

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING SUDBURY CONSERVATION COMMISSION Monday, October 3, 2022 at 6:45 PM Virtual Meeting

The Sudbury Conservation Commission will hold a public hearing to review the Notice of Intent filing to construct a single-family house with associated grading, utilities, and stormwater management within the 100-foot Buffer Zone, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw, at 219 Wayside Inn Road (L01-0002, L02-0003, L02-0013), Sudbury, MA. Elizabeth Rudenberg, Applicant. The hearing will be held on Monday, October 3, 2022 at 6:45 pm, via remote participation.

Please see the Conservation Commission web page for further information.

https://sudbury.ma.us/conservationcommission/meeting/conservation-commissionmeeting-monday-october-3-2022/

SUDBURY CONSERVATION COMMISSION 9/6/22



1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

WETLANDS NOTICE OF INTENT

for

PROPOSED HOUSE PROJECT

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, MA 01776

Prepared for:

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg (Elizabeth Rudenberg) 259 Foreside Road Falmouth, Maine 04105

Prepared by:

DGT Associates – Project Civil Engineer 1071 Worcester Road Framingham, MA 01701 508-879-0030

LIST OF INCLUDED DOCUMENTS

Notice of Intent Form (WPA Form 3) Signed

Sudbury Notice of Intent Checklist (next page)

ATTACHMENTS

1. Project Narrative

Includes: USGS Locus Map NHESP Map FEMA Firmette Map FEMA Flood Profile Site Photos

- 2. Copies of Filing Fee Checks and Sudbury Fee Information.
- 3. Copy of Draft Abutter Notice and Lists of Abutters
- 4. Wetland Delineation Report (March 26, 2020)
- Stormwater Report (Under Separate Cover): "Stormwater Management Design and Runoff Calculations Report for Proposed Single Family House" Dated August 30, 2022, by DGT Associates.

<u>SITE PLANS</u>: "Site Plan, H. Gunther Rudenberg Estate, Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA" Dated August 8, 2022 by DGT Associates (6 sheets).



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

Important:

key.

Note: Before completing this form consult your local Conservation Commission regarding any municipal bylaw or ordinance.

When filling out forms on the computer, use

only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return

A. General Information

1. Project Location (Note: electronic filers will click on button to locate project site):

219 Wayside Inn	Koad (Unomicial)	Sudbury	01776
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	c. Zip Code
		42.356283	-71.481283
Laulude and Long	jilude:	d. Latitude	e. Longitude
L01 - 0002, L02 -	0003 and L02 - 0013	See at left.	
f. Assessors Map/Plat	Number	g. Parcel /Lot Number	
. Applicant:			
Elizabeth		Rudenberg	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
259 Foreside Roa	nd		
d. Street Address			
Falmouth		Maine	04105
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
207-781-2223		elizabeth.rudenberg@	gmail.com
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
. Property owner (r CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru	equired if different from ap	pplicant): Rudenberg b. Last Name	nore than one owner
. Property owner (r <u>CO/ Elizabeth</u> a. First Name <u>Estate of H.G. Ru</u> c. Organization <u>259 Foreside Roa</u>	equired if different from ap denberg id	oplicant): Rudenberg b. Last Name	nore than one owner
. Property owner (r <u>CO/ Elizabeth</u> a. First Name <u>Estate of H.G. Ru</u> c. Organization <u>259 Foreside Roa</u> d. Street Address Ealmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id	oplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name	nore than one owner
. Property owner (r <u>CO/ Elizabeth</u> a. First Name <u>Estate of H.G. Ru</u> c. Organization <u>259 Foreside Roa</u> d. Street Address <u>Falmouth</u> e. City/Town	equired if different from ap denberg id	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine	nore than one owner
Property owner (r <u>CO/ Elizabeth</u> a. First Name <u>Estate of H.G. Ru</u> c. Organization <u>259 Foreside Roa</u> d. Street Address <u>Falmouth</u> e. City/Town 207-781-2223	equired if different from ap denberg id	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name <u>Maine</u> f. State	nore than one owner <u>04105</u> g. Zip Code
. Property owner (r <u>CO/ Elizabeth</u> a. First Name <u>Estate of H.G. Ru</u> c. Organization <u>259 Foreside Roa</u> d. Street Address <u>Falmouth</u> e. City/Town <u>207-781-2223</u> h. Phone Number	equired if different from ap denberg Id 	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address	nore than one owner <u>04105 g. Zip Code</u> gmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Roa d. Street Address Falmouth 	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address	nore than one owner <u>04105 g. Zip Code</u> gmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King	nore than one owner <u>04105 g. Zip Code</u> gmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Roa d. Street Address Falmouth e. City/Town 207-781-2223 h. Phone Number Representative (if Fredric a. First Name 	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner 04105 g. Zip Code ogmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Roa d. Street Address Falmouth e. City/Town 207-781-2223 h. Phone Number Representative (if Fredric a. First Name DGT Associates, 	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number any):	pplicant): Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Roa d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number any):	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner 04105 g. Zip Code ogmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number fany): Inc.	Deplicant): Check if model Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner <u>04105 g. Zip Code</u> gmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number f any): Inc. Road	Deplicant): Check if model Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner <u>04105 g. Zip Code</u> gmail.com
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id 	Deplicant): Check if m Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number any): Inc. Road	pplicant): Check if n Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name	nore than one owner 04105 g. Zip Code ogmail.com 01701 g. Zip Code
 Property owner (r. CO/ Elizabeth a. First Name Estate of H.G. Ru c. Organization 259 Foreside Road d. Street Address Falmouth e. City/Town 207-781-2223 h. Phone Number Representative (iff Fredric a. First Name DGT Associates, c. Company 1071 Worcester F d. Street Address 	equired if different from ap denberg id i. Fax Number any): Inc. Road 508-879-1797	Deplicant): Check if n Rudenberg Rudenberg b. Last Name Maine f. State elizabeth.rudenberg@ j. Email address King b. Last Name MA f. State f. State f. State f. State	nore than one owner 04105 g. Zip Code ogmail.com 01701 g. Zip Code

\$ 500.00	\$ 237.50	\$ 262.50
a. Total Fee Paid	b. State Fee Paid	c. City/Town Fee Paid

4



MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

Construction of a single family house with associated driveway, well, septic system, stormwater management and landscaping.

7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

1.	Single Family Home	2.	Residential Subdivision
3.	Commercial/Industrial	4.	Dock/Pier
5.		6.	Coastal engineering Structure

- 7. Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry)
- 9. 🗌 Other
- 7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

8. Transportation

	If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project. (See 310 CMR
	10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited project types)

2. Limited Project Type

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

Middlesex South	
a. County	b. Certificate # (if registered land)
7807 and 49650	Bk 7807 pg 267 and Bk 49650 pg 379
c. Book	d. Page Number

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- 1. X Buffer Zone Only Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- 2. Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

	<u>Resour</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
For all projects	a. 🗌	Bank	1. linear feet	2. linear feet
affecting other Resource Areas, please attach a	b. 🔛	Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. square feet	2. square feet
narrative explaining how the resource	c. 🗌	Land Under Waterbodies and	1. square feet	2. square feet
area was delineated		Waterways	3. cubic yards dredged	
	<u>Resour</u>	<u>rce Area</u>	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
	d. 🗌	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square feet
	е 🗌	Isolated Land	3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic feet replaced
		Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	
			2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic feet replaced
	f. Riverfront Area 1. Name of Waterway		1. Name of Waterway (if available) - sr	pecify coastal or inland
	2.	Width of Riverfront Area	a (check one):	
		25 ft Designated I	Densely Developed Areas only	
		🔲 100 ft New agricu	ltural projects only	
		200 ft All other pr	ojects	
	3.	Total area of Riverfront A	rea on the site of the proposed proj	ect: square feet
	4.	Proposed alteration of the	e Riverfront Area:	
	a.1	total square feet	b. square feet within 100 ft.	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft.
	5.	Has an alternatives analy	sis been done and is it attached to	this NOI?
	6.	Was the lot where the act	ivity is proposed created prior to Au	ıgust 1, 1996?
:	3. 🗌 Co	astal Resource Areas: (Se	ee 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)	
	Note:	for coastal riverfront area	s, please complete Section B.2.f. a	above.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Online Users: Include your document		<u>Resou</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	n Proposed Replacement (if any)
transaction number		a. 🗌	Designated Port Areas	Indicate size under Land I	Under the Ocean, below
(provided on your receipt page) with all		b. 🗌	Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet	
information you submit to the				2. cubic yards dredged	
Department.		c. 🗌	Barrier Beach	Indicate size under Coastal	Beaches and/or Coastal Dunes below
		d. 🗌	Coastal Beaches	1. square feet	2. cubic yards beach nourishment
		e. 🗌	Coastal Dunes	1. square feet	2. cubic yards dune nourishment
				Size of Proposed Alteration	<u>Proposed Replacement (if any)</u>
		f. 🗌	Coastal Banks	1. linear feet	
		g. 🗌	Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet	
		h. 🗌	Salt Marshes	1. square feet	2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation
		i. 🗌	Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet	
				2. cubic yards dredged	
		j. 🗌	Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet	
		k. 🗌	Fish Runs	Indicate size under Coastal Ocean, and/or inland Land above	Banks, inland Bank, Land Under the Under Waterbodies and Waterways,
		. 🗆	Land Subject to	1. cubic yards dredged	
	4		Coastal Storm Flowage	1. square feet	
4	4.	If the p square amoun	roject is for the purpose of footage that has been enter the here.	restoring or enhancing a wet ered in Section B.2.b or B.3.h	land resource area in addition to the a above, please enter the additional
		a. squar	e feet of BVW	b. square fe	eet of Salt Marsh
	5.	🗌 Pro	oject Involves Stream Cross	sings	
		a. numb	er of new stream crossings	b. number o	of replacement stream crossings



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklists – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

 Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI_EST_HAB/viewer.htm.

a. 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:
	Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
Current Mass. GIS	1 Rabbit Hill Road Westborough, MA 01581
 b. Date of map 	

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).*

- c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review*
 - 1. Dercentage/acreage of property to be altered:

(a) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

(b) outside Resource Area

percentage/acreage

- 2. Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
- 2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **
 - (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
 - (b) Photographs representative of the site

^{*} Some projects **not** in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see <u>https://www.mass.gov/ma-</u> endangered-species-act-mesa-regulatory-review).

Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

^{**} MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at <u>https://www.mass.gov/how-to/how-to-file-for-a-mesa-project-review</u>).

Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and *mail to NHESP* at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

- (d) Vegetation cover type map of site
- (e) Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries
- (f) OR Check One of the Following
- 1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, <u>https://www.mass.gov/service-details/exemptions-from-review-for-projectsactivities-in-priority-habitat</u>; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

2 🗆	Separate MESA review oppoind		
2.	Separate MESA review origoing.	a. NHESP Tracking #	b. Date submitted to NHESP

- 3. Separate MESA review completed. Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.
- 3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

a. 🗌 Not applicable – project is in inland resource area only	b. 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
---	----------	------

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:

South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, and North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border: the Cape & Islands:

Division of Marine Fisheries -Southeast Marine Fisheries Station Attn: Environmental Reviewer 836 South Rodney French Blvd. New Bedford, MA 02744 Email: <u>dmf.envreview-south@mass.gov</u> Division of Marine Fisheries -North Shore Office Attn: Environmental Reviewer 30 Emerson Avenue Gloucester, MA 01930 Email: dmf.envreview-north@mass.gov

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.

с. 🗌	Is this an ac	uaculture	project?
U	is this arrac	Judountaro	projour

	_	
d. 🗌	Yes	No

If yes, include a copy of the Division of Marine Fisheries Certification Letter (M.G.L. c. 130, § 57).

	Ma Bu W Ma	PAFORM 3 – Notice of Intent Assachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40	Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town
	C.	Other Applicable Standards and Requirements	(cont'd)
	4.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environ	mental Concern (ACEC)?
Online Users: Include your document		a. Yes No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions). Note: electronic	s to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP filers click on Website.
transaction		b. ACEC	-
(provided on your receipt page)	5.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Sta	Outstanding Resource Water ndards, 314 CMR 4.00?
supplementary		a. 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
submit to the Department.	6.	Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restrict	the Inland Wetlands ion Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?
		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
	7.	Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Manag	gement Standards?
		 a. Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by th Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if: 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design crossformwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3) 	e Stormwater Management edits (as described in
		2. A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment	
		3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Manage	ment System.
		b. No. Check why the project is exempt:	
		1. Single-family house	
		2. Emergency road repair	
		3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 sing or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with no o	le-family houses or less than discharge to Critical Areas.
	D.	Additional Information	
		This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip S Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Requir 10.12).	ection D and complete ed Documents (310 CMR

Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Sudbury City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

D. Additional Information (cont'd)

- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. \square List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

Site Plan, H. Gunther Rudenberg Estate, Prop	osed Residential Site Plan (Single Family House)
a. Plan Title	
DGT Associates	Fredric W. King, P.E.
b. Prepared By	c. Signed and Stamped by
August 8, 2022	1 inch = 20 ft.
d. Final Revision Date	e. Scale
See attached list of documents.	
f. Additional Plan or Document Title	g. Date
If there is more than one property owner, p	please attach a list of these property owners not

- 5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.
- 6. 🗌 Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. \square Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

1097	June 30, 2022
2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
1096	June 30, 2022
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
Elizabeth	Rudenberg
6. Payor name on check: First Name	7. Payor name on check: Last Name



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Prov Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

ided by MassDEP:	
MassDEP File Number	
Document Transaction N	lumber
Sudbury	•
City/Town	

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

- Elizabeth CoRndenberg DR HGRudenberg estate	8/8 2Z
1. Signature of Applicant	2. Date
Zlinabeth ERudenbero	
3. Signature of Property Owner (if different)	4. Date /
Fridie W. Kach	8/30/2022
5. Signature of Representative (if any)	6. Date

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a **copy** of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands **NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form**

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A. Applicant Information

1. Location of Pr	oject:		
219 Wayside	nn Road (Unofficial)	Sudbury	
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	
1096		\$ 237.50	
c. Check number		d. Fee amount	
2. Applicant Mail	ing Address:		
Elizabeth		Rudenberg	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
259 Foreside	Road		
d. Mailing Addres	 S		
Falmouth		ME	04105
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
207-781-2223		elizabeth.rudenberg@gn	nail.com
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
3. Property Own	er (if different):		
CO/ Elizabeth		Rudenberg	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
Estate of H.G.	Rudenberg		
c. Organization			
259 Foreside	Road		
d. Mailing Addres	S		
Falmouth		ME	0/105

3

c. Organization	.		
259 Foreside Road			
d. Mailing Address			
Falmouth		ME	04105
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
207-781-2223		elizabeth.rudenberg@gma	ail.com
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).

B. Fees

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.

Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

B. Fees (continued)

Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee
Category 2.a. Single Family Home	<u>1</u>	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
	Step 5/To	tal Project Fee:	\$ 500.00
	Step 6/I	Fee Payments:	
	Total	Project Fee:	\$ 500.00 a. Total Fee from Step 5
	State share	of filing Fee:	\$ 237.50 b. 1/2 Total Fee less \$ 12.50
	City/Town share	e of filling Fee:	\$ 262.50 c. 1/2 Total Fee plus \$12.50

C. Submittal Requirements

a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection Box 4062 Boston, MA 02211

b.) **To the Conservation Commission:** Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and a **copy** of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)



Town of Sudbury

Conservation Commission

Notice of Intent Submission Checklist

A complete application package (double-sided and collated) must be submitted by close of business a minimum of two weeks in advance of a scheduled meeting. The Commission generally meets every other Monday. A list of meetings and submission deadlines can be found on the Commission's webpage (<u>https://sudbury.ma.us/conservationcommission/</u>). Incomplete packages may be returned and/or cause delay of your project.

Supplemental information for continued hearings must be submitted by 3:30 pm at least 4 business days in advanced of the next scheduled Commission meeting.

REOUIRED DOCUMENTATION:

- 1. One original signature and one copy of completed Notice of Intent Application Form (WPA Form 3) signed by the Applicant and Property Owner.
- 2. One completed NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form Pages 1 & 2.
- 3. One copy of the following maps, all with the site clearly identified. (One can generate these maps be using the Town GIS at www.mapsonline.net/sudburyma/ or by using Oliver through MassGIS at: http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/map_ol/oliver.php.)



4

Two sets of full-sized stamped plans, including graphic scale (not more than 1 inch = 20 feet) and title block that shows proposed structures or modifications to existing structures, paving, drainage, or water control structures, and erosion controls. Be sure to include resource delineation, riverfront and/or buffer zones, and existing and proposed topography. GIS maps may be used for small projects at the discretion of the Conservation Commission.

- Plan revisions shall be clearly noted and dated on the plans.
- Colored plan shall be provided that clearly depict existing and proposed conditions with the following color scheme:

Color plans not provided.

- Existing conditions = Black
- Proposed Construction = Red
- Wetland boundaries = Blue
- Buffer Zone = Yellow
- Riverfront = Purple
- Proposed Tree line = Green
- Erosion Controls = Orange
- 5. One copy of the Abutter's list (certified by the Assessor's Office), Abutter Notification form, and proof of mailing. Notification can be either by hand or via certificate of mailing or certified mail. This certificate, return receipt green cards, copies of green cards, or proof of receipt of hand delivered mail, must be submitted prior to the hearing (scanned copies are OK).



Two copies of a narrative which should include the following information:

- Description of work within regulated resource areas, the buffer zones, and any impacts to these areas.
- Description of the project's compliance with the WPA performance standards. If work is proposed in the Riverfront Area, you must provide an alternatives analysis.
- Description of the project's compliance with the Sudbury Administration Wetlands Bylaw.



- If work is proposed within Adjacent Upland Resource Area, you must provide an alternatives analysis.
 - If work is located adjacent to a stream, you must provide the required evidence in accordance with Section 2.3 of the Sudbury Administration Wetlands Bylaw Regulations to determine whether the stream is intermittent or perennial.

Proposed mitigation for unavoidable project impacts to regulated areas. Provide the following information:

- Square footage of work proposed by type (i.e. disturbance, structures, impervious surface, etc.) within each regulated area, including the 100-foot Buffer Zone, 100-foot Adjacent Upland Resource Area, and 200-foot Riverfront Area (inner and outer riparian zones).
- Square footage of proposed mitigation by type (i.e. native plantings, invasive species removal, impervious surface reduction, etc.) within each regulated area.
- 8. Description of wetland resource areas, date delineated, and name of wetland scientist that conducted the delineation
- 9. Photos of the site.
- 10. Applicable Filing Fees under the Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Administrative Wetlands Bylaw Checks to the Town of Sudbury. See attached.
 - * Please note, a legal notice fee will be billed directly to the Applicant. The Applicant is responsible for the cost of the legal notice in accordance with the state Wetlands Protection Act [310 CMR 10.05(5)(a)] and Sudbury Administration Wetlands Bylaw.
 - 11. If applicable, one completed, signed Stormwater Management Form, Appendix C, if applicable. This does not apply to projects on single-family lots.
- 12. If applicable, one signed copy of any Operation and Maintenance Plans associated with elements located or that discharge to resource areas.
- ✓ 13. All documentation also must be provided in electronic format, including any revised information.

