to ensure the system's effectiveness. Additionally, she noted that the site had been developed prior to the enactment of relevant bylaws or regulations, implying certain limitations on what could be done.

On motion by Commissioner Sevier to issue the Order of Conditions, seconded by Commissioner Rogers, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Notice of Intent: 143 Union Avenue, DEP #301-1402

Chair Henkels re-opened the Hearing for the project to construct an addition to a single-family home within the 100-foot Buffer Zone and the local 200-foot Riverfront Area, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Ms. Faye Zou was the applicant.

The applicant had requested the Commission continue the hearing to February 5, 2024.

On motion by Commissioner Rogers to continue the Hearing to February 5, 2024, seconded by Commissioner Cook, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Notice of Intent: 74 and 80 Maynard Road: Bonnie Brook Realty Corp., DEP #301-1341

Chair Henkels re-opened the Hearing for the project to construct a roadway and associated drainage system and utilities in 100-ft Buffer zone and Adjacent Upland Resource Area for a 6-lot residential subdivision, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Mr. John Derderian was the applicant. This Hearing was continued from June 7, August 9, September 27, 2021, August 22, 2022 and July 24, 2023.

The applicant had requested the Commission continue the hearing to March 11, 2024.

On motion by Commissioner Porter to continue the Hearing to March 11, 2024, seconded by Commissioner Sevier, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Notice of Intent: Lot 2 Brimstone Lane, DEP #301-1409

Chair Henkels re-opened the Hearing for the project to construct a new single-family home with associated pool, shed, stormwater management system, yard and landscaping within the 100-foot Buffer Zone and 200-foot Riverfront Area, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Mrs. Carrie Maciel was the applicant. This Hearing was continued from December 18, 2023.

The applicant had requested the Commission continue the hearing to February 5, 2024.

On motion by Commissioner Sevier to continue the Hearing to February 5, 2024, seconded by Commissioner Cook, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Notice of Intent: 6 Old Coach Road, DEP #301-1412

Chair Henkels opened the Hearing for the project to construct an addition and deck within the 100-foot Buffer Zone and 200-foot Riverfront Area, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Mrs. Julie Dereshinsky was the applicant.

Mr. Carr of Stamski and McNary, Inc., representing the applicant, provided a detailed overview of the proposed project. Mr. Carr outlined the proposed changes, indicating that the addition would replace the existing deck and stairs, creating a seamless connection between the dwelling and the garage. He noted that this addition would primarily occupy the area currently covered by the deck and walkway, minimizing additional disruption to the landscape. To offset the net increase in structure, Mr. Carr detailed plans to remove extraneous walkways and a gravel path in the backyard, ensuring minimal impact on the surroundings. Mr. Carr also proposed to convert a portion of the backyard lawn into a native planting area to mitigate environmental impact.

Addressing logistical concerns, Mr. Carr discussed proposed access routes for construction vehicles, indicating a strategy to minimize disturbance to sensitive areas. He also presented the inclusion of barriers to prevent construction activities from encroaching into unnecessary areas.

Concluding his presentation, Mr. Carr assured the Commission of his readiness to address any questions or concerns. His thorough understanding of the project's intricacies, backed by detailed figures and environmental considerations, reflected a commitment to both quality construction and environmental stewardship.

Coordinator Capone provided historical context, noting that the site predated a key bylaw from 1961. She emphasized the site's significant encumbrance by resource areas and explained efforts to minimize impervious covers and enhance the adjacent wetland through thoughtful design. Capone expressed suggested additional

erosion controls between the wetland and the planting area. Capone concluded by expressing overall support for the proposal, with the only outstanding concern being the pending DEP number, necessitating continuation to the next meeting for resolution.

Commissioner Porter inquired the addition would have a full foundation. Mr. Carr explained that there would be excavation for a foundation.

Commissioner Sevier sought further clarification on the foundation's functionality. Mr. Carr explained the plan to install a 4-foot frost wall as the foundation for the addition. Commissioner Sevier inquired if this meant there wouldn't be a basement underneath, to which Mr. Carr affirmed, indicating it would likely be some form of crawl space. Mrs. Dereshinsky confirmed this, mentioning Ms. Nancy Dickinson, the architect, who could provide additional insight.

Ms. Dickinson, of Dickinson Architects, proceeded to explain the foundation plan. She clarified their intention to retain the existing basement foundation and add a crawl space foundation for the expanded room above, with slab-on-grade in certain areas.

