EVERSOURCE

Weekly Environmental Compliance Summary

Project Name:

Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project (USEPA Tracking # MAR1003UW)

Project Location:

Sudbury, Hudson, and Stow, MA

Week of: October 17, 2022

Summary of Activities Completed:

- Active construction on Project limited to substation work within fenced limits of substation
- Pre-Construction activities in Hudson roadways (no inspection required)

Active Work Areas Being Inspected:

- Sudbury Substation (Boston Post Road)

Upcoming Work Activities for Week of 10/31/2022

- Substation Construction (G. Greene)
- Establishment of Laydown Yards
- Installation of Construction Entrances along MBTA ROW portion of Project
- Finish Pre-construction activities in public roadways in Hudson

Distribution List

Lori Capone, Sudbury Conservation Agent
Kathy Sferra, Stow Conservation Agent
Pam Helinek, Hudson Conservation Agent
Adam Duchesneau, Sudbury Planning Director
Paul McKinlay, Weston and Sampson
Denise Bartone, Eversource
Matt Devlin, Eversource
Matt Lagoy, Eversource
Vinicius Ludovico, Eversource
David Caouette, PARE Corp.
Mike Hager, Eversource
Jason Languedoc, BOND
Matt Stock, BOND
Matt Stordy, BOND
Rebecca Weissman, SWCA
Ariel Leclerc, SWCA
Alison Holmes, SWCA
Megan Aconfora, Eversource
Darren Ducharme, ET&L
Jeff Polidor, HWG
David Klinch, Epsilon
Marty Dudek, CHG
Polina Safran, SWCA
Terry Ramborger, AECOM
Scott Egan, AECOM
Josh Surrette, Epsilon
Brianna Germain, Eversource
Miles Lang-Kennedy, Eversource
Mark Richardson, ET&L
Janet Carter Bernardi, HWG

CONSTRUCTION MONITORING REPORT
Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Project

EVERSOURCE

☒ Weekly ☐ Storm Event ☐ Other Date: 10/20/22 Time: 11:15am-12:00pm

Inspector name(s), title(s) and qualifications: Ariel Leclerc, Compliance Monitor, CESSWI, QCIS, QPSWPPP

Others present/affiliation(s): Eversource substation personnel

Precipitation/Weather (since last inspection): N/A, initial inspection

Weather conditions (time of inspection & future outlook): Clear, 52F

Inspection Location Description (include segment # and stationing): Sudbury substation

[+]Storm event info (approx): Start date/time:Duration:Amount of rainfall (inches): N/A

Project Name:
Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project

Project Location:
Sudbury, Hudson, Stow, and Marlborough, MA

USEPA #:
MAR1003UW

Summary of Activities/Locations Inspected (include segment # and stationing):

Active construction and E&S controls at the Sudbury substation

Inspection Notes:

Any Significant Discharges of Sediment (or other) or Non-Compliance Actions? ☐ Yes ☑ No

No discharges or no-compliance actions observed.

Identify presence of stockpiles and document when placed and when removed (week maximum for stockpiles) ☑ Yes ☐ No

Stockpile is present in southeast portion of substation. Filter tubes are installed around stockpile.

Compliance with Previous Observations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

N/A, initial inspection

New Corrective Action Recommendations ☐ Yes ☑ No

N/A, no corrective actions needed at this time.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

Compliant with applicable permits and applicable environmental requirements? YES ■ NO ☐ If not, explain: ____

Other Comments & Observations

Authorized Signature

10/20/22
Date

EVERSOURCE PROJECT MANAGER

Name: Mike Hager
Phone: 508-341-5815 (mobile)
Email: michael.hager@eversource.com

EVERSOURCE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT

Name: Matt Devlin
Phone: 508-596-0147
Email: matthew.devlin@eversource.com

EVERSOURCE CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR

Name:
Phone:
Email

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

Primary Contact (Epsilon Associates)
Name: Marc Bergeron (Epsilon Associates)
Phone: 508-212-0420 (mobile)
Email: mbergeron@epsilonassociates.com

Secondary Contact (SWCA)
Name: Rebecca Weissman (SWCA)
Phone: 339-203-7045
Email: rebecca.weissman@swca.com

PRIME CONTRACTOR (BOND)

Primary Contact (BOND)
Name: Matt Stock
Phone: 617-512-6766
Email: mstock@bond-civilutility.com

SUB CONTRACTOR (ET&L Corp.)

Name: Daren Ducharme
Phone: (978) 793-1287
Email: dducharme@etlcorp.com

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Ariel Leclerc, CESSWI, QCIS, QPSWPPP	**Title:** Compliance Monitor
Company Name: SWCA Environmental Consultants	**Email:** ariel.leclerc@swca.com
Address: 153 Cordaville Road, Suite 130, Southborough, MA 01772	**Phone Number:** 401-496-8471

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 10/20/2022	**Inspection Location:** Sudbury substation
Inspection Start Time: 11:15am	**Inspection End Time:** 12:00pm
Current Phase of Construction: Substation work	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Clear, 52F

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ■ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☐ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

■ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ■ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge
☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ■ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge
☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3. Filter tubes at substation perimeter	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	Filter tubes are installed per the plan and are operating properly.
4. Stockpile at substation and BMPs	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	Filter tubes are installed around stockpile and are operating properly.
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Sanitary waste facilities	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	☐ Yes ■ No	N/A	No issues observed.
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ■ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/20/2022
Printed Name: Ariel Leclerc, CESSWI, QCIS, QPSWPPP	**Affiliation:** Environmental Compliance Monitor
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.
- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction
If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location
If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)
Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency
Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event
If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls
Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing

from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event
3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes
For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL
Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Environmental Monitoring Photographs

Epsilon Associates Inc.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	**Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project**	**Town:** Sudbury
Photo No.: 1	**Date:** 10/20/2022	
Description: View of compost filter tubes installed along southern perimeter of substation. Filter tubes are in good condition and operating properly.		

Epsilon Associates Inc.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	**Site Location: Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project**	**Town:** Sudbury
Photo No.: 2	**Date:** 10/20/2022	
Description: View of compost filter tubes installed along eastern perimeter of substation. Filter tubes are in good condition and operating properly.		

Epsilon Associates Inc.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	**Site Location:** Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project	**Town:** Sudbury
Photo No.: 3	**Date:** 10/20/2022	
Description: View of stockpile area in southeastern portion of substation. Compost filter tubes are installed along stockpile. A section of filter tubes has been pulled aside for active work.		

Epsilon Associates Inc.		PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG
Client Name: Eversource	**Site Location:** Sudbury to Hudson Transmission Reliability Project	**Town:** Sudbury
Photo No.: 4	**Date:** 10/20/2022	
Description: View of compost filter tube that has been pulled away from stockpile for active work. Compost filter tubes at stockpile area are in good condition.		