DEP MAILING

- 1. Send check for state fee made out to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and copy of NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form Pages 1 & 2 to: DEP, Box 4062, Boston, MA 02211.
- 2. Send one complete copy of the Notice of Intent application, including copies of all required maps, project plans, Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, list of abutters, Notification to Abutters Form, and a copy of the check for state and town fee payments to: DEP-NERO, Wetlands Division 205 Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887.



25052

ATTACHMENT 1: PROJECT NARRATIVE

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION:

The site is a 9.9 acre parcel of land at 219 (unofficial) Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA. The property is on the south side of Wayside Inn Road and the western property boundary is the Sudbury /Town Line with the City of Marlborough. The rear (southerly) boundary is the centerline of Hop Brook. The eastern property boundary abuts protected open space land owned by the Sudbury Valley Trustees. The property includes three parcels identified on the Sudbury Assessors Maps as Map L01- Parcel 0002; Map L02 – Parcel 0003; and Map L02 – Parcel 0013.

The majority of the property is forested with a dense stand of mature second growth White Pine. The rear (southern) portion of the site is open shallow marsh and shrub swamp adjacent to the open water of Hop Brook. Hop Brook flows easterly into the Gristmill Pond just off the southeast corner of the property. Hop Brook is a perennial stream that qualifies as a River under the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw.

The land is relatively flat with a very gradual slope from the road to the brook in the rear. The central and rear portion of the site is within the Flood Plain of Hop Brook. The boundary of the 100 year flood plain is at elevation 211.4 from the latest Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood profile information mapping. The central portion of the site is interspersed with low areas that have a predominance of wetland vegetation in the understory and two of the low areas have evidence of shallow temporary ponding.

The area along the road is upland and most of the rear portion is vegetated wetlands. There is a roadside drainage ditch within the Wayside Inn Road layout along the front of the site. There are small areas of upland between the low central area of the site and the marshes to the south, but those boundaries were not delineated. The uplands along the front portion of the property have Red Oak mixed in with the White Pine forest. The rear portion also has a White Pine overstory with Red Maple and some Elm in the understory.

The Wetlands Resource Areas at the site include: Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW); Riverfront; Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF); Banks and Land Under Waterbody (LUW) (of Hop Brook); with the associated Buffer Zones. The Buffer Zones are identified as "Adjacent Upland Resource Area (AURA)" under the Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw.

DGT Associates performed a field delineation of the boundaries of the Bordering Vegetated Wetlands and Estimated Mean Annual High Water (MAHW) of Hop Brook at the subject property in June of 2017 and March of 2020. The boundary of the BLSF was determined by field survey as the 211.4 FEMA Flood contour line.



Complete information on the wetlands delineations is contained in the delineation report in Attachment 4 and the surveyed locations are included on the Existing Conditions Plan and the Site Plans included in this filing.

WILDLIFE HABITAT OF RARE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

According to the latest Mass. Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) mapping on Mass. GIS, there are no areas of Estimated Habitat or Priority Habitat of Rare Species on or near the subject property.

There are no identified Certified or Potential Vernal Pools on the property. The nearest vernal pool is located in the rear of the nearby property at 129 Wayside Inn Road in Marlborough. That pool is located approximately 280 feet west of the subject property and about 1,000 feet from the proposed project work site. This vernal pool was observed by DGT to be very active with spring peepers and wood frogs chorusing in the spring seasons of both 2021 and 2022.

There are two low areas on the subject property that temporarily hold water in the spring. One is located within the wetlands in the central portion of the site, about 60 feet south of the BVW boundary. The other is located on the gas easement, about 250 feet from Wayside Inn Road. Both are very shallow (about 6 inches deep) and recede quickly to small puddles (approximately one month in the spring). No vernal pool activity was noted during the spring of 2021 and 2022 in these two areas. Additional information is included in the Wetland Delineation Report (Attachment 4).

PROPOSED PROJECT:

The proposed project is the construction of one single family house with attached garage on the site, with associated driveway, septic system, water supply well, and utilities in the northeast portion of the site. Due to the limited space available and required setbacks to the wetlands and drainage ditch for the septic system, the project is limited to a 3-bedroom house. The major portion of the project is within the 100 foot buffer zone and the Adjacent Upland resource Area of the BVW. No direct alteration of the BVW, Riverfront and BLSF is proposed.

The project has been designed to be as compact as reasonable and keeps the proposed alterations as far as possible from the wetland resource areas. The closest alterations to the BVW is 10 feet and generally varies from 10 to 40 feet from the worksite to the wetland.

The project will result in 18,700 sq. ft. of alteration on the 9.9 acre parcel (4.3% of the parcel). The area of alterations within the Buffer Zone/AURA is 17,300 sq. ft. Impervious surfaces include 2,125 sq. ft. of house and 1,800 sq. ft. of driveway and walkways. The house shown on the plan is a conceptual design at this time. The actual house has not yet been designed but will



August 20, 2022

be substantially within the footprint shown and no larger in area. The current concept for the landscape plantings and stabilization of the disturbed area includes the following:

- Lawn area will be limited to approximately 2,800 sq. ft. of area in the rear of the house and small areas around the side for foot access.
- The remaining 11,925 sq. ft. of disturbed area will be vegetated with native plantings including the following:
 - The sloping areas will be stabilized with a combination of native shrubs and New England Conservation Wildlife Mix seed.
 - The area over the septic system leaching field will be New England Showy Wildflower Mix.
 - The two rain gardens off the front corners of the house will be vegetated with New England Wetmix in the bottom, New England Erosion Control / Restoration Mix for Detention Basins on the inside slopes in combination with wet tolerant shrubs.
 - The area surrounding the rain gardens and front yard area would be a combination of New England Wildflower Mix and planting beds with trees and flowering shrubs.

Note that the plans for this project, Notice of Intent and other permit applications are being prepared for the Owners / Applicants to prepare for the sale of the property to other parties. It is not the intent of the owners / applicants to build the proposed project. Construction will be by the future owners to follow the plans and the requirements of the various permits. The future owners will be aware that any modifications to the plans will need further review by the permitting authorities.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The project as designed is intended to meet the requirements of the Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw and Regulations. Under the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Regulations, this project is exempt as a Single-Family House. However, this project is subject to the Sudbury Stormwater Regulations as a General Permit project. The following describes the stormwater management features planned to comply with these requirements.

Under existing conditions, stormwater runoff from the site drains uncontrolled and overland to the onsite wetland areas that drain to Hop Brook to the south. Hop Brook (A warmwater stream) and the gristmill pond downstream are not "Outstanding Resource Waters" or "Critical Areas under the Mass. Regulations. Wayside Inn Road in front of the site drains to roadside ditches. The roadside ditch along the front of the project site drains easterly to wetlands on downstream properties to the east. A portion of the ditch in front of the site is at a lower elevation and acts as a small stormwater infiltration area.

To meet the requirements of the Sudbury regulations, the stormwater from impervious surfaces need to be treated and controlled so as to provide water quality mitigation and to control the



August 20, 2022

runoff so as to not degrade water quality and not increase stormwater runoff to the wetlands. Essentially, this is to maintain the existing site hydrology. As required by the regulations, "Limited Impact Development (LID) techniques are to be utilized to the extent practicable to accomplish these objectives.

For this project the following stormwater management features are proposed:

- The roof runoff from the house will be directed to two small "Rain Gardens". These are
 to be located off the two front corners of the proposed house. These features are a form
 of Bio-Retention Basin that are vegetated and capture the runoff for infiltration through
 the vegetated bottom of the basin. They are classified as LID stormwater technologies.
 The bottom of the Rain Gardens are set at 2 feet above the high groundwater table for
 proper treatment and drainage. Overflows are provided in the event of extreme rain
 events. Due to the well-draining soils and relatively small area draining to them, these
 two facilities actually have the capacity to capture a 100 year storm event.
- 2. Porous Pavers: To capture and treat stormwater from the proposed driveway, a precast concrete permeable paver system is proposed for approximately 1,000 sq. ft. of the driveway. A small portion of the sloping entry drive will also drain onto the permeable surface. The pavement system has a crushed stone reservoir base that is set 2 feet above the seasonal water table and has the capacity to infiltrate up to a 100 year storm. This system is also classified as an LID Technology.
- 3. The entry driveway crosses the existing drainage ditch. To assure unrestricted flow of this Town owned drain, a 4 foot wide, open bottom precast concrete culvert is proposed. This will effectively bridge the ditch and has H-20 load capacity. Wayside Inn Road is a Scenic Road under the Town Bylaws. The driveway location was selected to avoid removal of any existing town trees. The proposed use of fieldstone along the sides of the driveway will provide a rustic bridge appearance in keeping with the aesthetics of the area and purpose of the Scenic Road Bylaw.

Detailed stormwater calculations and information are contained in the Stormwater Management Report in Attachment 5 of the NOI documents. The document includes a Stormwater Operation and Maintenance Plan for all stormwater components with detailed instructions for future property owners.

PROPOSED 100 FOOT ADJACENT UPLAND RESOURCE AREA (AURA) MITIGATION

Due to the limited upland area on this site, the proposed project requires alterations near the wetlands. To minimize the impact on the AURA, the proposed house is a modest size with the layout kept as compact as possible, and the alterations are kept to a minimum area. Formal lawn is minimized, and native plantings are proposed to vegetate the areas beyond the formal use areas to restore as much of the AURA in natural landscape and for wildlife habitat value.



August 20, 2022

The septic system has been designed utilizing a "Presby Enviro-Septic" leaching area which is an Innovative Alternative (IA) design approved by the Mass. DEP for general use. This has been approved by the Board of Health for use on this project as it lowers and reduces the footprint of the systems, eliminates the need for retaining walls and reduces the area of alteration significantly from a conventional Title 5 system.

ALTERNATIVES: Given the location and configuration of the upland area of at this site, the proposed location is the only suitable area for this project on this 9.9 acre parcel. For the overall project scope there appears to be no available alternatives.

The following are additional mitigation measures intended to preserve and protect the wetlands resource areas on the site:

• Permanent Preservation of the rear portion of the site:

This property is in the Wayside Inn Historic Preservation Zoning District. Under that District, the minimum lot size for building lots is five acres. To remain as a building lot the owner is proposing dividing the lot to keep 5.1 acres with the house lot. The owners of the property have been in communications with the Sudbury Valley Trustees (SVT) to transfer approximately 4.8 acres of the parcel along Hop Brook to the SVT for permanent open space protection. The SVT presently owns 1.67 acers of land with frontage on Wayside Inn Road that abuts the east side of the subject property. This would bring their holdings to nearly 6.5 contiguous acres.

• Possible provision of a Conservation Restriction on the undeveloped portion of the 5.1 acre building lot:

To prevent future encroachments into the wetland resource areas, the rear portion of the 5.1 acre lot could be placed in a formal Conservation Restriction (CR). The CR could be held by either the SVT or the Town with the limited allowable uses of the property specifically determined in the CR documents.

• Permanent Markers for Limits of Use of the Building Lot:

The proposed limits of lawn and landscaping requiring maintenance by the homeowner are shown on the plan. The proposal is to provide permanent markers consisting of boulders to serve as a clearly visible marked boundary. The land beyond the markers are to not be disturbed other than allowable open space management activities as specified by the Conservation Commission and CR documents. An alternative to the boulder markers could be post and rail fencing and / or bounds as may be determined by the Conservation Commission through the wetlands Order of Conditions and CR documents.

END OF NARRATIVE



LOCUS MAP



Property Tax Parcels USGS Topographic Maps

NHESP DATA MAP



NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species

None near site.

NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife

None near site.

Potential Vernal Pools

o None near site

NHESP Certified Vernal Pools

* On nearby property to the west. Property Tax Parcels Shown





SITE PHOTOS (3/15/2020)



Open marshes at rear of site

MAHW #1 elevation at spike in tree



Transition from marsh to wooded wetland.







SITE PHOTOS



Short term ponding in wetland (3/12/2020)



Short term ponding at Gas line (4/1/2022)



Short term ponding in wetland (4/1/2022)



Stone wall at proposed driveway looking west. (4/1/2022)



Stone wall from proposed driveway looking east. (4/1/2022)



Drain ditch with puddle after rainstorm looking east. (4/1/2022)

SITE PHOTOS



Typical Upland Area (4/23/2020)



Typical Upland Area (4/23/2020)



Roadside Drain Ditch (3/12/2020)



Roadside Drain Ditch (3/12/2020)



Road drain inlet to ditch. (3/12/2020)

RUDENBERG NOI

ATTACHMENT 2 COPIES OF FILING FEE CHECKS AND SUDBURY FILING FEE INFORMATION

Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw Fee Payments For All Applications:

Category A: Single minor project -- i.e., house addition, tennis court, swimming pool, or other accessory residential activity \$25 per project

Category B: New single family dwelling **\$250**

- Category C: Subdivision--road and utilities only \$500 plus \$2 per foot of road sideline within a resource area
- Category D: Drainage, detention/retention basins \$500 plus \$2 per 100 cubic feet of basin within a resource area
- Category E: Multiple Dwelling Structure \$500 plus \$100/unit, all or part of which is within a resource area
- Category F: Commercial and Industrial Projects \$500 plus \$0.50 per square foot of disturbance in an undeveloped resource area
- Category G: Application filed after Enforcement Order double the above fee
- Category H: Determination of Applicability no charge
- Category I: Remediation of a Contaminated Site or Enhancement of a Degraded Resource (excluding violations) \$25.00/project

Additional Fees:

Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation:

New Construction: **\$500 plus \$2.00 for each linear foot of resource area subject to the Bylaw** Existing Developed Single Family Lots: **\$25.00**

Inspection Fee: \$50.00 for each status inspection conducted as a follow up to a Notice of Violation.

RUDENBERG NOI

ATTACHMENT 3 ABUTTER NOTICE AND LISTS

INCLUDES:

- DRAFT OF ABUTTER NOTICE
- SUDBURY ABUTTERS LIST (VERIFIED)
- MARLBOROUGH ABUTTERS LIST (VERIFIED

Notification to Abutters DRAFT Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Wetlands Administrative Bylaw

In accordance with the second paragraph of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, Section 40, you are hereby notified of the following:

- A. The name of the <u>Applicant is</u> Estate of H.G. Rudenberg
- B. The Applicant has filed a Notice of Intent with the Sudbury Conservation Commission seeking permission to work in an Area Subject to Protection (Wetland Resource Area and/or Buffer Zone) under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (General Laws Chapter 131, Sec.40) and the Town of Sudbury Wetlands Administrative Bylaw.
- C. The <u>address</u> of the lot where the activity is proposed: <u>Wayside Inn Road (219 unofficial)</u>
- D. The **proposed activity** is: Construction of a single family house with associated driveway,

well, septic system, stormwater management and landscaping.

- E. A **Public Hearing** regarding this Notice of Intent will be held on: Monday, ______at 6:45 PM.
- F. **Public Participation will be via Virtual Means Only** In light of the ongoing COVID-19 coronavirus outbreak, Governor Baker issued an emergency Order on March 12, 2020, allowing public bodies greater flexibility in utilizing technology in the conduct of meetings under the Open Meeting Law. The Town of Sudbury Conservation Commission greatly values the participation of its citizens in the public meeting process, but given the current circumstances and recommendations at both the state and federal levels to limit or avoid public gatherings, including Governor Baker's ban on gatherings of more than 10 people, together with the present closure of Sudbury Town Hall and other public buildings to the public, the Town has decided to implement the "remote participation" procedures allowed under Governor Baker's emergency Order for all boards, committees, and commissions.

G The public may participate in this meeting via Remote Participation:

From your computer, smart phone or tablet:

- Meeting ID:
- From your phone: **978-639-3366** or **470 250 9358**
- H Copies of the Notice of Intent may be examined by visiting this Website: <u>https://sudbury.ma.us/conservationcommission/meetings/</u>
- I. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained from either The Applicant, or the Applicant's representative <u>DGT Associates</u>, by calling this telephone number: <u>508-879-0030</u> between the hours of <u>8:30 am 5:00 pm (M F)</u>Wayside

Note: Public Hearing Notice, including its date, time, and place, will be published at least 5 days in advance in the MetroWest newspaper (at the applicant's expense).

Abutters Report

Abutters List

Date: June 02, 2022

Subject Property Address: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Subject Property ID: L01-0002

Subject Property Address: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Subject Property ID: L02-0003

Subject Property Address: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Subject Property ID: L02-0013

Search Distance: 100 Feet

Prop ID: L01-0001 Prop Location: 202 WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Owner: MAYS ROBERT HARVEY & GAIL GAO Co-Owner: TRUSTEES THE WAYSIDE INN ROAD Mailing Address:

202 WAYSIDE INN RD SUDBURY, MA 01776

Prop ID: L01-0003 Prop Location: 220 WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Owner: HAGGARD RICHARD L & JAYNE E Co-Owner: Mailing Address: 220 WAYSIDE INN RD SUDBURY, MA 01776

Prop ID: L02-0004 Prop Location: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Owner: SUDBURY VALLEY TRUSTEES INC Co-Owner: Mailing Address: 18 WOLBACH RD SUDBURY, MA 01776

Prop ID: L02-0005 Prop Location: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Owner: MOORE KENNETH & JANE I print this list

6/2/22, 11:14 AM

Abutters Report

Co-Owner: Mailing Address: 406 RUSH MEADOW RD BROWNSVILLE, VT 05037

Prop ID: L02-0011 Prop Location: WAYSIDE INN RD Sudbury, MA Owner: SUDBURY VALLEY TRUSTEES INC Co-Owner: Mailing Address: 18 WOLBACH RD SUDBURY, MA 01776

VERIFIES DURNEDS: JUNE 3, 2022 JOHAN J. IRISH

н. Н

TOWN OF SUDBURY BOARD OF ASSESSORS 278 OLD SUDBURY ROAD SUDBURY, MA 01776

a feath care and a feath



V. 201477_900 37A-3 344	r Property Address								
7_900 37A-3 5_900 37A-5		Owner Name	Co-Owner Name	Owner Address	Owner Address	Owner City	Owner State	Owner Zip	Use Code
6_900 37A-5	SUDBURY ST	HAGGARD RICHARD	JAYNE E HAGGARD	220 WAYSIDE INN RD	7	SUDBURY	MA	01776	1320
	2 SUDBURY ST	HIGGINS DANIFI P	MICHELE M	2 SUDBURY ST		MARLBOROUG	MA	01752	1010
47_900 49-2	153 WAYSIDE INN RD	LACERRA JOHN M	KATHLEEN	153 WAYSIDE INN		MARLBOROUG	MA	01752	1010
08_900 49-6	152 WAYSIDE INN RD		MARY R	152 WAYSIDE INN		H MARLBOROUG	MA	01752	1010
49_900 49-7	164 WAYSIDE INN RD	LUSSIER	MARCIA LUSSIER	164 WAYSIDE INN		A MARLBOROUG	MA	01752	1010
91_900 49-9	180 WAYSIDE INN RD	BENNETT ROBERT	BENNETT 1 KIMBERLY F	180 WAYSIDE INN		A MARLBOROUG	ЧА	01752	1010

John H. Valade




1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

March 26, 2020

25052

Ms. Elizabeth Rudenberg 259 Foreside Road Falmouth, ME 04105

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA-Wetland Resource Area Delineation

Dear Ms. Rudenberg,

DGT Associates performed a field delineation of the boundaries of the Bordering Vegetated Wetlands and Estimated Mean Annual High Water (MAHW) at the subject property. A preliminary delineation was performed on June 9, 2017 and the formal delineation with transects and data plots was performed on March 12, 2020. The delineation was performed by this writer, Fredric King, Senior Wetland Specialist for DGT Associates.