Commissioner Sevier then asked about modifications to the garage. Ms. Dickinson, in her role as the architect, responded that there wouldn't be any modifications planned. She aimed to design it to avoid needing to underpin it. However, she noted potential adjustments to the deck supports, particularly around where steps were being added. Ms. Dickinson assured that efforts were made to minimize disruption to the deck's layout, acknowledging the challenge it presented. Commissioner Sevier acknowledged the difficulty and expressed gratitude for the clarification.

Commissioner Rogers inquired about the disposal of dirt if any digging was required. Mr. Carr responded, explaining that they had designated ample space within the project area for soil storage. Ms. Dickinson stated that most of the digging would likely occur at the front of the property. Mr. Carr concurred, noting the availability of space for stockpiling soil.

Chair Henkels sought clarification on the location of erosion control barriers in relation to using the backside of the home as a stockpiling area. Mr. Carr responded, indicating the erosion control barriers on the plan and explaining that they would completely enclose the area of work. He mentioned Ms. Dickinson's earlier point about most of the digging likely occurring in the front yard and suggested that the soil stockpile would likely be situated accordingly.

Commissioner Rogers raised a concern about excess soil, questioning how it would be managed. Mr. Carr responded, suggesting that the excess soil would likely need to be removed unless the applicant had specific plans for it. He couldn't envision any on-site use for it, except for backfilling during foundation construction. Mr. Carr expressed doubt that there would be much leftover soil after this process.

Chair Henkels inquired about any considerations regarding bounds or restricted areas on the property. Mr. Carr responded that there were no proposed permanent markers, although areas with native plantings would be typical. Mr. Carr then presented an overhead view of the property, highlighting the gravel seating area and path leading to the back where native plantings were proposed. Chair Henkels sought confirmation that no trees would be removed, to which Mr. Carr affirmed that all work would be within previously developed areas. Chair Henkels opened the floor to the Commissioners for any further questions or comments. After addressing the audience for questions, Chair Henkels sought permission to continue the discussion until February 5, 2024 due to a pending DEP file number, which Mr. Carr confirmed.

On motion by Commissioner Sevier to continue the Hearing to February 5, 2024, seconded by Commissioner Cook, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Notice of Intent: 87 Cudworth Lane, DEP #301-1411

Chair Henkels opened the Hearing for the project to construct a garage within the 100-foot Buffer Zone, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Mr. Jehangir Jungalwala was the applicant.

Mr. Jungalwala, introduced himself and deferred most of the presentation to Mandy Hicks from GBI. Ms. Hicks elaborated on the proposal for constructing a residential garage at 87 Cudworth Lane. Hicks explained that the project aimed to seamlessly integrate with the existing topography and grade of the property, ensuring minimal disruption to the surrounding area.

Ms. Hicks outlined plans for excavation, foundation laying, and structure erection. She underscored the careful consideration given to the placement of the garage, noting efforts to align with existing elevations and maintain harmony with the landscape. Hicks also highlighted measures to address potential runoff and soil erosion, including the installation of a compost sock to prevent sediment discharge into nearby wetlands.

She provided insight into discussions with the Town Engineer regarding the proposed location, emphasizing collaboration to address any concerns raised.

As part of the presentation, Hicks addressed questions regarding the feasibility of alternative locations and potential adjustments to the garage's dimensions. She detailed conversations with the Town Engineer regarding site access and stormwater management, demonstrating a proactive approach to addressing regulatory requirements and mitigating environmental impact. Coordinator Capone raised concerns about construction within the Drainage Easement and the need for mitigation to offset impacts. She also noted an outstanding Order of Conditions related to prior mitigation efforts. Ms. Hicks discussed efforts to work with the Town Engineer to address concerns about the proposed location.

Discussion ensued about potential alternatives, including rotating the building and the challenges posed by the site's topography. Concerns were raised about the need for additional mitigation, particularly given the property's limited options. The Commission questioned the feasibility of alternative locations and potential mitigation measures.

Chair Henkels emphasized the need to wait for feedback from the Department of Public Works before proceeding. Capone suggested continuing the discussion to the February meeting to allow for further exploration of mitigation options and resolution of outstanding issues. Mr. Jungalwala agreed to the continuation.

On motion by Commissioner Cook to continue the Hearing to February 5, 2024, seconded by Commissioner Porter, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Certificate of Compliance:

Malavasic: 4 Southwest Circle (Lot 14 Peakham Road), DEP #301-384:

Chair Henkels recognized Coordinator Capone, who presented the plan approved in 1994. She highlighted the complexity of the situation due to the elapsed time since the plan's approval. Coordinator Capone pointed out the condition outlined in the Order, which required the installation of bounds along the erosion control boundary at the development's edge, to demarcate a no disturb zone.