The delineations were performed to define Wetlands Resource Area Boundaries under the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Wetlands Protection Bylaw for use in the planning for anticipated projects at the subject site.

Following the field delineation, the flags were survey located by the DGT project surveyors as part of the topographic survey of the site. The topographic plan produced contains the location of the delineation flags, mean annual high water determination and Riverfront boundary, and the associated wetland Buffer Zones.

The delineation included the Bordering Vegetated Wetlands and Mean Annual High Water Line of the perennial stream located in the rear (southern) portion of the site. The resource area delineations were for the northern side of stream. For "Land Subject to Flooding", the survey plan includes the FEMA Flood Hazard Map boundary as determined by field survey of the elevation from the FEMA Flood Profile data of the 1% flood elevation (AKA 100 year flood). That elevation contour is also on the Topographic Survey Plan.

This report also included information relative to Priority Habitats or Rare Species and Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife from the Mass. Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program mapping for planning purposes.

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION:

The site is a 9.5 acre parcel of land at 219 Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA. The property is on the south side of Wayside Inn Road and the western property boundary is the Sudbury /Town Line with the City of Marlborough. The rear (southerly) boundary is the centerline of Hop Brook.



March 26, 2020

The majority of the property is forested with a dense mature second growth stand of White Pine. The rear (southern) portion of the site is open shallow marsh adjacent to the open water of Hop Brook. Hop Brook flows into the Gristmill Pond just off the southeast corner of the property. Hop Brook is a perennial stream that qualifies as a River under the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Wetlands Bylaw.

The land is relatively flat with a very gradual slope from the road to the brook in the rear. The central and rear portion of the site is within the Flood Plain of Hop Brook. The boundary of the 100 year flood plain is at elevation 211.4. The central portion of the site is interspersed with low areas that have a predominance of wetland vegetation in the understory and one of the low areas have evidence of shallow temporary ponding.

The area along the street is upland and most of the rear portion is vegetated wetlands. There are small areas of upland between the low central area and the marshes to the south but the majority of those areas are still below the floodplain elevation. The uplands along the front portion of the property have Red Oak mixed in with the White Pine forest. The rear portion also has a White Pine overstory with Red Maple and some Elm in the understory.

SOILS

The NRCS Soil Survey of Middlesex County indicates that the near-surface soils (within about 70 inches from ground surface) at the site are in an area of glacial outwash (sand and gravel) soils. The soils along the brook in the southern portion of the site are classified as Freetown Muck. The soils in the remainder of the site are classified Deerfield Loamy Sand. The latter soils typically have hydric inclusions along streams that consist of Sudbury soils. The Sudbury soils have shallow seasonal groundwater and include wetland areas when near a stream, and can include ponding in depressions.

During our wetland delineation work, the soil conditions found generally verified the NRCS soil mapping as described in the general description above.

WETLAND RESOURCE AREAS

The purpose of this wetland resource area delineation is to show the boundaries of the wetlands resource areas and the regulated buffer zones in order to determine the portions of the site for possible residential development. The wetland resource areas at this site include: Land Under Water Bodies and Waterways (Hop Brook); Banks (Hop Brook); Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (BVW); Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF); and Riverfront.

In this case, the Land Under Water Bodies and Waterways and Banks of Hop Brook were inaccessible at the time of the delineation and are not critical to the determination for this study as the BVW extends much farther inland and will be the controlling boundaries with related



Buffer Zones. The limit of the Riverfront boundary and BLSF are also important to see if those boundaries have any impact on the developable area. So those areas have been determined.

200 FOOT RIVERFRONT

The inner boundary of the Riverfront is determined as the Mean Annual High Water Level (MAHW) of the perennial stream. This level is determined using "Bankfull Indicators" per Mass. DEP Guidelines. Due to the relatively flat topography of the land adjacent to the stream, it is nearly impossible to accurately follow and flag the limit by field observation. For these situations, the delineation is best determined by finding the best locations of Bankfull Indicators and mark that elevation with a Benchmark. Then, the elevation of these benchmarks are located on the ground by the Surveyors. The location of this elevation contour is then surveyed in a method called "chasing the contour". This is a very accurate method of determining MAHW boundary.

In this case the Estimated MEHW level was determined at two locations which were the water marks found on older trees within the area that floods on an annual basis. Cut spikes were set at the determined level and the trees were marked with pink survey ribbon. MAHW 1 is on an 8 inch maple tree in the southeast corner of the site (downstream end), and MAHW 2 is on a 12 inch maple in the southwest corner of the site (upstream end).

The elevation of MEHW 1 in the eastern portion of the site was found to be elevation 210.47, and MAHW 2 in the western (upstream) portion of the site was at elevation 210.69. As would be expected, MAHW 2 is slightly higher than MAHW 1 indicating the gradient of the stream during annual flooding. Since there was only a slight difference, we used the elevation at MAHW 1 for the eastern half of the line and MAHW 2 for the western portion. The results of the survey are shown on the plan, and the 200 Foot Riverfront Boundary is shown from that line.

BORDERING VEGETATED WETLANDS (BVW)

The delineation of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands was performed in accordance with current Mass. Department of Environmental Protection methodology as contained in the DEP Handbook "Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act" dated March 1995.

The BVWs at the site are classified as a Wooded Swamp that borders on Hop Brook. The delineation was performed using vegetation, soils and other indicators of wetland hydrology. To delineate the boundary, constant field estimations of wetlands vegetation and frequent soil observations with a hand soil auger were performed. To aid in this delineation and to provide the required supporting documentation, two observation transects with sample plots were performed and documented. The DEP Field Delineation Forms are included in Attachment 2 of this report. The transect location is shown on the Survey Plan.



March 26, 2020

The delineation was performed on March 12, 2020 under early spring conditions, however, a preliminary delineation was performed on June 9, 2017 so I was familiar with the site during the growing season. The site is a dense white pine forest with minimal herbaceous ground cover and there was good evidence of the remains of what herbaceous cover there was on the March 12th delineation work. Due to the very gradual grade of the terrain, and the dense white pine overstory, the transition from wetland to upland is not distinct. (Note that white pine is a Facultative Upland species.) The primary determining factor in this case is the soils. The documentation provided in the data plots demonstrated that there is a subtle difference in the understory and subdominant tree species between the upland and the wetland, thereby supporting the line determined.

Due to the consistent loamy sand and fine sand soils below the topsoil, the soil determination for hydric vs non-hydric soils is quite distinct. This provided supportable confidence in the delineation made.

BORDERING LAND SUBJECT TO FLOODING

The site is shown on the latest FEMA National Flood Insurance Program mapping as being in a FEMA Flood Zone A. An actual flood elevation has been determined by FEMA. Per the FEMA Flood Profile data, the elevation of the 1% chance (AKA 100 year flood) for this reach of Hop Brook is 211.4 (NAVD 88 datum).

DGT surveyed the 211.4 contour line on the site and this is shown on the topographic plan.

MASS NATURAL HERITAGE ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM

According to the latest Mass, Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program (NHESP) mapping on the Mass. GIS, there are no areas of Estimated Habitat or Priority Habitat of Rare Species on or near the subject property. There are also no identified Certified or Potential Vernal Pools on or near the site. (See Attachment 3).

During our wetlands delineation work on March 12, 2020, we noted only one area that contained ponded water. This delineation was following a heavy rain two days previous. This area was in the central portion of the site and was quite small and only a few inches deep. From water marks on the ground, it was noted that the ponding was receding quickly following a recent rain. Photos are included in Attachment 4. No activity of vernal pool species was observed at that time. We will monitor the area over the next few weeks.



March 26, 2020

Please note that the delineations performed are based on best professional judgment and interpretation per the applicable regulatory guidelines. The delineations are not an official "Determination" under the applicable wetlands laws and regulations until accepted by the Conservation Commission or Mass. DEP through the filing of an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation or a Notice of Intent under the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act and local wetlands laws and regulations.

If you have any questions regarding the delineation or this report, please contact me.

Sincerely, **DGT Associates**

Fredric W. King

Fredric W. King, PE Senior Engineer & Wetland Specialist

Attachments:

- 1. NRCS Soils Map
- 2. DEP Field Delineation Forms
- 3. NHESP Habitat Map
- 4. Photos of Isolated Ponding
- 5. Topographic Survey Plan.



25286

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA Wetland Resource Area Delineation Report

March 26, 2020

ATTACHMENT 1

NRCS SOILS MAP

DATA LAYERS FROM MASS GIS





25286

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA Wetland Resource Area Delineation Report

March 26, 2020

ATTACHMENT 2

MASS. DEP FIELD DELINEATION FORMS

Applicant: Rudenberg	Prepared by:	FWK	Project location:	219 Wayside Inn Rd DEP Fi	le #:
Check all that apply:					
Vegetation alone presumed adequate to	delineate BVW b	oundary: fill out Se	ection I only		
Vegetation and other indicators of hydrol	and used to delig	posto BV/W/ bounds	any: fill out Sections I	and II	
			ary. III out Sections I		
Method other than dominance test used	attach additiona	I information)			
Section I. Vegetation Observation Plot	Number: P1_	Tran	sect Number:1_	Date of Deline	ation: <u>3/12/20</u>
A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B	. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Trees					
White Pine (Pinus strobus) 24" 12"		562 sq in	80.5	Yes	FACU
Red Maple (Acer rubrum) 7" 11"		136 sq. in.	19.5	Yes	FAC
Shrub/Sapling/Vine					
White Pine (Pinus strobus)		10.5	63.6	Yes	FACU
Greenbriar (Smilax rotundofolia)		3.0	18.2	No	FAC
		3.0	10.2	NU	TAC
Ground Cover					
White Pine seedling (Pinus strobus)		3.0	77.8	Yes	FACU
Cinnamon Fern (Osmunda cinnamomea)		10.5	22.2	Yes	FACW
* Use an asterisk to mark wetland indicator plants: plant FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with phy physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the	species listed in tl /siological or morp adaptations next	he Wetlands Protect bhological adaptatior to the asterisk.	ion Act (MGL c.131, s. ns. If any plants are ide	40); plants in the genus <i>Sphag</i> entified as wetland indicator pla	<i>num</i> ; plants listed as nts due to
Vegetation conclusion:					
Number of dominant wetland indicator plants:	2	Number of do	minant non-wetland	d indicator plants: 3	
Is the number of dominant wetland plants equa	to or greater th	nan the number o	f dominant non-we	tland plants? Yes	No X
If vegetation alone is presumed adequate to delineate the E	3VW boundary, sub	mit this form with the	e Request for Determina	tion of Applicability or Notice of	MA DEP; 3/95

DEP Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (310 CMR 10.55) Delineation Field Data Form

Intent.

8

/95

P1 c	f T1 – Page 2 Section II. Indicators of Hydrology Hydric Soil Interpretation					Indicators of Hydrology: (check all that apply and describe) Site inundated:				
						Depth to free water in observation hole: 18"				
	1. Soil Survey			\square	Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: <u>16</u> "					
	Is there a public	shed soil survey fo	or this site? Yes X	No		Water marks:				
		Date 2009	and the sex County, Massachusett	5		Drift lines:				
	soil type i	mapped: 256 B	Deerfield Loamt Sand (Uplan		Sediment deposits:					
	hydric soil inclusions: 256A/B Deerfield Loamy Sand (has Hydric inclusions)					Drainage patterns in BVW:				
	Are field observ	vations consistent	with soil survey? Yes		Oxidized rhizospheres:					
	Remarks: Rei Ge	nerally slopes grad	ually from front toward brook		Water-stained leaves:					
						Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):				
	2. Soil Descrip Horizon	tion Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color		Other				
	Ap - FSL B - LS	0 -11" 11 – 18"	10 YR 2/2 10 YR 3/4	None 10 YR 5/6 Com		Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion				
	2 20				Num ≥ nu	Yes No nber of wetland indicator plants				
	Remarks: Saturated at 16". 3. Other: Conclusion: Is soil hydric? Yes Marginal					land hydrology present:				
						other indicators of hydrology Present Sample location is in a BVW				

Appendix G

Submit this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.

82

U	
xibr	Applican
ber	Check a
Ap	
	x

	DEP Bor	dering Vegetate	d Wetlan	ds (310 (CMR	10.55) Deline	ation Field Da	ta Form	
Applicant	: Rudenberg	P	repared by:	FWK		Project location:	219 Wayside Inn Rd	DEP File #	:
Check all	that apply:								
	Vegetation alone pres	sumed adequate to delir	neate BVW b	ooundary: fill	out Se	ction I only			
x	Vegetation and other	indicators of hydrology	used to delir	neate BVW b	ounda	ry: fill out Sections	I and II		
	Method other than do	minance test used (atta	ch additiona	l information)				
Section	I. Vegetation	Observation Plot Num	nber: <u>P2</u>		Trans	ect Number:1_	Date of	of Delineation	n: <u>3/12/20</u>
A. Samp (by co	le Layer and Plant Sp mmon/scientific nam	ecies e)	B.	Percent Co (or basal ar	over ea)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Domina (yes or	nt Plant no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category*
Note: Th	is plot was to examin	ne the soil just down							
gradient Shrub/S	of 11-P1. See next	page.							
Ground	Cover								
* Use an a FAC, FAC physiologi	sterisk to mark wetland ir +, FACW-, FACW+, or O cal or morphological adap	ndicator plants: plant speci BL; or plants with physiolo ptations, describe the adap	ies listed in th gical or morpl otations next t	e Wetlands Pr hological adap o the asterisk.	rotection otations.	n Act (MGL c.131, s.4 If any plants are ide	40); plants in the genu ntified as wetland indi	s <i>Sphagnum</i> ; cator plants du	plants listed as ue to
Vegetat	ion conclusion:								
Number	of dominant wetland	indicator plants:		Number of	of dom	inant non-wetland	d indicator plants:		
ls the nu	mber of dominant we	etland plants equal to o	or greater th	nan the num	nber of	dominant non-we	etland plants? Yo	es	No
lf vegetatic Intent.	on alone is presumed adeq	uate to delineate the BVW b	boundary, sub	mit this form w	vith the F	Request for Determina	tion of Applicability or	Notice of	MA DEP; 3/95

P2 of T1 – Page Section	2 II. Indicators of Hydrology				other Indicators of Hydrology: (check all that apply and describe)					
Hydric S	oil Interpretation				Depth to free water in observation hole:	16"				
1. Soil S	urvey			X	Depth to soil saturation in observation ho	ole: <u>13</u>)"			
Is there a	published soil survey	for this site? Yes X		Water marks:						
titi	Date 2009	IS	Setts		Drift lines:					
so	l type mapped: 256 E	B Deerfield Loamt Sand (Up	land) Freetown Muck (wet)		Sediment deposits:					
hy	dric soil inclusions:	200A/B Deemeld Loamy 3			Drainage patterns in BVW:	Drainage patterns in BVW:				
Are field	Are field observations consistent with soil survey? Yes X no				Oxidized rhizospheres:					
Remarks	Generally slopes gra	dually from front toward bro	ok in rear.		Water-stained leaves:					
					Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):					
2. Soil D	escription	Motrix Color	Mottlee Color		Othory					
A1 - FS	_ 0 -10"	10 YR 3/2	None		Other:					
A2 - F3	SL 10 – 15"	10 YR 2/1	2.5 YR 2.5/4		Vegetation and Hydrology Co		on			
B - LS Gravelly	15 – 20	10 fr 3/3	10 YR 5/8	Num ≥ nu	nber of wetland indicator plants Imber of non-wetland indicator plants					
Remarks	Remarks: Saturated at 13". Water @ 16" 3. Other:			Wet	Wetland hydrology present: hydric soil present					
3. Other					other indicators of hydrology Present	X				
Conclus	ion: Is soil hydric?	Yes		San	nple location is in a BVW					

Appendix G

Submit this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.

G	
xibr	Applie
ber	Chec
ΑÞ	

DEP Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (310 CMR 10.55) Delineation Field Data Form								
Applicant: Rudenberg	Prepared by:	FWK	Project location:	219 Wayside Inn Rd	DEP File #:			
Check all that apply:			-					
Vegetation alone presumed adequate to de	lineate BVW b	ooundary: fill out Se	ection I only					
Vegetation and other indicators of hydrolog	v used to delir	heate BVW bounda	arv: fill out Sections	l and II				
Method other than dominance test used (at	tach additiona	Linformation)						
		i iniornation)						
Section I. Vegetation Observation Plot Nu	imber: <u>P3</u>	Trans	sect Number:1_	Date of	f Delineation:	_3/12/20		
A. Sample Layer and Plant Species (by common/scientific name)	B.	Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominan (yes or n	nt Plant E no)	. Wetland Indicator Category*		
Trees								
White Pine (Pinus strobus) 19"		286 sq in	45.2	Yes	s F	ACU		
Red Maple (Acer rubrum) 12" 14"		269 sq. in.	42.6	Yes	s F	AC		
Slippery Elm (Ulmus rubra) 10"		77 sq. in.	12.2	NO) F	AC		
Shrub/Sapling/Vine								
White Pine (Pinus strobus)		38.0	92.7	YES	YES FACL			
Glossy Buckthorn (Rhamnus frangula)		3.0	7.3	7.3 No				
Ground Cover								
White Pine seedling (Pinus strobus)		20.5	87.2	Yes	s F	ACU		
Princess Pine – Tree Clubmoss)(Lycopodium ob	scurum)	3.0	12.8	NO) F	ACU		
* Use an asterisk to mark wetland indicator plants: plant spe FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physic physiological or morphological adaptations, describe the ad	ecies listed in th logical or morp aptations next t	e Wetlands Protectic hological adaptations o the asterisk.	on Act (MGL c.131, s.4 s. If any plants are ide	40); plants in the genus ntified as wetland indica	<i>Sphagnum</i> ; pl ator plants due	ants listed as to		

-

Vegetation conclusion:

Number of dominant wetland indicator plants:

3

Х

MA DEP; 3/95

Is the number of dominant wetland plants equal to or greater than the number of dominant non-wetland plant	s? Yes	No

If vegetation alone is presumed adequate to delineate the BVW boundary, submit this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.

1

P3 o	f T1 – Page 2 Section II.	e 2 n II. Indicators of Hydrology			Other	her Indicators of Hydrology: (check all that apply and describe)				
	Hydric Soil Interpretation					Depth to free water in observation hole:	_			
	1. Soil Survey					Depth to soil saturation in observation hole: 18 too deep				
	Is there a public	shed soil survey f	or this site? Yes	X No		Water marks:				
	title/date:	Date 2009	Middlesex County, Massa	icnuseus		Drift lines:				
	map num soil type i	mapped: 256 B	Deerfield Loamt Sand	(Upland) Freetown Muck (wet)		Sediment deposits:				
	hydric so	il inclusions: ²	256A/B Deerfield Loan	ny Sand (has Hydric inclusions)		Drainage patterns in BVW:				
	Are field observations consistent with soil survey? Yes X no					Oxidized rhizospheres:				
	Remarks: Rel Ge	atively flat with lov nerally slopes grad	w areas interspersed in ually from front toward	central portion of parcel. brook in rear.		Water-stained leaves:				
						Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):				
	2. Soil Descrip	tion								
	Horizon An - FSI	Depth 0 -12"	Matrix Color	Mottles Color None		Other:	_			
	B1 - LS	12 – 15"	10 YR 3/4	None	Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion					
	B2 - LS Gravelly	15 – 20"	10 YR 4/3	10 YR 5/6	Num ≥ nu	Yes No Inber of wetland indicator plants Imber of non-wetland indicator plants				
	Remarks: Sa	s: Saturated at 18".			Wet	land hydrology present:				
	3. Other: Conclusion: Is	s soil hydric?	YES	NO X	Sam	other indicators of hydrology Present nple location is in a BVW				

Appendix G

Submit this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.

82

ບ		
Appendix	Applica	ant:
	Check	all th
		V
	x	V

Intent.

DEP	Bordering Vegeta	ted Wetlan	ds (310 CMR	10.55) Deline	eation Field Da	ita Form	
Applicant: Rudenberg	l	Prepared by:	FWK	Project location:	219 Wayside Inn Rd	DEP File #:	
Check all that apply:				-			
Vegetation alone	e presumed adequate to de	elineate BVW t	ooundary: fill out Se	ection I only			
x Vegetation and o	other indicators of hydrolog	gy used to delir	neate BVW bounda	ry: fill out Sections	I and II		
Method other that	an dominance test used (a	ttach additiona	l information)				
Section I. Vegetation	on Observation Plot N	umber: P1	Trans	ect Number:	Date c	of Delineation:	3/12/20
A. Sample Layer and Pla (by common/scientific	nt Species name)	B.	Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Domina (yes or	nt Plant E. no)	. Wetland Indicator Category*
White Pine (Pinus strob	us) 24" 21"		795 sq in	72.6	Ye	s F	ACU
Red Maple (Acer rubrun	n) 10" 11" 9" 9"		300 sq. in.	27.4	Ye	es F	AC
Shrub/Sapling/Vine	7		•				
Slippery Elm (Ulmus rub	ora)		3.0	11.3	No	0 F	AC
Greenbriar (Smilax rotur	ndofolia)		3.0	11.3	No	o F	AC
Glossy Buckthorn (Rhar	nnus frangula)		20.5	77.4	Ye	<u>s F</u>	AC
Ground Cover							
White Pine seedling (Pir	nus strobus)		3.0	6.8	No	o F	ACU
Glossy Buckthorn seedli	ings) (Rhamnus frangula	a)	3.0	6.8	No	<u>o F</u>	AC
Cinnamon Fern (Osmun	ida cinnamomea)		38.0	86.4	Ye	es F	ACW
* Use an asterisk to mark wet FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FACW+ physiological or morphologica	land indicator plants: plant sp , or OBL; or plants with physi al adaptations, describe the a	becies listed in th ological or morp daptations next t	e Wetlands Protectic hological adaptations o the asterisk.	on Act (MGL c.131, s. s. If any plants are ide	40); plants in the genus entified as wetland indic	s <i>Sphagnum</i> ; pl cator plants due	ants listed as to
Vegetation conclusion	:						
Number of dominant wet	land indicator plants:	3	Number of dor	ninant non-wetlan	d indicator plants:	1	
Is the number of domina	nt wetland plants equal t	o or greater th	nan the number o	f dominant non-we	etland plants? Ye	es X N	o
If vegetation alone is presumed	d adequate to delineate the BV	W boundary, sub	mit this form with the	Request for Determina	ation of Applicability or	Notice of	MA DEP; 3/95

P1 of T2 – Page 2 Section II.	Indicators of	Hydrology		Other	Indicators of Hydrology: (check all that apply and describe) Site inundated:		
Hydric Soil Ir	nterpretation				Depth to free water in observation hole:		
1. Soil Survey	/			\square	Depth to soil saturation in observation hole:16"		
Is there a publ	lished soil survey	for this site? Yes X	No		Water marks:		
title/date	Date 2009	e	useus		Drift lines:		
soil type	mber: Mass. Gi	Deerfield Loamt Sand (U	pland) Freetown Muck (wet)		Sediment deposits:		
hydric so	oil inclusions:	Jusions: 256A/B Deerfield Loamy Sand (has Hydric inclusions)			Drainage patterns in BVW:		
Are field obser	Are field observations consistent with soil survey? Yes X no				Oxidized rhizospheres:		
Remarks: Re G	Remarks: Relatively flat with low areas interspersed in central portion of parcel. Generally slopes gradually from front toward brook in rear.] Water-stained leaves:			
					Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):		
2. Soil Descri Horizon	ption Depth	Matrix Color	Mottles Color		Other:		
Ap - FSL B - SL	0 -12" 12 – 18"	10 YR 2/1 10 YR 3/4	None visible 2.4 YR 2.5/4	`	Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion		
				Nun ≥ nu	nber of wetland indicator plants		
Remarks: S	Saturated at 16".			Wet	land hydrology present: hydric soil present		
3. Other:					other indicators of hydrology Present X		
Conclusion:	Is soil hydric?	Yes X	No	San Submi	this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.		

82

Appendix G



25286

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA Wetland Resource Area Delineation Report

March 26, 2020

ATTACHMENT 3

NHESP HABITAT MAP

PRIORITY HABITAT OF RARE SPECIES ESTIMATED HABITAT OF RARE WETLAND SPECIES CERTIFIED AND POTENTIAL VERNAL POOLS



NO PRIORITY OR EXTIMATED HABITAT OF RARE SPECIES NO CERTIFIED PR POTENTIAL VERNAL POOLS



> Potential Vernal Pools O NHESP Certified Vernal Pools NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife Tax Parcels for Query

Detailed Features

Tax Parcels for Display

Structures

MassGIS Statewide Basemap MassGIS Topographic Features Basemap March 26, 2020



25286

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA Wetland Resource Area Delineation Report

March 26, 2020

ATTACHMENT 4

PHOTO OF ISOLATED PONDING 03/12/2020





1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN AND RUNOFF CALCULATIONS REPORT

for

PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE PROJECT

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, MA 01776

Report Prepared for:

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg (Elizabeth Rudenberg) 259 Foreside Road Falmouth, Maine 04105

Report Prepared by:

DGT Associates – Project Civil Engineer 1071 Worcester Road Framingham, MA 01701 508-879-0030



August 30, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1	Stormwater Management Narrative & Summary	6 pages
Section 2	Compliance Calculations	23 pages
	Stormwater Standards Compliance Summary	
	MassDEP "Checklist for Stormwater Report"	
	Illicit Discharge Statement	
	Standard 3 - Recharge Calculations / Drawdown Time	
	Calculations	

Section 3	Existing and Proposed Conditions Stormwater Model	22 pages	
	showing Stormwater Flows and Flood Routing		
	Computations using HydroCAD version 10.10		
	Existing Conditions Watershed Map		
	Proposed Conditions Eatershed Map		

APPENDICIES

Appendix 1	Soils Data	14 pages
	1. NRCS Soils Information	
	2. Soil Test Data	

Appendix 2	Stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan		
	And Long Term Pollution Prevention		



ESTATE OF H.G. RUDENBERG SINGLE FAMILY HOME PROJECT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT NARRATIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the hydrologic computations and design information relative to the existing and proposed stormwater runoff conditions for the proposed single family home site and associated site improvements at Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA. It includes information on the stormwater management system design, assessment of stormwater impacts and compliance with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (Mass. DEP) Stormwater Management Regulations and the Town of Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw and Regulations for the proposed project.

Existing Property Description

The site is a 9.9 acre parcel of land at Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA. The property is on the south side of Wayside Inn Road and the western property boundary is the Sudbury /Town Line with the City of Marlborough. The property includes three parcels identified on the Sudbury Assessors Maps as Map L01- Parcel 0002; Map L02 – Parcel 0003; and Map L02 – Parcel 0013. The rear (southerly) boundary is the centerline of Hop Brook. The eastern property boundary abuts protected open space land owned by the Sudbury Valley Trustees.

The major portion of the property is forested with a dense stand of mature second growth White Pine. The rear (southern) portion of the site is open shallow marsh and shrub swamp adjacent to the Hop Brook that flows easterly into the Gristmill Pond just off the southeast corner of the property. Hop Brook is a perennial stream that qualifies as a River under the Mass. Wetlands Protection Act and the Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw.

The land is relatively flat with a very gradual slope from the road to the brook in the rear. The central and rear portion of the site is within the Flood Plain of Hop Brook. The boundary of the 100 year flood plain is at elevation 211.4 from the latest Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood profile information mapping. The central portion of the site is interspersed with low areas that have a predominance of wetland vegetation in the understory and two of the low areas have evidence of shallow temporary ponding.

Relative to existing stormwater runoff, the entire property drains to Hop Brook through the wooded wetland. There is a narrow drain ditch in the rear portion of the site that drains to Hop Brook. Two of the shallow depressions within the wetlands mentioned above intercept some runoff. Seasonal groundwater is very shallow in the wetland. Due to the sandy underlying soils, any ponding in the depressions is very short term in the spring season, shrinking to small puddles withing a few weeks.



There is a roadside drainage ditch within the Wayside Inn Road layout along the front of the site that drains this section of the Town roadway. The ditch drains east along the roadway into wetland areas on properties to the east.

Soils and Groundwater

The NRCS Soil Survey of Middlesex County indicates that the near-surface soils (within about 70 inches from ground surface) at the site are in an area of glacial outwash (sand and gravel) soils. The soils along the brook in the southern portion of the site are classified as Freetown Muck. The soils in the remainder of the site are classified Deerfield Loamy Sand. The latter soils can have hydric inclusions along streams and low-lying areas that consist of Sudbury soils, which appears to be the case at this site.

The upland Deerfield soils have moderately well-draining loamy sand topsoil and subsoil, underlain by sand and gravels. The Deerfield soils typically have seasonal groundwater between 18 inches to 3 feet below the surface. These soils are classified as being in Hydrologic Soil Group B for stormwater management calculations.

Soil testing was performed by DGT at the site in the recent past, including:

- Auger testing of the soils performed during our wetland delineation work on June 9, 2017 and March 12, 2020.
- Soil testing for septic system design purposes were conducted on July 2, 2020.
- Hand dug and auger soil testing for stormwater management designs on April 1, 2022.

Based on the testing and observed site conditions, DGT will use Hydrologic Soil Group B for runoff calculations for this site. For determination of infiltration rates for infiltrating stormwater management BMPs, the Rawls Rate of 2.4 inches per hour (for Loamy Sand) will be used. Complete information on the soils is contained in Appendix 1 of this report.

Project Description

The proposed project is the construction of one single family house with attached garage and associated driveway, septic system, water supply well, utilities and stormwater management best management practices (BMPs) in the northeast portion of the site. Due to the limited space available and required setbacks to the wetlands and drainage ditch for the septic system, the project is limited to a 3-bedroom house.

The project has been designed to be as compact as reasonable and keeps the proposed alterations as far as possible from the wetland resource areas. The closest proposed alteration to the BVW is 10 feet and generally varies from 10 to 40 feet from the worksite to the wetland.

The project will result in approximately 18,700 sq. ft. of alteration on the 9.9 acre parcel (4.3% of the parcel). The area of alterations within the Buffer Zone/AURA is 17,300 sq. ft. Proposed impervious surfaces include 2,076 sq. ft. of house and 1,800 sq. ft. of driveway and walkways. 1,000 sq. ft. of the driveway will be a porous paver system. The house shown on the plan is a conceptual design at this time. The actual house has not yet been designed but will be



substantially within the footprint shown and no larger in area. The current concept for the landscape plantings and stabilization of the disturbed area includes the following:

- Lawn area will be limited to approximately 2,800 sq. ft. of area in the rear of the house and small areas around the side for foot access.
- The remaining 11,925 sq. ft. of disturbed area will be vegetated with native plantings.
- Stormwater BMPs to mitigate stormwater impacts to the wetlands include two small rain gardens for the roof runoff and porous pavers for the main portion of the driveway.
- The proposed driveway will cross a Town drainage ditch at Wayside Inn Road. An open bottom, four-foot wide open bottom box culvert will bridge the drainage ditch to not interfere with the function of the ditch.

Stormwater Management Objectives

For organizational purposes, the descriptions and design calculations for the components of the stormwater management system are contained in Section 2 of this report. The hydrologic and flood routing computer modeling calculations and watershed maps for the existing and proposed conditions are included in Section 3. The watershed modeling was performed using computer software "HydroCAD" version 10.1 by Applied Microcomputer Systems.

The intent of the design is to provide stormwater management improvements that will meet the requirements of the Sudbury Stormwater Management Bylaw and Regulations and the Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw. Per the requirements, the design utilizes Limited Impact Design (LID) Best Management Practices (BMPs). The basis of designs are in accordance with the Mass. Stormwater Management Handbook.

The stormwater system as designed will assure that there will be no significant stormwater impacts to the wetland resource area by providing proper water quality treatment and mitigation of both the peak rates of runoff and volumes for all storm events up to a 100-year storm.

Compliance with Applicable Stormwater Regulations:

- Massachusetts Stormwater Management Regulations: This project is exempt from these regulations as a Single-Family House.
- Sudbury Stormwater Bylaw and Regulations: This is a Single-Family House Project that alters less than 40,000 sq. ft. of area. It therefore qualifies for a "General Stormwater Permit" under Section 5.B. of the Bylaw. As demonstrated in this Report, the project has been designed to meet the Standard Conditions of the Bylaw Regulation under Section 6.0 J. 1. Also, Attachment 2 of this report contains the Stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan that deals with the long-term maintenance required for the owners to comply with the Standard Conditions.

- Sudbury Wetlands Administration Bylaw and Regulations:

<u>Section 7.11</u> if the Regulations requires that "All stormwater runoff systems shall at a minimum conform the best management practices as specified in the Stormwater Management Bylaw and Regulations." These would be subject to more stringent conditions as may be required by the



Conservation Commission where warranted. As stated above, this report demonstrates compliance with the Stormwater Management Bylaw and Regulations.

<u>Section 9.6</u> of these Regulations also discusses stormwater discharges. Due to the BMPs designed into this project, there will be no point discharges to the wetland resource areas. Any runoff will generally be overland sheet flow from adjacent terrain as occurs under existing conditions.

The existing drainage patterns for the watersheds for this project are maintained. The subject site drains from the front of the site, southerly to the wetlands and Hop Brook. The proposed project area is small and there are no point discharge to the wetland from this area. The project as designed will maintain this drain pattern.

The results of the hydrologic analysis for the existing and proposed conditions have been computed for the 1 inch, and the 2, 10, 25 and 100-year storm events and the rainfall depths used in the analysis are as specified in the Stormwater Management Bylaw Regulations Section 8.0 A. 3. f.

The following describes how the project meets the <u>Design and Performance Criteria</u> for a General Permit per Section 6.0 J.1. of the Stormwater Bylaw Regulations. The paraphrased text of the Bylaw Regs is included in Italics for context:

<u>6.0 J.1.a.</u> The activity shall not increase either the rate or volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site, nor shall it alter the stormwater flow to any adjoining properties, public ways, or any wetland resource areas unless otherwise permitted based on improvement over existing conditions.

RESPONSE: The design includes the following features that address this requirement:

- The summary of stormwater volumes and peak flows from the project site is shown on the table at the end of this section. As can be seen, there will be no increase in the volume of runoff and peak flows and there will also be no point discharges as is is the case under existing conditions. The exception is a 0.04 cfs peak flow increase at the 2 year storm. However, there will be no increase in volume and no point discharge, so this is spread over the entire area so is truly de minimis.
- The project will not drain to any abutting private property which is also the existing condition.
- The only part of the project that will drain to the public roadway is the first 15 feet of the driveway. This area presently drains to the ditch at the front of the site and will continue to do so. The difference will be that the driveway apron will be paved with a minimal 200 sq. ft. of pavement instead of the vegetated roadside ditch. A 4 foot wide open bottom culvert will be installed so that the ditch flow will not be interrupted in any way.
- <u>6.0 J.1.b.</u> The activity shall, to the maximum extent feasible, treat all stormwater runoff from the site using recommended Best Management Practices (BMPs) in accordance with the latest edition of the Massachusetts DEP Stormwater Handbook.



RESPONSE: The project includes two small rain gardens for the roof runoff and a porous paver system for the major portion of the driveway. These are classified as LID stormwater infiltration practices and appropriate for the scope of the project. The roof runoff is considered as clean and the rain gardens will be primarily for recharge purposes in this case. The porous paver (concrete pavers) driveway will provide a minimum of 80% TSS removal and recharge for up to a 100 year storm event.

The rear wood deck will be underlain with a 6 inch thick crushed stone bed that will infiltrate runoff so that the structure will behave as a permeable surface.