Coordinator Capone mentioned that in 1997, an applicant sought a Certificate of Compliance, resulting in a Partial Certificate issued at the time. However, she noted that the bounds specified in the plan were not installed as expected. She reported that upon reviewing the site, only two bounds were found, neither of which matched the plan. Additionally, the Partial Certificate issued in 1997 was never recorded.

She explained that the property was now being sold, prompting discussions due to the discovery of the original Order of Conditions. Coordinator Capone expressed concerns about the lack of maintained boundaries and the presence of an irrigation system and lawn within the no disturb zone. She described how the property had changed over time, converting approximately 7,000 square feet of the no disturb zone to lawn.

Coordinator Capone presented three options for addressing the disturbance: allowing naturalization with potential invasive plant growth, implementing annual mowing to maintain an open meadow, or costly restoration to its original state as per the Order. She concluded by seeking direction on how to proceed, emphasizing the need for resolution before the property's potential sale at the end of the month.

Commissioner Rogers queried about who had neglected the Orders and Conditions regarding the property. Coordinator Capone admitted to not having a clear understanding of the specifics but mentioned gradual changes

observed in aerial photos over the years. She then mentioned the presence of Attorney Amy Weil, the seller's attorney, who might have additional information.

Atty. Weil, a real estate attorney representing the sellers, introduced herself. She explained that the current owners, Kathy and Michael Malavasic, purchased the property in 2012 without being informed about the existing Order of Conditions. Their attorney at the time of the purchase failed to disclose this information. Due to Mr. Malavasic's mobility issues, they are selling their current home in Sudbury to move into a more suitable property.

Atty. Weil emphasized that the Malavastics were unaware of the Order until recently, causing a delay in their closing and forcing them to temporarily stay in a hotel. She expressed the urgency of resolving the issue to facilitate a smooth transition for both the Malavastics and the new buyers. Atty. Weil concluded by seeking relief to expedite the process and minimize disruption for all parties involved.

Chair Henkels thanked Atty. Weil and sought clarification from Coordinator Capone or Atty. Weil regarding a Partial Certificate of Compliance issued in the past. He inquired about the specifics of the Partial Compliance and whether it had been filed with the Registry of Deeds.

Coordinator Capone responded, indicating that based on the meeting minutes, landscaping requirements had not been fulfilled and the required bounds were still to be installed. The Partial Certificate had not been recorded.

Atty. Weil interjected, explaining that the attorney handling the closing 12 years ago did identify the Order of Conditions on the title but failed to take any action, such as recording the Partial Certificate. She expressed regret for the lack of further information on why no action was taken at the time, despite reaching out to the attorney involved.

Chair Henkels opened the meeting by addressing Coordinator Capone about the options discussed previously regarding the property. He outlined the choices of restoring the area to an open meadow, decommissioning the irrigation system, revegetating the area, or allowing it to naturalize. He also mentioned the possibility of demarcation. Commissioner Sevier inquired about the buyers' awareness of the situation and their willingness to accept the encumbrance. Coordinator Capone confirmed that the buyers desired a usable yard similar to what they saw during the house viewing.

Commissioner Sevier expressed concerns about the changes over time and the responsibility of the property owner. Coordinator Capone acknowledged this and expressed hope for a compromise. Sevier questioned the feasibility of such compromises considering the original intent of the restrictions.

Chair Henkels speculated about potential options involving escrow for funding changes and discussed the process of obtaining permits for future work. Commissioner Sevier emphasized the importance of managing expectations and the practicality of certain solutions.

Chair Henkels addressed the need for further discussion and decision-making between the current owner and prospective buyers. Commissioner Porter emphasized the need for negotiations between the buyer and seller before any decisions were made by the Commission.

Commissioner Faust expressed discomfort with maintaining the status quo and suggested a plan for mitigation before making any decisions. Commissioner Rogers highlighted the need to focus on outstanding Orders and ensure Compliance.

Chair Henkels proposed tabling the discussion until the parties could further discuss the matter and suggested reconvening on February 5th. Chair Henkels concluded the meeting, encouraging further communication between the parties involved. Attorney O'Brien expressed concerns about the impact on the buyers and their expectations for the property.

Chair Henkels acknowledged the difficulties of the situation and the need for further deliberation. Atty. Weil expressed disappointment but agreed to the timeline, and Chair Henkels thanked everyone for their participation.