- <u>6.0 J.1.c.</u> The activity to the maximum extent feasible, minimizes impervious surfaces and provides on-site infiltration of stormwater in accordance with the latest edition of the Massachusetts DEP Stormwater Handbook.
 - RESPONSE: The stormwater BMPs will infiltrate in excess of the minimum one inch of runoff from the impervious surfaces. As can be seen in the table at the end of this section, the project will not increase the volume of runoff for all storms up to a 100 year event. Essentially the property will generate no more runoff that the existing forested land.
- <u>6.0 J.1.d.</u> The Applicant shall provide and maintain Erosion and Sediment Controls in accordance with the latest edition of the Massachusetts DEP Stormwater Handbook as necessary until the site is permanently stabilized. BMPs selected for erosion control shall be chosen to minimize site disturbance from erosion control installation. Once the site is stabilized, such measures shall be removed.
 - RESPONSE: A complete erosion and sediment control plan is included in the plan set that details compliance with the standard condition.
- <u>6.0 J.1.e.</u> The Applicant shall ensure that the site and stormwater management systems are perpetually inspected and maintained to function as designed.
 - RESPONSE: A complete Stormwater Operation and Maintenance Plan is included at the end of this report document that is to be followed to assure the system operates as required to comply with this section.
- <u>6.0 J.1.f.</u> The following source control and pollution prevention measures shall be employed on the site to prevent contamination of stormwater runoff. (see listing in the Regulations).
 - RESPONSE: A Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan (LTPPP) is included with the O&M plan that addresses each of the listed requirements of this standard conditions



STORM	EXISTING CONDITIONS		PROPOSED CONDITIONS	
	Peak Rate	Volume	Peak Rate	Volume
	(cfs)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(ac-ft)
1 inch	0	0	0	0
2 year = 3.2"	0.04	0.009	0.08	0.009
10 year = 4.8"	0.34	0.032	0.31	0.026
25 year = 6.0"	0.54	0.043	0.54	0.043
100 year = 8.0"	1.57	0.115	1.43	0.089

SUMMARY TABLE OF STORMWATER RUNOFF

Watershed Modeling and Best Management Practices Design

The hydrologic analysis of the existing conditions and proposed watershed is based on the nationally recognized watershed modeling techniques developed by the USDA, Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The techniques and runoff models are described in the following SCS publications:

- "Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release Number 55", 1986 and Technical Release 20.
- National Engineering Handbook, Hydrology, Section 4, 1972.
- "A Method for Estimating Volume and Rate of Runoff in Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 149" 1973.
- "Hydrology Handbook for Conservation Commissions" March 2002, Mass. DEP.
- The watershed modeling was performed using computer software "HydroCAD" version 10.1 by Applied Microcomputer Systems, which is based on the publications referenced above.
- Best Management Practices were designed based on the guidance provided in the DEP "Stormwater Management Standards Handbook", February, 2008.



1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

SECTION 2

COMPLIANCE CALCULATIONS

Stormwater Standards Compliance Summary MassDEP "Checklist for Stormwater Report" Illicit Discharge Statement Standard 3 – Recharge Design Calculations And Drawdown Time

for

PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE PROJECT

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, MA 01776

STORMWATER STANDARDS COMPLIANCE SUMMARY MASS. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS AND SUDBURY STORMWATER BYLAW

PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY HOME PROJECT Estate of Gunther Rudenberg Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, MA

Standard 1: (Untreated Discharges)

There are no <u>new</u> stormwater conveyances proposed that discharge untreated stormwater directly to or cause erosion in wetlands or waters of the Commonwealth.

The stormwater will discharge to the same locations as the existing conditions at less than or the same rates and less volume. The receiving areas are existing wetlands via non-point sources and stormwater ditches with no erosion issues. As such, there will be no impacts at the discharge locations. The runoff from the proposed building is classified as clean, not requiring any pre-treatment prior to discharge. The driveway will drain to via a porous paver system with no surface discharge.

Standard 2: (Peak Rate Control and Flood Protection)

There will be no increase in peak rate of discharge and volumes for all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event. The exception is a de minimis increase of 0.04 cfs over a large area with no increase in volume.

The computations have been made for the 1 inch, 2, 10, 25, and 100-year storms. The computations for the peak rates of runoff and volumes are contained in Section 3 of this report and a summary table in included in Section 1 Narrative

Standard 3: (Recharge to Groundwater)

To meet the current DEP Stormwater Regulations, Standard 3 requires that a minimum 0.35 inches of runoff from the impervious surfaces must be recharged to the ground for hydrologic soil groups (HSG) B for the subject site. This is the minimum amount required for impervious surfaces to maintain the natural recharge hydrology of the area.

The BMPs for this project are infiltration BMPs. The project is not within a critical area however, it is within the watershed of a Town water supply (Zone III). Although not required, the recharge / water quality volume of 1 inch is being used as a design minimum.

The runoff from the entire roof area and most of the driveway and paved walks will discharge to BMPs that are designed to infiltrate a minimum of 1 inch of runoff from the area of the roof infiltrating at least 3 times the minimum required for this Standard.

The project as designed meets this standard. Detailed calculations demonstrating compliance with this standard are included at the end of this section.

Standard 4: (80% TSS Removal)

The runoff from the proposed house roof is classified as clean and does not require pretreatment.

The porous concrete paver systems include pre-treatment as the filter course setting bed below the pavers. All runoff runs through the filter course, meeting this standard to achieve the minimum 80% TSS removal for the system.

A small area of walkway at the front of the building will sheet flow through a vegetated area for any pre-treatment, and the rain garden is rated for a minimum of 90% TSS removal.

In compliance with Standard 4, a long-term Stormwater Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in Appendix 2.

Standard 5: (Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Load, LUHPPL)

Not Applicable. This site and project are not classified as a LUHPPL.

Standard 6: (Critical Areas)

The site is not within a "Critical Area" per the Regulations.

Standard 7: (Redevelopment)

Not Applicable. This project is not considered a redevelopment.

Standard 8: (Erosion, Sediment Control)

Erosion and sediment control BMPs are included in the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan contained in the plan set. This plan includes details and information regarding the responsibilities for the Contractor in managing the site in compliance with applicable permits.

This project will alter less than one acre so it is <u>not</u> subject to the NPDES Phase II requirements for construction sites. Coverage under the NPDES Construction General Permit and preparation of a full Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan are <u>not</u> required.

Standard 9: (Operation & Maintenance)

An Operation and Maintenance Plan for the stormwater system is included in Appendix 2 to meet this Standard.

Standard 10: (Illicit Discharges)

There are no illicit discharges designed or proposed for this project. No illicit discharges are known to exist. An Illicit Discharge Statement to that effect is included in this section.

This project is being designed by the present owner in preparation of sell the property to another party who will build the project. An Illicit Discharge Statement also needs to be prepared and signed by the future owner prior to construction. It is requested that the preparation and execution of an Illicit Discharge Statement prior to any site alterations be made as a condition of permitting.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Longterm Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Kay 8/23/2022 Signature and Date

Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development



Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

\boxtimes	No disturbance to any W	/etland Resource Areas			
	Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)				
	Reduced Impervious Are	ea (Redevelopment Only)			
\square	Minimizing disturbance	o existing trees and shrubs			
	LID Site Design Credit F	Requested:			
	Credit 1				
	Credit 2				
	Credit 3				
	Use of "country drainage	e" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe			
\boxtimes	Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)				
] Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)				
	Treebox Filter				
	Water Quality Swale				
	Grass Channel				
	Green Roof				
\boxtimes	Other (describe):	Porous concrete paver system			

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

- No new untreated discharges
- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

Soil Analysis prov	/ided.
--------------------	--------

- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

🖂 Static	Simple Dynamic
----------	----------------

Dynamic Field¹

- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.

\boxtimes	Recharge BMPs	have been s	sized to infiltrat	e the Required	Recharge Volume.
-------------	----------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------

- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
 - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
 - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
 - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
 - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- \boxtimes Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.

Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

- The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.
- Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- · Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- ☐ Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
 - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
 - is near or to other critical areas
 - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
 - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.


Checklist (continued)

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)									
\boxtimes	The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:								
	The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or								
	The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.								
	The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.								
	A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.								
Sta	Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)								
	The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report. The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.								
\boxtimes	The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does <i>not</i> cover the land use.								
	LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.								
	All exposure has been eliminated.								
	All exposure has <i>not</i> been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.								
	The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.								
Sta	ndard 6: Critical Areas								
	The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.								

Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:

Lim	ited P	roject
-----	--------	--------

- Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
- Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
- Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
- Bike Path and/or Foot Path
- Redevelopment Project
- Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.

☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is *not* the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.



August 23, 2022

25052

Sudbury Planning & Community Development 278 Old Sudbury Road Sudbury, MA 01776

RE: <u>Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement</u>

In accordance with Standard 10 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Regulations, the following statement is made regarding the proposed residential house project at Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA (Assessors Map L01- Parcel 0002; Map L02 – Parcel 0003; and Map L02 – Parcel 0013):

• There are no illicit discharges designed or proposed for this project. No illicit discharges are known to exist.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours, **DGT Associates**

Fredric W. King

Fredric W. King, P.E. Senior Engineer



RECHARGE CALCULATIO

JOB	25	052	RU	DENR	ERG	1944				
SHEET N	0	1		OF/	0					
CALCULA	TED BY			DATE						
CHECKE) BY			DATE						
SCALE										
XJ										
<u> </u>	,					······································				

HSG = B

STANDARD 3.

MIN. RECHARGE = 0.35 INCHES OVER THE IMPERVIOUS SURFACES

PROVIDE - 1.0" MIN PER STORMWATER BYLAW AND TO MITIGATE (IMPROVE) RECHARGE CONDITIONS FOR THE WATER REPOVRCES

PROTECTION DISTRICT ZONE TT.

		*****						 	1.1.1.1.1				
													÷.
				4.1.1.1.1.1							 		
					- 2								
 		1.4.5.1											



JOB	25052	RUD	ENBERG
SHEET NO		2	OF_10
CALCULATED) BY		DATE
CHECKED BY	,		DATE
SCALE			

RECHARGE PESIGN CALCULATIONS
CRITERIA: - CAPACITY TO INFILTRATE I INICH OF RUNOFF FROM THE TRIBUTARY AREA OF IMPERVIOUS SURFACES - SOIL INFILTRATION RATE (LOAMY SAND) = 2.4 Inch/hr RAWLS RATE - USE STATIC METHOD
BIO-RETEINTION (RAIN GARDEN #1)
$\frac{1}{10^{-7}} \frac{1}{10^{-7}} $
TOTHC - 10/2F
$- \frac{1}{2} $
$1'' = \frac{1}{12} \frac{187}{12} \frac{f^2}{12} = 98.9 \frac{f^3}{f^4} = POND ELEV 214.93 \angle 215.8 OK$
POND CAPACITY IS LARGERTHAN REQUIRED
CAPTURE VOLUME
DRAIN TIME - FROM HYDROCAD AT FULL CAPACITY
F 26 HOURI FROM BEGINNING OF
A 100 YEAR STORM 2 12 hrs. OK
SEE PRINTOUT AT THE END OF THUS SECTION



10
-

Recent for the second se	Mandaga Manana ang pangana	SCALE	
		·····	
BIO-RETE.	NTION (RAIN GI	4RDEN # 2)	
ROOF A	REA = 1,125 ft2		·····
WALKS	= '55 ft	2,	
Готац	1,180 44	2	
· OVERFLOU	~ ELEV = 215.	2. ft	
		~ ~	
1"= 1,18	10 ft = 98.3.	ft => POND ELEV	$1 = 214,95 \angle 215,2$
12	1.n/f+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oK
POND CAP	PALITY IS LARFT	ER THAN REQUIR	: E 2
CAPTUR	E VOLUME.		
DRAIN 7	TIME - FROM	HYDROCAD AT	FULL CAPACITY
	= 25 k	10005 from STAR	TOFA
	I O'O Y E	EAR STORM.	
	SEEP	MNITOUT PTE	VD OF= TH15
	SELTO	<i>sM</i>	



JOB		
SHEET NO	4	OF
CALCULATED BY		DATE
CHECKED BY		DATE

.

r				SCALE	
POR	OUS T	PAVER A	REA		
	OTAL P	AVED ARI	$z_{r+} = 1, s$, 8 C INCLUS	DESTODOSE POROUS
ον	ERFLOU	ノモレモレ	= 215.3		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	100	~ e. 2		γ^{3} \rightarrow π	1-1- 1473/7153
	- 100		13101	TT PROMUNER	
		17/4+			52
Þ.	ONVING	CAPACITY	15 LARG	ER THAN REQ.	JIRED
-	o. / . /		- 1 - 1 An 1	honordo -	
	28-41 IV II		FICOPH F	YURUCAY AT	FUCCEAFEULY
		••••••	= 19 ho	· ~ > FROM STAR	ToFa
			100 YE1	AR STORKY.	
			SEE PR	NTONT AT EH!	2 0 〒 ナル13
			TEL TISH	,	

25052 ProposedType InPrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60" Printed 8/25/2022

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage	
	(54-11)			<u>(sq-ii)</u>	(cubic-leet)	
214.50	200	0	215.54	550	324	
214.52	202	4	215.56	579	336	
214.54	205	8	215.58	609	347	
214.56	208	12	215.60	640	360	
214.58	210	16	215.62	672	373	
214.60	213	21	215.64	704	387	
214.62	215	25	215.66	738	401	
214.64	218	29	215.68	772	416	
214.66	220	34	215.70	806	432	
214.68	223	38	215.72	842	449	
214.70	226	43	215.74	878	466	
214.72	228	47	215.76	915	484	
214.74	231	52	215.78	953	502	
214.76	234	56	215.80	992	522	OVERFLOW
214.78	236	61	215.82	1,031	542	
214.80	239	66	215.84	1,071	563	
214.82	242	71	215.86	1,112	585	
214.84	245	75	215.88	1.154	608	
214.86	247	80	215.90	1,196	631	
214.88	250	85	215 92	1 239	655	
214.90	253	90	215.94	1,283	681	
214 92	256	11 DUN 55 95	215.96	1 328	707	
214.94	258	101	215.00	1 374	734	
214.96	260	106	216.00	1 420	762	
214.00	264	111	210.00	1,420	702	
215.00	267	116				
215.00	275	122				
215.02	283	127				
215.04	200	127				
215.00	290	130				
215.00	200	145				
215.10	215	140				
215.12	202	150				
215.14	323	100				
210.10	332	104				
215.10	340	171				
215.20	349	1/8				
210.22	358	185				
215.24	307	192				
215.26	3/6	200				
215.28	385	207				
215.30	394	215				
215.32	404	223				
215.34	413	231				
215.36	423	239				
215.38	432	248				
215.40	442	257				
215.42	452	266				
215.44	462	275				
215.46	472	284				
215.48	483	294				
215.50	493	303				
215.52	521	314				

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1

25052 ProposedType InPrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60" Printed 8/25/2022

Hydrograph for Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
9.00	0.01	1	214.51	0.01	0.01	0.00
10.00	0.01	3	214.51	0.01	0.01	0.00
11.00	0.02	22	214.61	0.01	0.01	0.00
12.00	0.30	240	215.36	0.02	0.02	0.00
13.00	0.05	538	215.82	0.06	0.06	0.01
14.00	0.03	479	215.75	0.05	0.05	0.00
15.00	0.02	410	215.67	0.04	0.04	0.00
16.00	0.02	346	215.58	0.03	0.03	0.00
17.00	0.01	292	215.48	0.03	0.03	0.00
18.00	0.01	244	215.37	0.02	0.02	0.00
19.00	0.01	198	215.26	0.02	0.02	0.00
20.00	0.01	159	215.15	0.02	0.02	0.00
21.00	0.01	127	215.04	0.02	0.02	0.00
22.00	0.01	99	214.94	0.01	0.01	0.00
23.00	0.01	73	214.83	0.01	0.01	0.00
24.00	0.01	46	214.72	0.01	0.01	0.00
25.00	0.00	5	214.52	0.01	0.01	0.00
26.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
27.00	0.00	T O	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.00	0.00	\ 0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
29.00	0.00		214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
30.00	0.00	\ 0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
					15 91	2
		$\sim \gamma_0$	NU EP	7917 07	rs do l	

7 of 10

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60" Printed 8/25/2022

Hydrograph for Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
9.00	0.01	1	214.51	0.01	0.01	0.00
10.00	0.01	3	214.51	0.01	0.01	0.00
11.00	0.02	24	214.63	0.01	0.01	0.00
12.00	0.26	207	215.28	0.14	0.02	0.12
13.00	0.04	187	215.23	0.04	0.02	0.02
14.00	0.03	181	215.21	0.03	0.02	0.01
15.00	0.02	177	215.20	0.02	0.02	0.00
16.00	0.01	165	215.17	0.02	0.02	0.00
17.00	0.01	142	215.10	0.02	0.02	0.00
18.00	0.01	117	215.02	0.02	0.02	0.00
19.00	0.01	91	214.92	0.01	0.01	0.00
20.00	0.01	68	214.83	0.01	0.01	0.00
21.00	0.01	45	214.73	0.01	0.01	0.00
22.00	0.01	25	214.64	0.01	0.01	0.00
23.00	0.01	7	214.54	0.01	0.01	0.00
24.00	0.00	(1	ノ 214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
25.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
26.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
27.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
29.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
30.00	0.00	0	214.50	0.00	0.00	0.00

POND EMPTY AT 25 hours.

25052 Proposed

Surface

Elevation

214.95

214.96

214.97

214.98

214.99

215.00

215.01

Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

1 RUNOFE 98

Storage

Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60" Printed 8/25/2022

Storage

(feet) (sq-ft) (cubic-feet) (feet) (sq-ft) (cubic-feet) 214.50 215.02 214.51 215.03 214.52 215.04 214.53 215.05 214.54 215.06 214.55 215.07 214.56 215.08 214.57 215.09 214.58 215.10 214.59 215.11 214.60 215.12 214.61 215.13 214.62 215.14 214.63 215.15 214.64 215.16 214.65 215.17 214.66 215.18 214.67 215.19 214.68 215.20 OVERELOW 214.69 215.21 214.70 215.22 214.71 215.23 214.72 215.24 214.73 215.25 214.74 215.26 214.75 215.27 214.76 215.28 214.77 215.29 214.78 215.30 214.79 215.31 214.80 215.32 214.81 215.33 214.82 215.34 214.83 215.35 214.84 215.36 214.85 215.37 214.86 215.38 214.87 215.39 214.88 215.40 214.89 215.41 214.90 215.42 214.91 215.43 214.92 215.44 214.93 215.45 214.94 215.46

215.47

215.48

215.49

215.50

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2

Surface

Elevation

25052 ProposedType InPrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60" Printed 8/25/2022

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 3P: Porous Pavement

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage
(feet)	(sq-1t)	(cubic-feet)	(teet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)
214.40	1,000	0	215.44	2,000	402
214.42	1,000	8	215.46	2,000	408
214.44	1,000	16	215.48	2,000	414
214.46	1,000	24	215.50	2,100	420
214.48	1,000	32	215.52	2,123	422
214.50	1,000	40	215.54	2,149	425
214.52	1,000	48	215.56	2,177	428
214.54	1,000	56	215.58	2,207	432
214.56	1,000	64	215.60	2,240	436
214.58	1,000	72	215.62	2.275	442
214.60	1,000	80	215.64	2.313	448
214.62	1,000	88	215.66	2.353	454
214.64	1,000	96	215.68	2,395	462
214.66	1,000	104	215.70	2,440	470
214.68	1,000	112	215.72	2,487	479
214.70	1,000	120	215.74	2,537	489
214.72	1,000	// 128	215.76	2,589	501
214.74	1,000	RUNDER 136	215.78	2.643	513
214.76	1,000	144	215.80	2,700	526
214.78	1,000	152		,	
214.80	1,000	160			
214.82	1,000	168			
214.84	1,000	176			
214.86	1,000	184			
214.88	1,000	192			
214.90	1,000	200			
214.92	1,000	208			
214.94	1,000	216			
214.96	1,000	224			
214.98	1,000	232			
215.00	1,000	240			
215.02	1,000	248			
215.04	1,000	256			
215.06	1,000	264			
215.08	1,000	272			
215.10	1,000	280			
215.12	1,000	288			
215.14	1,000	296			
215.16	1,000	304			
215.18	1,000	312			
215.20	1,000	320			
215.22	1,000	328			
215.24	1,000	336			
215.26	1,000	344			
215.28	1,000 🥱	vension 352			
215.30	2,000	360			
215.32	2,000	366			
215.34	2,000	372			
215.36	2,000	378			
215.38	2,000	384			
215.40	2,000	390			
215.42	2,000	396			

Hydrograph for Pond 3P: Porous Pavement

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
8.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
9.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
10.00	0.02	2	214.40	0.02	0.02	0.00
11.00	0.02	- 2	214.41	0.02	0.02	0.00
12.00	0.20	73	214.58	0.06	0.06	0.00
13.00	0.03	347	215.27	0.06	0.06	0.00
14.00	0.02	225	214.96	0.06	0.06	0.00
15.00	0.01	78	214.60	0.06	0.06	0.00
16.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
17.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
18.00	0.01	1	214.40	0.01	0.01	0.00
19.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
20.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
21.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
22.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
23.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
24.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
25.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
26.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
27.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
29.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
30.00	0.00	0	214.40	0.00	0.00	0.00





1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

SECTION 3

EXISTING AND PROPOSED STORMWATER MODEL

ROUTING DIAGRAM

EXISTING AND PROPOSED HYDROCAD MODEL CALCULATIONS FOR THE 1 INCH, 2, 10, 25 AND 100 YEAR STORMS

EXISTING AND PROPOSED WATERSHED MAPS

for

PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE PROJECT

Estate of Gunther Rudenberg Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, MA 01776

Rudenberg

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 8/30/2022 Page 2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
0.331	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4)
0.036	98	Paved parking, HSG B (P-3)
0.048	98	Roofs, HSG B (P-1, P-2)
0.007	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B (P-1, P-2)
0.430	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (E-1)
0.852	62	TOTAL AREA

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your compa HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 ©	any name her 2020 HydroCA	re} \D Softwa	re Solutions	Type	III 24-hr	F <i>1 Inch Rai</i> Printed	Rudenberg infall=1.00" 8/30/2022 Page <u>3</u>
Time Runoff Reach routing by S	span=0.00-30. by SCS TR-20 Stor-Ind+Trans	.00 hrs, d) method, s method	t=0.01 hrs, UH=SCS, - Pond rc	3001 po Weighte outing by	oints ed-CN v Stor-Inc	d method	
SubcatchmentE-1: Existiing Co	nditions F	Runoff Are	a=18,725 s Tc=6	f 0.00% .0 min (Impervic CN=55 I	ous Runoff D Runoff=0.00 c	epth=0.00" fs_0.000 af
SubcatchmentP-1: To RG 1	F	Runoff Are	a=3,523 sf Tc=6	33.69% .0 min (Impervio CN=73 I	ous Runoff D Runoff=0.00 c	epth=0.02" fs_0.000 af
SubcatchmentP-2: To RG 2	F	Runoff Are	a=2,898 sf Tc=6	40.72% .0 min (Impervio CN=76 I	ous Runoff D Runoff=0.00 c	epth=0.04" fs_0.000 af
SubcatchmentP-3: To Porous P	vmt F	Runoff Are	a=1,680 sf Tc=6	94.05% .0 min (Impervio CN=96 I	ous Runoff D Runoff=0.03 c	0epth=0.63" fs_0.002 af
SubcatchmentP-4: Uncontrolle	d F	Runoff Are	a=10,282 s Tc=6	f 0.00% .0 min (Impervic CN=61 I	ous Runoff D Runoff=0.00 c	epth=0.00" fs_0.000 af
Reach DP-1: To Wetlands					С	Inflow=0.00 o Outflow=0.00 o	cfs 0.000 af cfs 0.000 af
Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1 Discard	ded=0.00 cfs 0	Peak .000 af F	Elev=214.5 Primary=0.00	0' Stora 0 cfs 0.0	ge=0 cf 00 af O	Inflow=0.00 c utflow=0.00 c	fs 0.000 af fs 0.000 af
Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2 Discard	ded=0.00 cfs 0	Peak 0.000 af F	Elev=214.5 Primary=0.00	0' Stora 0 cfs 0.0	ge=0 cf 00 af O	Inflow=0.00 c utflow=0.00 c	fs 0.000 af fs 0.000 af
Pond 3P: Porous Pavement Discard	ded=0.03 cfs 0	Peak 0.002 af F	Elev=214.4 Primary=0.00	1' Stora 0 cfs 0.0	ge=3 cf 00 af O	Inflow=0.03 c utflow=0.03 c	fs 0.002 af fs 0.002 af
Total Runoff Are	ea = 0.852 ac	Runoff	Volume =	0.002 a	f Avera	age Runoff I	Depth = 0.03

89.36% Pervious = 0.761 ac 10.64% Impervious = 0.091 ac

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your contemporation of the second structure of the second structur	ompany name h 78 © 2020 HydroC	ere} CAD Softw	are Soluti	Ty _i ons LLC	pe III 2 C	4-hr 2`	Ru Year Rain Printed 8	udenberg fall=3.20" 3/30/2022 Page 4
ר Ru Reach routing	Fime span=0.00-3 Inoff by SCS TR-2 g by Stor-Ind+Tra	0.00 hrs, 20 metho ns metho	dt=0.01 d, UH=S0 d - Pone	hrs, 300 CS, We d routin	01 point ighted-0 ig by Sto	s CN pr-Ind m	ethod	
SubcatchmentE-1: Existiin	ng Conditions	Runoff A	rea=18,72 T	25 sf 0. c=6.0 m	00% Imp in CN=	bervious 55 Run	Runoff De off=0.04 cfs	pth=0.25" 0.009 af
SubcatchmentP-1: To RG	1	Runoff A	rea=3,523 T	3 sf 33. c=6.0 m	69% Imp in CN=	pervious 73 Run	Runoff De off=0.09 cfs	pth=0.98" 6 0.007 af
SubcatchmentP-2: To RG 2	Runoff A	rea=2,898 T	3 sf 40. c=6.0 m	72% Imp in CN=	pervious 76 Run	Runoff De off=0.09 cfs	pth=1.15" 6 0.006 af	
SubcatchmentP-3: To Pore	ous Pvmt	Runoff A	rea=1,680 T) sf 94. c=6.0 m	05% Imp in CN=	pervious 96 Run	Runoff De off=0.12 cfs	pth=2.75" 6 0.009 af
SubcatchmentP-4: Uncont	rolled	Runoff A	rea=10,28 T	32 sf 0. c=6.0 m	.00% Imp in CN=	pervious 61 Run	Runoff De off=0.08 cfs	pth=0.44" 6 0.009 af
Reach DP-1: To Wetlands						Infl Outfl	ow=0.08 cfs ow=0.08 cfs	s 0.009 af s 0.009 af
Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1	0iscarded=0.01 cfs	Peak 0.007 af	Elev=214 Primary=	1.88' Sto 0.00 cfs	orage=8 6 0.000 a	6 cf Inflo af Outflo	ow=0.09 cfs ow=0.01 cfs	0.007 af 0.007 af
Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2	0iscarded=0.01 cfs	Peak 0.006 af	Elev=214 Primary=	1.90' Sto 0.00 cfs	orage=8 。0.000 a	5 cf Inflo af Outflo	ow=0.09 cfs ow=0.01 cfs	0.006 af 0.006 af
Pond 3P: Porous Pavemen	t 0iscarded=0.06 cfs	Peak 0.009 af	Elev=214 Primary=	1.49' Sto 0.00 cfs	orage=3 。0.000 a	5 cf Inflo af Outflo	ow=0.12 cfs ow=0.06 cfs	0.009 af 0.009 af
Total Runo	ff Area = 0.852 a	c Runo	ff Volum	e = 0.04	40 af <i>A</i>	verage	Runoff D	epth = 0.5

56" 89.36% Pervious = 0.761 ac 10.64% Impervious = 0.091 ac

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 0 ²	company name h 1078 © 2020 Hydro(ere} CAD Softw	vare Solu	7 Itions L	ype II	ll 24-hr	- 10 Y	f ear Rai Printed	Rude infall 8/3 F	nberg /= <i>4.80"</i> 0/2022 Page <u>5</u>
F Reach routi	Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR-2 ng by Stor-Ind+Tra	0.00 hrs, 20 metho ns metho	dt=0.01 d, UH=3 od - Po	l hrs, 3 SCS, \ nd rou	3001 p Neigh iting b	ooints ted-CN y Stor-I	nd me	thod		
SubcatchmentE-1: Existi	ing Conditions	Runoff A	rea=18,7	725 sf Tc=6.(0.00%) min	6 Imper CN=55	vious Runo	Runoff D ff=0.34 c)epth fs 0.	=0.88" 032 af
SubcatchmentP-1: To RG	61	Runoff A	rea=3,52	23 sf Tc=6.(33.69%) min	6 Imper CN=73	vious Runo	Runoff D ff=0.20 c)epth fs 0.	=2.12" 014 af
SubcatchmentP-2: To RG	Runoff A	rea=2,89	98 sf Tc=6.0	40.72%) min	6 Imper CN=76	vious Runo	Runoff D ff=0.18 c)epth fs 0.	=2.37" 013 af	
SubcatchmentP-3: To Po	rous Pvmt	Runoff A	rea=1,68	30 sf Tc=6.0	94.05%) min	6 Imper CN=96	vious Runo	Runoff D ff=0.18 c)epth fs 0.	=4.33" 014 af
SubcatchmentP-4: Unco	ntrolled	Runoff A	rea=10,2	282 sf Tc=6.(0.00%) min	6 Imper CN=61	vious Runo	Runoff D ff=0.31 c)epth fs 0.	=1.25" 025 af
Reach DP-1: To Wetlands	i						Inflov Outflov	w=0.31 o w=0.31 o	cfs 0 cfs 0	.026 af .026 af
Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1	Discarded=0.02 cfs	Peak 0.014 af	Elev=21ť Primary	5.36' \$ =0.00	Storage cfs 0.0	e=238 ci 000 af	f Inflov Outflov	v=0.20 c v=0.02 c	fs 0. fs 0.	014 af 014 af
Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2	Discarded=0.02 cfs	Peak 0.012 af	Elev=21ť Primary	5.23' S =0.04	Storage cfs 0.0	e=190 ci 001 af	f Inflov Outflov	v=0.18 c v=0.06 c	fs 0. fs 0.	013 af 013 af
Pond 3P: Porous Paveme	nt Discarded=0.06 cfs	Peał 0.014 af	k Elev=2 [∕] Primary	14.64' /=0.00	Storaç cfs 0.0	ge=95 ci 000 af	f Inflov Outflov	v=0.18 c v=0.06 c	fs 0. fs 0.	014 af 014 af
Total Run	off Area = 0.852 a	c Runo	ff Volur	ne = ().098 a	af Ave	erage F	Runoff	Dept	h = 1.37"

89.36% Pervious = 0.761 ac 10.64% Impervious = 0.091 ac

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 0	company name h 1078 © 2020 HydroC	ere} CAD Softv	vare Solut	Type	e III 24-hr	^r 25 Year Prir	Rud <i>Rainfa</i> nted 8/:	lenberg 1 //=6 .00" 30/2022 <u>Page 6</u>
ا Reach rout	Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR-2 ing by Stor-Ind+Tra	0.00 hrs 20 metho ns metho	, dt=0.01 d, UH=S od - Pon	hrs, 300 CS, Wei d routing	1 points ghted-CN g by Stor-l	Ind methoo	ł	
SubcatchmentE-1: Exist	iing Conditions	Runoff A	vrea=18,72 T	25 sf 0.0 ⁻ c=6.0 mi)0% Imper n CN=55	vious Runo Runoff=0.	off Dept 68 cfs(h=1.52" 0.054 af
SubcatchmentP-1: To R	G 1	Runoff A	vrea=3,523 T	3 sf 33.6 ⁻ c=6.0 mi	39% Imper n CN=73	vious Runo Runoff=0.	off Dept 29 cfs(h=3.09" 0.021 af
SubcatchmentP-2: To R	Runoff A	vrea=2,898 T	8 sf 40.7 c=6.0 mi	72% Imper n CN=76	vious Runo Runoff=0.	off Dept 26 cfs(h=3.38" 0.019 af	
SubcatchmentP-3: To Po	orous Pvmt	Runoff A	vrea=1,68) T	0 sf 94.0 ⁻ c=6.0 mi)5% Imper n CN=96	vious Runo Runoff=0.	off Dept 22 cfs(h=5.53" 0.018 af
SubcatchmentP-4: Unco	ntrolled	Runoff A	vrea=10,28 T	82 sf 0.0 ⁻ c=6.0 mi)0% Imper n CN=61	vious Runo Runoff=0.	off Dept 53 cfs(h=2.01" 0.039 af
Reach DP-1: To Wetlands	5					Inflow=0. Outflow=0.	.54 cfs .54 cfs	0.043 af 0.043 af
Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1	Discarded=0.04 cfs	Peak 0.021 af	Elev=215 Primary=	.61' Stora =0.00 cfs	age=364 c 0.000 af	f Inflow=0. Outflow=0.0	29 cfs(04 cfs(0.021 af).021 af
Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2	Discarded=0.02 cfs	Peak 0.015 af	Elev=215 Primary=	.28' Stor =0.13 cfs	age=209 c 0.004 af	f Inflow=0. Outflow=0.	26 cfs(15 cfs(0.019 af).019 af
Pond 3P: Porous Paveme	ent Discarded=0.06 cfs	Peak 0.018 af	Elev=214 Primary=	.78' Stor =0.00 cfs	age=152 c 0.000 af	f Inflow=0. Outflow=0.0	22 cfs(06 cfs(0.018 af).018 af
Total Rur	noff Area = 0.852 a	c Runo	ff Volum	e = 0.15	af Ave	erage Run	off Der	oth = 2.13"

89.36% Pervious = 0.761 ac 10.64% Impervious = 0.091 ac

25052 Proposed Prepared by {enter your HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 0	company name h 1078 © 2020 Hydro(ere} CAD Softw	vare Solu	Ty tions L	rpe III _LC	24-hr	100 `	l Year Ra Printed	Rudei <i>infall</i> : 8/30 P	nberg =8.60" 0/2022 2age 7
F Reach rout	Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by SCS TR-2 ing by Stor-Ind+Tra	0.00 hrs, 20 metho ns metho	dt=0.01 d, UH=S d - Por	hrs, 3 SCS, N nd rou	3001 Weigh uting b	points ted-CN by Stor-I	Ind m	ethod		
SubcatchmentE-1: Exist	iing Conditions	Runoff A	rea=18,7 -	′25 sf Tc=6.0	0.009 0 min	% Imper CN=55	vious Rune	Runoff E off=1.57 c	epth= fs 0.1	=3.20" 115 af
SubcatchmentP-1: To RC	G1	Runoff A	rea=3,52 -	23 sf Tc=6.0	33.699) min	% Imper CN=73	vious Rune	Runoff E off=0.51 d	epth= fs 0.0	=5.35")36 af
SubcatchmentP-2: To RC	32	Runoff A	rea=2,89 -	08 sf Tc=6.0	40.729) min	% Imper CN=76	vious Rune	Runoff E off=0.44 c)epth= :fs 0.(=5.71")32 af
SubcatchmentP-3: To Pc	prous Pvmt	Runoff A	rea=1,68	80 sf Tc=6.0	94.059) min	% Imper CN=96	vious Rune	Runoff E off=0.32 d)epth= :fs 0.(=8.12")26 af
SubcatchmentP-4: Unco	ntrolled	Runoff A	rea=10,2	282 sf Tc=6.0	0.009 0 min	% Imper CN=61	vious Rune	Runoff E off=1.07 d)epth= :fs 0.(=3.91")77 af
Reach DP-1: To Wetlands	5						Infle Outfle	ow=1.43 ow=1.43	cfs 0. cfs 0.	089 af 089 af
Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1	Discarded=0.06 cfs	Peak 0.033 af	Elev=215 Primary	5.86' S =0.11	Storag cfs 0.	e=581 c 003 af	f Inflo Outflo	ow=0.51 c w=0.17 c	fs 0.0 fs 0.0)36 af)36 af
Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2	Discarded=0.03 cfs	Peak 0.019 af	Elev=215 Primary:	5.37' S =0.38	Storag cfs 0.	e=246 c 013 af	f Inflo Outflo	ow=0.44 c w=0.40 c	fs 0.0 fs 0.0)32 af)32 af
Pond 3P: Porous Paveme	ent Discarded=0.11 cfs	Peak 0.029 af	Elev=215 Primary	5.33' S =0.00	Storago cfs 0.	e=368 c 000 af	f Inflo Outflo	ow=0.32 c w=0.11 c	rfs 0.0 fs 0.0)29 af)29 af
Total Rur	off Area = 0.852 a	c Runo	ff Volun	ne = 0).285	af Ave	erage	Runoff	Deptl	า = 4.02

)2" 89.36% Pervious = 0.761 ac 10.64% Impervious = 0.091 ac

Summary for Subcatchment E-1: Existiing Conditions

Runoff = 1.57 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.115 af, Depth= 3.20"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60"



Summary for Subcatchment P-1: To RG 1

Runoff = 0.51 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.036 af, Depth= 5.35"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60"

Area	a (sf)	CN	Description	l				
	951	98	Roofs, HSC	ЭB				
	236	98	Unconnecte	ed paveme	nt, HSG B			
2	,336	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B			
3	,523	73	Weighted A	verage				
2	,336		66.31% Pei	rvious Area	l			
1	,187		33.69% Imp	pervious Ar	ea			
	236		19.88% Un	connected				
		~		o	D			
	ength	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
<u>(min)</u>	(teet)	(π/π) (π/sec)	(CTS)				
6.0					Direct Entry	, Min Tc		
				•				
				Subcatch	iment P-1:	10 RG 1		
				Hydro	ograph			
0.55	+	+		++-	+		-+++	
				0.51 cfs				- Runoff
0.5						Type	ll 21_br	
0.45	+	 - +		+	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					100	Year Rainfal	I=8.60"	
0.4						Inoff Aroa-2	572 of	
			1. 1. 1. 1.	1 I I I		111011 Alez	0.020 81	



Summary for Subcatchment P-2: To RG 2

Runoff = 0.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.032 af, Depth= 5.71"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
	1,125	98	Roofs, HSC	θB						
	55	98	Unconnecte	ed pavemei	nt, HSG B					
	1,718	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B					
	2,898	76	Weighted A	verage						
	1,718		59.28% Pe	59.28% Pervious Area						
	1,180		40.72% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
	55		4.66% Unc	onnected						
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description					
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc					