Other Business:

Agricultural License: Mark Kolonoski, Barton Farm:

Chair Henkels commenced the discussion on the Agricultural License application submitted by Michael Kolonoski for Barton Farm's annual sap harvesting endeavor. Coordinator Capone stated that this would mark the third consecutive year that Mr. Kolonoski had sought permission to tap five sugar maples. She commended Mr. Kolonoski's sensitive approach and confirmed that the buckthorn management had been completed.

Coordinator Capone reported that there had been no reported concerns regarding Mr. Kolonoski's operations and recommended that the Commission grant him a new license for the forthcoming year.

On motion by Commissioner Cook to renew the license, seconded by Commissioner Sevier, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Town Report

Coordinator Capone reported that the commission had convened 23 times throughout the year, including a public informational meeting on bow hunting. Out of the 22 meetings dedicated to Wetlands permit applications, they conducted a total of 116 Hearings. These Hearings resulted in the issuance of 24 Orders of Conditions for construction, 18 Determinations of Applicability for minor projects, 17 Certificates of Compliance, 2 Enforcement actions, and 3 Emergency Certifications, addressing flooding issues in the town.

Coordinator Capone highlighted significant projects undertaken during the year, notably the considerable attention devoted to rail trails, which have progressed well. She also mentioned progress made in managing water chestnut infestations, with substantial reductions achieved through treatments over the past few years.

Chair Henkels inquired about funding for the Hop Brook Association, to which Coordinator Capone confirmed the allocation of \$300,000 over three years, leading to a significant reduction in water chestnut populations. She further noted the success of similar treatments on the Sudbury River.

Coordinator Capone commended a noteworthy Eagle Scout project led by Cameron Rogers, enhancing biodiversity at the pollinator garden at Davis Farm. She also discussed efforts to manage beaver populations, with the installation of beaver deceivers at Hop Brook, resulting in a significant reduction in maintenance needs.

Coordinator Capone highlighted the contributions of the new Land Manager, Joe Miller, who had facilitated the monitoring of Conservation Restrictions and maintenance of the conservation lands. She noted an invasive species management webpage that he created and his significant assistance to facilitate updating trail maps, with plans for further initiatives to provide historical context and information on conservation lands. Additionally, she mentioned the Sudbury landscape project aimed at enhancing public understanding of the land and the town's development.

Chair Henkels expressed gratitude for Coordinator Capone's contributions, commending her efforts over the year.

On motion by Commissioner Porter to accept the Town Report, seconded by Commissioner Sevier, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Discuss Conservation Land Regulations Revisions

Coordinator proceeded to discuss several items that required the Commission's decision.

Concerning boat launches, she referred to Stearn's Mill Pond, highlighting the presence of access near the dam suitable for kayaks or canoes. However, she clarified that the property itself was not owned by the Commission and therefore shouldn't be included in their regulatory purview. Expanding on this, she drew a parallel with Willis Pond access, noting its absence from the Commission's management despite offering significant boat access.

Continuing the discussion, Coordinator Capone mentioned the Cutting trail easement, pointing out that although the Conservation Commission held an Agricultural Preservation Restriction over the land, it wasn't within their purview of management. Therefore, she argued against its inclusion in the Commission's regulations.

Coordinator Capone confirmed the Commission's ownership of a parcel on Run Brook Circle, offering to display the property on GIS for clarification. However, she cautioned that while the property provided access to Willis Pond, it wasn't good access and was not actively managed. Furthermore, she expressed concern about potential disruption to wetlands if the access point were to be continued, particularly given the formal access that is provided to Willis Pond.

Before proceeding further, Coordinator Capone sought a decision from the Commission regarding the handling of the boat launches, considering the various factors at play, including ownership, management, and environmental impact.

Commissioner Sevier expressed concurrence with Coordinator Capone's recommendation to remove the non-sensible options. Commissioner Rogers voiced agreement, and Commissioner Sevier specified his support for removing Run Brook Circle. Commissioner Sevier then shared observations of a canoe and dock near Webster Circle, suggesting potential confusion. Chair Henkels sought consensus, asking if the Commissioners agreed. Commissioner Porter, Faust and Cook affirmed their agreement.

Coordinator Capone provided clarification regarding the Commission's ownership, indicating that while they didn't own the highlighted portion, they did possess a triangular, pie-shaped piece nearby.

Describing the area, Coordinator Capone noted its forested nature, with marshy terrain leading to Willis Pond. She emphasized the necessity of accurately locating the access point to avoid potential trespassing issues onto neighboring properties. Coordinator Capone emphasized that while this section would be removed from the regulations, access to Stearns Mill Pond, Willis Pond, and the Cutting Trail Easement would still be available to the public.

Coordinator Capone proceeded to discuss additional changes in the regulations. She highlighted a qualifying statement added to clarify the applicability of regulations to all commission-owned lands, regardless of whether they had existing amenities or trails.

Regarding access hours and fees, Coordinator Capone noted a modification from the previous meeting. The regulations previously required organized user groups to provide land stewardship activities as part of their permit but lacked a clear definition of what constituted an organized group. To address this, she added a sentence defining such groups as those consisting of five or more people, requiring a land use permit. This adjustment aimed to assess proposed activities on Commission properties and ensure adequate parking for larger groups.

Coordinator Capone then emphasized that access to Commission properties was restricted to designated trails only, with off-trail use not sanctioned to protect wildlife habitats. However, exceptions could be made for activities aligned with land use permits, such as bow hunting programs or wetlands-related classes.

Moving on, Coordinator Capone addressed fishing regulations. While the regulations permitted fishing in designated areas with state licenses, the Commission lacked suitable facilities for this activity. She discussed the limited options for fishing on conservation lands, noting the small size and shallow nature of ponds at Hop Brook and King Philips, as well as the currently restricted access to the pond at Carding Mill.

Coordinator Capone noted the absence of suitable areas for fishing on Commission lands, indicating a need for further consideration or potential removal of fishing regulations from the Commission's policies.

Chair Henkels directed Coordinator Capone's attention to the topic of access to Carding Mill Pond. He noted that the primary access point appeared to be through the old farm, near the structure by the waterfall. Additionally, Chair Henkels mentioned the potential for access from Wayside Inn, acknowledging it as public space.

Coordinator Capone confirmed Chair Henkels' observations regarding access to Carding Mill Pond. She then solicited feedback from the Commissioners regarding the inclusion of fishing regulations in the policies.

Commissioner Sevier voiced concern that removing fishing regulations entirely would create uncertainty. He suggested either clarifying that fishing is not permitted on conservation land or retaining some mention of it in the regulations for clarity.

Coordinator Capone acknowledged his suggestion and proposed removing fishing regulations while providing a clear statement that fishing is not permitted on conservation land.

Chair Henkels sought input from the Commissioners, asking if they agreed with Commissioner Sevier's assessment or if they had alternative suggestions. Commissioner Faust expressed support for the proposed language, and Commissioner Cook voiced his support as well. Commissioner Rogers also agreed with the proposed change. Commissioner Porter concurred, and Chair Henkels indicated his agreement with the proposed approach.

Coordinator Capone proceeded to discuss additional sections of the regulations. She explained that the regulations now clarified that bow hunting and deer harvesting were permitted activities on commission lands, which had previously been unmentioned.

Coordinator Capone then addressed amendments to the animal section, to ensure alignment with town Bylaw. She noted the inclusion of language throughout the document indicating that dog owners should yield to horses and other trail users to prevent conflicts between user groups.

Moving on to the camping and fire section, Coordinator Capone explained modifications made to clarify that open fires were not permitted on Commission lands, while camping was allowed. This was due to Fire Department requirements. Camping stoves can be used with permits from the Fire Department. Additionally, she expanded the list of prohibited items to include all weapons, aside from bows and crossbows used in the bow hunting program.

Commissioner Cook raised concerns about the inclusion of pocket knives as weapons, noting their common use as tools. Coordinator Capone acknowledged the concern and suggested allowing exceptions for specific situations, such as work crews authorized by the Commission. Commissioner Rogers proposed a flexible approach, banning weapons but allowing judgment calls for items like pocket knives.

Commissioner Mark Sevier and others expressed agreement with this approach, with Commissioner Bruce Porter suggesting that the issue wasn't significant enough to warrant detailed restrictions. Chair Henkels sought confirmation from Coordinator Capone, who indicated her agreement with the proposed flexible language.

Coordinator Capone proceeded to discuss the section on vehicles, stating that it mainly involved cleaning up language and including etiquette guidelines for trail users. She mentioned that bikes should yield to others and highlighted the need to make users aware of farm equipment on some conservation lands.

Commissioner Bruce Porter raised a question about bicycles and whether information was available regarding approved routes. Coordinator Capone explained that currently, there were no designated trails for specific activities, but they were planning to designate trails for horses, bikes, and other activities in the future. She assured that they would include these designations in the next phase of the trail guides to ensure user safety and prevent erosion.

On motion by Commissioner Rogers to accept the revised regulations, seconded by Commissioner Faust, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.

Adjourn Meeting

On motion by Commissioner Cook to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Commissioner Faust, via roll call the vote was unanimous in the affirmative.