Subcatchment P-2: To RG 2



Summary for Subcatchment P-3: To Porous Pvmt

Runoff = 0.32 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.026 af, Depth= 8.12"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	1,580	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	100	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG B
	1,680	96	Weighted A	verage	
	100		5.95% Perv	vious Area	
	1,580		94.05% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	:) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc
					-

Subcatchment P-3: To Porous Pvmt



Summary for Subcatchment P-4: Uncontrolled

Runoff = 1.07 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.077 af, Depth= 3.91"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Rainfall=8.60"



Summary for Reach DP-1: To Wetlands

Inflow Ar	ea =	0.422 ac, 21.47% Imperv	vious, Inflow Depth =	2.54" for 100 Year event
Inflow	=	1.43 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Vo	olume= 0.089	af
Outflow	=	1.43 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Vo	olume= 0.089	af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach DP-1: To Wetlands

Summary for Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1

Inflow Area	a =	0.081 ac, 3	3.69% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.35"	for 100	Year event
Inflow	=	0.51 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.036	af		
Outflow	=	0.17 cfs @	12.38 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.036	af, Atte	en= 66%,	Lag= 17.7 min
Discarded	=	0.06 cfs @	12.38 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.033	af		
Primary	=	0.11 cfs @	12.38 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.003	af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 215.86' @ 12.38 hrs Surf.Area= 1,105 sf Storage= 581 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 128.2 min calculated for 0.036 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 128.2 min (943.7 - 815.4)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail	.Storage	Storage Descript	on		
#1	214.5	50'	762 cf	Custom Stage D	ata (Irregular)List	ed below (Recalc)
Elevatio	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)	
214.5	50	200	55.0	0	0	200	
215.0	00	267	66.0	116	116	310	
215.5	50	493	83.0	187	303	515	
216.0	00	1,420	160.0	458	762	2,005	
Device	Routing	Inv	vert Outle	et Devices			
#1	Primary	215.	.80' Cus Head Widt	tom Weir/Orifice, d (feet) 0.00 0.20 h (feet) 0.00 22 (Cv= 2.62 (C= 3.2	8)	
#2	Discarde	ed 214.	.50' 2.40	0 in/hr Exfiltratio	n over Surface ar	ea	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 12.38 hrs HW=215.86' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.11 cfs @ 12.38 hrs HW=215.86' (Free Discharge) —1=Custom Weir/Orifice (Weir Controls 0.11 cfs @ 0.62 fps)

25052 Proposed

Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 01078 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Pond 1P: Rain Garden 1

Summary for Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2

Inflow Area	ı =	0.067 ac, 4	0.72% Impe	ervious, I	nflow Depth	n = 5.7	71" for 10	0 Year event
Inflow	=	0.44 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.0	032 af		
Outflow	=	0.40 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.0	032 af,	Atten= 8%,	Lag= 2.1 min
Discarded	=	0.03 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.0	019 af		-
Primary	=	0.38 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.0	013 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 215.37' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 457 sf Storage= 246 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 61.2 min calculated for 0.032 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 61.2 min (870.3 - 809.1)

Volume	Inve	rt Avail.S	Storage	Storage Descriptio	n				
#1	214.50)'	312 cf	Custom Stage Da	ta (Irregular) Listed	d below (Recalc)			
Elevatio (feet	n S	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)			
214.5	0	173	63.0	0	0	173			
215.0	0	280	74.0	112	112	298			
215.5	0	533	95.0	200	312	583			
Device	Routing	Inve	ert Outle	et Devices					
#1	Primarv	215.2	0' 2.0' 	long x 0.5' breadth	Broad-Crested F	Rectangular Weir			
	,	_	Head	d (feet) 0.20 0.40 (0.60 0.80 1.00	3			
			Coef	. (English) 2.80 2.9	92 3.08 3.30 3.32	2			
#2	Discardeo	214.5	0' 2.40	0 in/hr Exfiltration	over Surface area	a			
Discord	Discorded QutElow Max-0.02 of @ 12.12 hrs. LIM-215.27! (Free Discharge)								

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.03 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=215.37' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.03 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.38 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=215.37' (Free Discharge) **1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Weir Controls 0.38 cfs @ 1.14 fps)

Pond 2P: Rain Garden 2



Summary for Pond 3P: Porous Pavement

Inflow Area	=	0.119 ac, 5	3.18% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.93"	for 100) Year event	t
Inflow	=	0.32 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.029	af			
Outflow	=	0.11 cfs @	12.48 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.029	af, Att	ten= 66%	, Lag= 23.8	min
Discarded	=	0.11 cfs @	12.48 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.029	af		-	
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.000	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 215.33' @ 12.56 hrs Surf.Area= 2,000 sf Storage= 368 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 37.0 min calculated for 0.029 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 37.0 min (787.8 - 750.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.	Storage	Storage Descripti	on						
#1	214.40'		360 cf	Custom Stage D 900 cf Overall x	ata (Prismatic) Lis 40.0% Voids	ted below (Recalc)					
#2	215.30'		60 cf	Custom Stage D 200 cf Overall x 3	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below (Recalc) 200 cf Overall x 30.0% Voids						
#3	215.50'		106 cf	Custom Stage D	Custom Stage Data (Irregular)Listed below (Recalc)						
			526 cf	Total Available S	torage						
Elevatior	n Sur	f.Area	Inc	.Store Cum.	Store						
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubio	c-feet) (cubic	-feet)						
214.40)	1,000		0	0						
215.30)	1,000		900	900						
Elevatior	n Sur	f.Area	Perim.	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area					
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)					
215.30)	1,000	130.0	0	0	1,000					
215.50)	1,000	130.0	200	200	1,026					
Elevatior	n Sur	f.Area	Perim.	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area					
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)					
215.50)	100	40.0	0	0	100					
215.80)	700	105.0	106	106	850					
Device	Routing	Inve	ert Outle	et Devices							
#1	Discarded	214.4	0' 2.40	0 in/hr Exfiltratio	n over Surface ar	ea					
#2	Primary	215.7	'0' 3.0'	long x 0.5' bread	th Broad-Crested	l Rectangular Weir					
			Head	d (feet) 0.20 0.40	0.60 0.80 1.00						
			Coef	. (English) 2.80 2	2.92 3.08 3.30 3.3	32					

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.11 cfs @ 12.48 hrs HW=215.30' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.11 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=214.40' (Free Discharge) **2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir**(Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 3P: Porous Pavement




APPENDIX 1

SOILS INFORMATION

NRCS SOILS MAP and DESCRIPTION SOILS REPORT FOR SEPTIC Dated 7/6/2020 STORMWATER MGT - SOIL DATA 4/1/2022

(see Site Plan for test locations).





Soils Outlines NRCS

Property Tax Parcels

256A—Deerfield loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes

This very deep, nearly level, moderately well drained soil is in depressions on glacial stream terraces and deltas. The areas of this soil are irregular in shape and range from 6 to 450 acres in size.

The typical sequence, depth, and composition of the layers of this soil are as follows---

Surface layers:

0 to 1 inch, slightly decomposed leaf litter

1 to 11 inches, very dark gray loamy sand

Subsoil:

11 to 17 inches, yellowish brown loamy sand

17 to 25 inches, yellowish brown sand with distinct strong brown masses of iron accumulation

Substratum:

25 to 65 inches, light brownish gray coarse sand with prominent strong brown masses of iron accumulation

Included with this soil in mapping are areas, generally smaller than 6 acres each, of Sudbury soils in similar landscape positions as the Deerfield soils, and Wareham soils at slightly lower elevations. Minor soils comprise about 10 percent of the map unit.

Major soil properties—

Permeability: moderate to rapid in the surface layers, rapid in the subsoil, very rapid in the substratum

Available water capacity: moderate Soil reaction: very strongly acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: more than 60 inches Depth to seasonal high water table: 1.5 to 3 feet, December-April Hydrologic group: B

Most areas of this soil are woodland. A few small areas are used for commercial and industrial development.

This soil is suited for the cultivation of silage corn, well suited for the production of sweet corn, and limited in its use for hay and pasture. The seasonal high water table delays farming and limits root growth in the spring. This soil must be irrigated during dry periods for optimal crop growth. The main management concern is the prevention of overgrazing, particularly during droughty periods, as this reduces the hardiness and density of desirable plants. Proper stocking rates, timely grazing, and restricting use during adverse moisture periods help maintain plant densities.

Potential productivity for both eastern white pine and northern red oak is moderate. Seedling mortality is moderate because of moisture stress caused by the droughtiness of the soil. Minimizing disturbance to retain leaf cover and designing regeneration cuts to optimize shade and reduce evapotranspiration will help to retain the limited soil moisture. Thinning crowded stands to standard stocking levels will allow more vigorous new growth. Diseased, poorly formed, and otherwise undesirable trees should receive priority for removal during thinning. Shelterwood cutting, seedtree cutting, and clearcutting may be used to establish regeneration or to provide suitable planting sites. Removal or control of competing vegetation may be necessary for optimum growth of newly established seedlings.

This map unit has moderate limitations as a site for dwellings without basements, due to wetness. It has severe limitations for dwellings with basements. Constructing buildings with basement floors above the seasonal high water table will help to avoid interior damage caused by wetness. Footing drains around foundations will help to remove excess subsurface water. Landscaping designed to drain surface water away from buildings will provide added protection from moisture. Constructing roads on raised, coarse-textured base material and providing adequate side ditches and culverts will help to overcome the moderate wetness limitation and protect the roads from frost damage.

This map unit has severe limitations for septic tank absorption fields, as the soil readily absorbs but may not adequately filter sewage effluent, which can lead to pollution of ground water. Shallow depth to the saturated zone is a further severe limitation; placing distribution lines in a mound of more suitable fill material will help to overcome the wetness limitation.

This map unit has poor potential for woodland wildlife habitat. Capability subclass: 3w

256B—Deerfield loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes

This very deep, gently sloping, moderately well drained soil is in depressions on glacial stream terraces and deltas. The areas of this soil are irregular in shape and range from 6 to 60 acres in size.

The typical sequence, depth, and composition of the layers of this soil are as follows---

Surface layers:

0 to 1 inch, slightly decomposed leaf litter

1 to 11 inches, very dark gray loamy sand

Subsoil:

- 11 to 17 inches, yellowish brown loamy sand
- 17 to 25 inches, yellowish brown sand with distinct strong brown masses of iron accumulation

Substratum:

25 to 65 inches, light brownish gray coarse sand with prominent strong brown masses of iron accumulation

Included with this soil in mapping are areas, generally smaller than 6 acres each, of Sudbury soils in similar landscape positions as the Deerfield soils, and Wareham soils at slightly lower elevations. Minor soils comprise about 10 percent of the map unit.

Major soil properties—

Permeability: moderate to rapid in the surface layers, rapid in the subsoil, very rapid in the substratum

Available water capacity: moderate Soil reaction: very strongly acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: more than 60 inches Depth to seasonal high water table: 1.5 to 3 feet, December-April Hydrologic group: B

Most areas of this map unit are woodland. A few small areas are used for commercial and industrial development.

This map unit is suited for the cultivation of silage corn, well suited for sweet corn, and of limited use for hay and pasture. The seasonal high water table delays farming and limits root growth in the spring. This map unit must be irrigated during dry periods for optimal crop growth. The main management concern is the prevention of overgrazing, particularly during droughty periods, as this reduces the hardiness and

FORM 11 - SOIL EVALUATOR FORM

Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

		<u>On-s</u>	site Reviev	V			
Test By: Fredric King,	PE, SE						
Test Hole Number <u>AH-0</u>	1 Date:	4/1/2022	Time:	Morning	Weather	Fair	
Location (identify on site plan	ı)			see plan			
Land Use Vacant Woodla	nd Slop	e (%) 1 to 3	Surface St	ones None			
Vegetation Wooded							
Landform Outwash Terra	ace						
Position on landscape (sketc	h on the back)	see sketch					
Distances from:							
Open Water Body	450+	Feet	Drainageway	Dry ditch 33 ft	Feet		
Possible Wet Area	80 feet	Feet	Property Line	28 ft. (front)	Feet		
Drinking Water Well	None	Feet	Other				

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG*							
Depth from Surface (inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)			
0 – 11	A	Fine SL	10 YR 3/2	None				
11 – 16	Bw	Loamy Sand	10 YR 5/4	10 YR 5/8	Massive, friable			
16 – 20	С	Sand (m-c)	10 YR 4/3	Start @ 14" Com 10 YR 5/8 Many	Gravelly w/ cobbles			

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL ARE
--

Parent Material (geologic)	Outwash	Depth to B	edrock: ND	
Depth to Groundwater:	Standing Water in the Hole:	Saturated @18	Weeping from Pit Face:	None
Estimated Seasonal High Gro	ound Water: At 14 inches	(elev. 212.5)		



DEP APPROVED FORM - 12/07/95

FORM 11 - SOIL EVALUATOR FORM

Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

			<u>On-s</u>	<u>site Reviev</u>	V			
Test By: H	Fredric King, PH	E, SE			_			
Test Hole Nu	umber <u>AH-02</u>	Date:	4/1/2022	Time:	Morning	Weather	Fair	
Location (ide	entify on site plan)				see plan			
Land Use	Vacant Woodland	Slop	e (%) 1 to 3	Surface St	ones None			
Vegetation	Wooded							
Landform	Outwash Terrace	e						
Position on la	andscape (sketch c	on the back)	see sketch					
Distances fro	om:							
Oper	n Water Body	450+	Feet	Drainageway	Dry ditch 32 ft	Feet		
Poss	sible Wet Area	85 feet	Feet	Property Line	27 ft. (front)	Feet		
Drink	king Water Well	None	Feet	Other				

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG*						
Depth from Surface (inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)		
0 – 14	A	Fine SL	10 YR 3/2	None			
14 – 21	Bw	Loamy Sand	10 YR 5/6	10 YR 5/8	Massive, friable		
21 – 23	С	Sand (m-c)	10 YR 4/3	Start @ 20" Com 10 YR 5/8 Many	Gravelly w/ cobbles		

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA						
Parent Material (geologic)	Outwash		Depth to Bedrock:	ND		
Depth to Groundwater:	Standing Water in the Hole:	None	Weeping	from Pit Face:	None	
Estimated Seasonal High G	round Water: At 20 inches	(elev. 212	.4)			



DEP APPROVED FORM - 12/07/95

FORM 11 - SOIL EVALUATOR FORM

Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

			<u>On-s</u>	<u>ite Reviev</u>	V			
Test By:	Fredric King, PE	E, SE						
Test Hole N	Number <u>AH-03</u>	Date:	4/1/2022	Time:	Morning	Weather	Fair	
Location (ic	dentify on site plan)				see plan			
Land Use	Vacant Woodland	Slop	e (%) 1 to 3	Surface St	ones None			
Vegetation	Wooded							
Landform	Outwash Terrace	;						
Position on	landscape (sketch o	n the back)	see sketch					
Distances f	from:							
Ор	en Water Body	450+	Feet	Drainageway	Dry ditch 63	ft Feet		
Pos	ssible Wet Area	40 feet	Feet	Property Line	60 ft. (front)	Feet		
Dri	nking Water Well	None	Feet	Other				

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG*							
Depth from Surface (inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)			
0 – 15	A	Fine SL	10 YR 3/2	None				
15 – 18	A2	Fine SL	10 YR 2/1	None Low chroma	Massive, friable			
18 – 24	С	Sand (m-c)	10 YR 4/4	10 YR 5/8 Many	Gravelly w/ cobbles			

* MINIMUM OF	2 HOLES REQUI	RED AT EVERY P	ROPOSED	DISPOSAL AREA		
Parent Material (geologic)	Outwash			Depth to Bedrock:	ND	
Depth to Groundwater:	Standing Wate	er in the Hole:	18"	Weeping	from Pit Face:	None
Estimated Seasonal High G	round Water:	At 15 inches	(elev. 212	2.4)		



DEP APPROVED FORM - 12/07/95



1071 Worcester Rd. Framingham, MA 01701 508.879.0030 www.dgtassociates.com

July 6, 2020

25052

William Murphy, Director Sudbury Health Department 275 Old Lancaster Road Sudbury, MA 01776

SENT VIA E-MAIL

RE: 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury – Soil Test Report

Dear Bill:

Attached for your records

The soil testing at the subject parcel of land was completed on July 2, 2020. The testing was performed by myself and was witnessed by Bob Landry for your office. The backhoe was provided by D. J. Morris.

The testing consisted of two deep hole tests and two percolations tests. Attached for your records is a copy of the soil test report and the sketch plan showing the approximate locations of the tests. At this time we are scheduling the survey of the location and elevations of the tests.

Note that we found that the subsoil (B horizon) consisted of a clean, fine to medium sand that varied in thickness. The C horizon is a gravelly sand with cobbles. As expected, the estimated seasonal high groundwater was relatively shallow. Since the B horizon was very good material, we performed a perc test in each of the B horizon and the C horizon so that the B horizon can stay in place, rather than have it excavated and replaced with Title 5 sand.

Thank you for your assistance in scheduling the testing. Contact me if you have any questions. We will also send a hard copy to your office by mail.

Sincerely, **DGT Associates**

Fredric W. King

Fredric W. King, P.E. Senior Engineer

Enclosure: Soil Test Report

CC: Elizabeth Rudenberg

FORM 11 - SOIL EVALUATOR FORM Page 1 of

Job No).	
No.		

Date:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts				
Sudbury	, Massachusetts			
Soil Suitability Assessment	<u>for On-site Sewage Disposal</u>			

Performed By:

Date:

Witnessed By:

Location Address or	Owner's Name,
Lot #	Address, and
	Telephone #
New Construction Repair	
Office Review	
Published Soil Survey Available : No Yes	
Year Published Publication	Scale Soil Map Unit
Drainage Class Soil Limitation	ons
Surficial Geologic Report Available: No Yes	
Year Published Publication	Scale
Geologic Material (Map Unit)	
Landform	
Flood Insurance Rate Map:	
Above 500 year flood boundary No 🗌 Yes 🗌	
Within 500 year flood boundary No 🗌 Yes 🗌	
Within 100 year flood boundary No Yes	Rear portion of parcel
Wetland Area:	
National Wetland Inventory Map (map unit)	
Wetlands Conservancy Program Map (map unit)	
Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS): Month	1
Range: Above Normal Normal Below	Normal
Other References Reviewed:	
J	



i

.

Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

On-site Review

Deep Hole I	Number <u>TH-01</u>	Date:	July 2, 2020	Time:	Morning	Weather	Fair
Location (id	entify on site plan)				see sketch		
Land Use	Vacant Woodland	d Slop	e (%) 1 to 3	Surface St	ones None		
Vegetation	Wooded						
Landform	Outwash Terrac	e					
Position on	landscape (sketch	on the back)	see sketch				
Distances fr	rom:						
Ope	en Water Body	250	Feet	Drainageway	Dry ditch 25 ft	Feet	
Pos	sible Wet Area	60 feet	Feet	Property Line	25 ft.	Feet	
Drir	nking Water Well	None	Feet	Other			

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG*				
Depth from Surface (inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0 – 8	A	Loamy Sand	10 YR 3/2	None	
8 – 23	Bw	Sand (f-m)	10 YR 5/6	None	Massive, friable
23 – 77	С	Sand (m-c)	10 YR 4/3	10 YR 5/8 Many begin at 23 inches	Gravelly w/ cobbles

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic)	Outwash	sh Depth to Bedrock: +77					
Depth to Groundwater:	Standing Wat	er in the Hole:	37 inches	Weeping from Pit Face:	None		
Estimated Seasonal High G	round Water:	At 23 inches					



FORM 11 - SOIL EVALUATOR FORM Page 3 of 6

Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

On-site Review

Deep Hole Nun	nber <u>TH-02</u>	Date:	July 2, 2020	Time:	Morning	Weather	Fair
Location (identi	fy on site plan)				see sketch		
Land Use V	acant Woodlan	d Slop	e (%) 1 to 3	Surface St	ones		
Vegetation							Wooded with some under
Landform							Outwash Terrace
Position on land	dscape (sketch	on the back)					
						Distan	ces from (Approximate):
Open V	Vater Body	250	Feet	Drainageway	Dry ditch 25 ft		
Possibl	e Wet Area	70 feet	Feet	Property Line	25 ft.		
Drinkin	g Water Well	None	Feet	Other			

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG*					
Depth from Surface (inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)	
0 – 9	A	Loamy Sand	10 YR 3/2	None		
9 – 20	Bw	Sand (f-m)	10 YR 5/2	None	Massive, friable	
20 – 77	С	Sand (m-c)	10 YR 4/3	10 YR 5/6 Many begin at 20 inches	Gravelly w/ cobbles	

* MINIMUM OF 2	HOLES REQUIR	ED AT EVERY PR	OPOSED DISPO	SAL AREA	
Parent Material (geologic)	Outwash		Dept	h to Bedrock: +77	
Depth to Groundwater:	Standing Wate	er in the Hole:	37 inches	Weeping from Pit Face:	None
Estimated Seasonal High G	Fround Water:	At 20 inches			



Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, MA

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Sudbury Massachusetts

	Percolation Tes	t*			
Date: Ju	ly 2, 2020 Time: 1	0:00 am			
Observation Hole #	Perc 01	Perc 02			
Depth of Perc (to top of 12" of water)	16 inches	18 inches			
Start Pre-soak	10:05	10:22			
End Pre-soak	10:15 (25 gal)	10:37			
Time at 12"	10:15	10:37			
Time at 9"	10:16:30	10:45			
Time at 6"	10:18	10:57			
Time (9"-6")	1.5 minutes	12 minutes			
Rate Min./Inch	< 2 MPI	4 MPI			
* Minimum of 1 percol AND reserve area.	ation test must be performed	d in both the primary area			
Site Passed	Site Failed				
Performed By: Fre	dric King				
Witnessed By: Bol	Bob Landry				
Comments: Per	Perc 01 in the B Horizon. Perc 02 is in the C Horizon				



Job No. Location Address or Lot No. 219 Wayside Inn Road, Sudbury, Ma

Determination for Seasonal High Water Table

Method Used:

	Depth observed standi	ng in observation hole	•	inches	
	Depth weeping from si	de of observation hole	;	inches	
X	Depth to soil mottles	TH 01 = 23"	Inches TH 0	2 = 20 inches	
	Ground water adjustme	ent	feet		
Index Wel	l Number	Reading Date		Index well level	
Adjustmer	nt factor	Adjusted ground w	ater level		

Depth of naturally Occurring Pervious Material

Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system? Yes If not, what is the depth of naturally occurring pervious material?

Certification

I certify that on Lic # 1232 (date) I have passed the soil evaluator examination approved by the Department of Environmental Protection and that the above analysis was performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017.

Signature Fredric W. King Date July 6, 2020





APPENDIX 2

STORMWATER OPERATION & MAINTENANCE And POLLUTION SOURCE CONTROL

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN and LONG TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION

Rudenberg Estate – Single Family House Project Wayside Inn Road, in Sudbury, MA

INTRODUCTION

The Stormwater Management System for the proposed single family house project at Wayside Inn Road in Sudbury, MA contains "Stormwater Best Management Practices" (BMP's) that have been designed to protect the environment from stormwater related impacts to surface waters and groundwater. Stormwater Best Management Practices are defined as devices that temporarily store, treat and convey stormwater runoff to reduce flooding, remove pollutants, and provide other amenities for the protection of surface and groundwater resources and the general environment.

As with any stormwater BMPs, they must be inspected and maintained on a regular basis in order for the system to function properly as designed. Good maintenance practices help ensure that the stormwater BMP's are in proper working order when they are needed to perform under storm conditions and will maximize the useful life of the facilities. BMP's that are not properly maintained soon become less effective and may lead to costly repairs to bring the BMP's back to a good condition. Proper maintenance also helps avoid failures of the systems and resulting environmental damage or long-term degradation of valuable natural resource areas.

This manual has been prepared for the operation and maintenance of the planned stormwater management system. At the completion of the project, the responsibility for the maintenance and operation of the system will be the Owner / Operator of the property. This project is being designed and permitted to prepare the property for sale. The current owners, (Estate of Gunther Rudenberg, will not be building the project. The future owners will be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the planned stormwater management systems. The future owners have not been determined at this time.

The Stormwater BMP proposed for this single-family home site includes the following:

- Two Rain Gardens (aka Bioretention Basins) located off the front corners of the house, that will receive stormwater runoff and snow melt from the roof area and the front walk and entry pad.
- Pervious Paver system for the driveway runoff.

Routine inspections and some of the routine maintenance tasks will be performed by the owner. Outside contractors may be hired for some items, such as vacuum sweeping and major repairs and replacement of the pervious pavers.

This manual is intended to be used as the management document for the system. It contains specific plans of the components of the stormwater management system. These include descriptions of the purpose and function of each component, inspection and maintenance requirements and check lists and report forms for record keeping. The manual also contains background information, descriptions of environmental concerns and information necessary for an understanding of the reasons for the proper management of the stormwater management system.

The first step in the process of implementing the operation and maintenance requirements needs to include the following:

- 1. Training of the Owner
- 2. Administration Tasks: Budget Planning, Resource Allocation, etc.
- 3. Preparation of an as-built plan or site map that shows the built location of the facility.

TOWN OF SUDBURY REQUIREMENTS

Note that the Town of Sudbury Planning Board or its designee shall be allowed to enter the property at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner for the purpose of inspection. A copy of this O&M Manual shall remain on file with the Planning Board and Conservation Commission.

A copy of the regular inspection reports shall be submitted to and maintained by the Planning Board or its designated Reviewing Agent as may be required under the various permits and approvals issued for the project.

The owner of the stormwater management system must notify the Planning Board or its designated Reviewing Agent of any changes in ownership or assignment of financial responsibility as may be required under the various permits and approvals issued for the Workshop Project.

MASSACHUSETTS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

Following construction of the Stormwater Management System, the Operation and Maintenance Plan must be implemented for the system to remain in compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards and Town of Sudbury requirements.

STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP's)

The Stormwater BMP's designed into the project include the following:

STORMWATER BMP's	# Units
Rain Gardens	2

Pervious Paver System

The following pages describe the inspection, routine maintenance and non routine maintenance which are required for each BMP. The inspection and maintenance requirements are based on the recommendations from the Stormwater <u>Management</u> <u>Standards Handbook, Volume 1, 2, 3, February 2008, MassDEP.</u>

BUDGET:

Due to the simple nature of the system, the routine O& M costs for this item can be part of the regular site maintenance for the property with no significant additional cost.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

The stormwater management systems designed for the proposed house project are passive system that do not require any operational procedures to be followed during a storm event to operate as intended. There are no valves to turn, weirs to set, pumps to be turned on, or other manual activity required. What <u>is</u> necessary to assure that the system functions properly are the performance of regular inspections and maintenance tasks.

The Best Management Practice for this project consists of two small Rain Gardens located off the two front corners of the house, and a Pervious Paver System for 1000 sq. ft. of the driveway. The rain gardens will receive runoff from the roof area and the front walkway. and the precipitation the falls directly into the basin. The pervious paver system will receive the precipitation that falls onto the pervious paver system and some runoff from the adjacent asphalt paved driveway. The Operation and Maintenance requirements for these systems involve the following:

Inspections	A process by which you can evaluate if the BMP's are in acceptable condition and are still effective.
Maintenance	Tasks required for the upkeep and repair of the BMP's to keep them in good working order. This is broken down into routine maintenance tasks, and non-routine maintenance and repairs.
Record Keeping	 Documentation of the Inspections and Maintenance that has been performed. This is important and useful for: 1.) Proving that the tasks are performed. 2.) Use in scheduling and planning of repairs and maintenance. 3.) Documenting possible future problems and recommending corrective measures. 4.) Planning manpower and equipment needs. 5.) Making adjustments to the O&M Plan where warranted for the stormwater system to function as intended.

The inspection and maintenance requirements for each stormwater BMP are based on the recommendations contained in the <u>MassDEP Stormwater Management Handbook</u>, <u>Volume Two, Chapter 2, Structural BMP Specifications; February 2008</u>. It is recommended that the procedures described for each BMP be followed strictly for the first two years of operation. During that initial two-year period, the observations and experience gained from monitoring this stormwater management system will provide the information necessary to adjust the O&M procedures for the most efficient management of the system. Adjustment of the Operation and Maintenance Procedures may require the approval from the Town of Sudbury.

Note that the descriptions of the maintenance requirements include the basic items needed or required for the tasks. The inspectors and maintenance personnel must also be made aware of other work-related safety precautions and regulations such as OSHA confined space rules, traffic safety, protective clothing, and safety equipment that must be utilized in the performance of the prescribed tasks.

INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR BMP's

BIORETENTION BASINS (RAIN GARDENS)

DESCRIPTION AND FUNCTION

Bioretention is a technique that uses soils, plants, and microbes to treat stormwater before it is infiltrated and/or discharged. Bioretention cells are shallow depressions filled with sandy soil topped with a thick layer of special bioretention soil and planted with dense native vegetation. The runoff percolates through the soil media that acts as a filter. The root systems of the vegetation keep the soil pores open to allow the infiltration into the soil media.

There are two types of bioretention cells: those that are designed solely as organic filtering bioretention areas and those configured to recharge groundwater in addition to acting as a filter/exfiltrating bioretention area. This project contains the latter type and there are 2 basins on this site.

INSPECTIONS

Bioretention areas require careful attention while plants are being established and seasonal landscaping maintenance thereafter. Inspect pretreatment devices and bioretention cells regularly for sediment build-up, structural damage, and standing water. Overall, the bioretention areas should be inspected monthly in a general manner by brief observation. Thorough inspections with report forms shall be twice per year. The areas should be inspected for trash and debris, vegetative health, stability, and soil erosion. The overflow area must be inspected for condition.

ROUTINE MAINTENANCE

Remove and replace dead vegetation semi-annually or as needed based on the inspections. Removal of trash and debris should take place monthly with replacement of the mulch occurring when infiltration is blocked, and extended ponding is occurring (more than 72 hours following a rain event). Mow the basin and prune the vegetation 1-2 times per year. Other tasks include fertilizing (only when necessary), liming, watering, pruning, and weed and pest control if necessary, to maintain the health of the vegetated cover. Keep overflow area clear of debris.

NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE

These are structural repairs and replacement of system components. Typical items for this BMP may include:

- Major repairs to vegetation
- Replace the bioretention soil media and vegetation. (3-5 years unless draining satisfactorily)
- Repair erosion of areas creating an improperly functioning BMP

- Rototilling of the surface to break up surface compaction and replanting (rarely required if well maintained).

MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT

- Typical lawn and vegetation maintenance equipment (mower, rakes, pruners, etc.)

- Shovels, trash bags, and wheelbarrow for removal of sediment, leaf litter and debris.

PERVIOUS PAVER SYSTEM

TYPES

1. Access Drive - "Uni-Eco-Stone"

INSPECTIONS

The pervious pavers system should be inspected on a monthly basis or two to three days after a rainfall event to ensure that there is no ponding, upheaval of the pavers, and checl of the structural integrity of the pavers.

MAINTENANCE

Proper design and installation, including the use of the specific size aggregates to fill in the voids, coupled with a scheduled maintenance program can minimize the loss of porosity over time. The amount and type of traffic the pavement is subject to influences how often cleaning is required. The pavement should be kept clean of leaves and excess sand and debris. For winter traction control, sand must not be used as the sand will clog the pores. It is recommended that snow be plowed off the surface and the surface may be treated with an "ice melt" product or brine solution for ice control. No sodium based salts may be used for ice control. Calcium chloride or magnesium chloride or pretreatment brine solution are acceptable.

The pervious paver system is only 1,000 sq. ft. So, routine sweeping with a stiff bristle broom and or leaf blower can be used to keep the surface pores from clogging. This should be done at least twice per year. Once or more in the fall after leaf-fall when necessary, and then again in the springtime after the melting of snow to clean the sand and mud tracked in from winter roads.

When necessary to restore infiltration rate, hire a contractor sweeper to clean the pavement with a hydro-vac. This should be done in the springtime after the melting of snow to clean the sand tracked in from winter roads. And then refill the stone gaps with the fine stone that is removed during vacuuming. It is recommended to plan to hydro-vac the surface once every 3 to 5 years.

MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT

Grounds equipment (rakes, brooms, leaf blower, etc.) Hydro-vac

NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE

These are repairs and replacement of system paving stones as necessary and may include the following.

- Removing the surface stone and remove any clogged setting bed stone and reset.
- Repairs to curbing and edging.

LONG TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION (SOURCE CONTROL)

In accordance with the standards for a General Stormwater Permit under the Sudbury Stormwater Bylaw Regulations, the following pollution source control measures are required to be employed on the site. Specific reference is made to Section 6.0 J.1.f. We have included the listing of Items in italics and have added specific information as necessary for the specific project.

- 1. Store lawn and deicing chemicals under cover.
 - For this project, it is recommened to keep these materials within the garage. See also Item 10 below.
- 2. Apply fertilizers and pesticides sparingly to prevent washoff.
 - Note that the lawn areas have been kept to a minimum. All other areas are to be planted with native trees, shrubs and ground cover that should not require any fertilization after the initial planting period when the areas are in full cover.
- 3. Use of slow-release nitrogen and low phosphorus fertilizers is encouraged.
- 4. No fertilization or pesticide application in or near any wetland resource area.
 - Note that the planting areas near the wetlands are to be planted with native shrubs and groundcovers to provide a natural buffer between the developed area and the wetlands. These areas will not need any fertilization following initial establishment as described in Item 2 above.
- 5. Pick up pet waste, dispose of in the toilet or trash.
- 6. Store, use and dispose of household hazardous wastes properly.
- 7. Limit exterior washing of vehicles to locations that drain to pervious surfaces and away from storm drains.

For this project, the driveway area will consist of a pervious paver system. A short section of driveway will also drain to this paver system. Washing of vehicles on this surface is not recommended as the detergents in the rinse water will eventually drain to groundwater. It is recommended that outdoor car washing at this site be avoided and the owner should use off-site car washing facilities.

- 8. Maintain vehicles and clean up fluid spills/drips from pavement areas.
 The owner needs to regularly check their vehicles for fluid leaks and have the vehicles regularly maintained.
- 9. Pump and maintain septic system.

- The owner must regularly maintain the septic system per the recommendations of the Board of Health.

- 10. Use alternative deicers such as calcium chloride and magnesium chloride in lieu of sodium based deicers.
 - This is particularly important at this site due to the pervious paver system. Non-sodium based brine pretreatment solution is also acceptable.
- 11. No coal tar based pavement sealants are to be used on any site subject to the General Stormwater Management Permit (GSMP).

SPECIAL SNOW REMOVAL REQUIRENENTS

In addition to the information on snow removal contained in the pervious paver O&M section and in the foregoing Source Control section, the following conditions shall apply.

Plow or snow-blow snow to the sides of the driveway and the turn-around area with the following conditions:

- Do not deposit snow into the Town drain ditch along Wayside Inn Road.
- Do not plow or throw snow into the wetland area or onto buffer plantings off the end of the driveway.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE FORMS

CONTENTS:

INSPECTION FORMS

- Bioretention Basins
- Pervious Pavers

MAINTENANCE / REPAIR RECORD FORM

BIORETENTION	N BASINS					
Routine Inspectio	n Checklist	- Inspection semi-	-annually			Da
	Slope Integrity	Sediment Depth	Vegetation	Erosion	Ponding	
Rain Garden #1						_
Rain Garden #2						
						_
						_
						_

PERVIOUS PAVER SYSTEM

* Presence of hydrocarbons is a clearly visible layer of oil, gasoline, grease, hydraulic fluid, etc., floating on the surface or a strong odor of gas or oil

ESTATE OF H. GUNTHER RUDENBERG WAYSIDE INN ROAD, SUDBURY, MA STORMWATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE RECORD

Date of Maintenance: _____ Performed By: _____

Maintenance / repair tasks were performed on the following on-site BMP structures:

Stormwater Structure Work Performed.

Other Comments:

SITE PLAN H. GUNTHER RUDENBERG ESTATE Wayside Inn Road Sudbury, Massachusetts





SH	EE
C-1	TI
C-2	E)
C-3	EF
~ 4	



EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES & PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

1. CONSTRUCTION PERIOD EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL 1.1 THE PURPOSE OF THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN IS TO MINIMIZE THE INTRODUCTION OF SEDIMENTS ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAYS, WETLAND RESOURCE AREAS, ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND TO POST-DEVELOPMENT STORMWATER BMP'S RESULTING FROM THE LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.

1.2 THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS LISTED ON THIS SHEET SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED.

1.3 INSPECTIONS SHALL BE CONDUCTED BY THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR ON A WEEKLY BASIS, OR FOLLOWING SIGNIFICANT STORM EVENTS (RAINFALL OF 0.5" OR MORE) THAT CAN AFFECT THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES IMPLEMENTED AT THE SITE. THE PURPOSE OF THE INSPECTIONS IS TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CONTROLS AND ANY REQUIRED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES. IF AN EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURE IS FOUND TO BE INADEQUATE FOR PROPERLY CONTROLLING SEDIMENT. AN ADEQUATE MEASURE SHALL BE DESIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED. A COPY OF THE WRITTEN INSPECTIONS SHALL BE KEPT ON FILE AT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE.

1.4 DURING CONSTRUCTION, PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENT. ALL PROPOSED NEW STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FEATURES THAT INFILTRATE RUNOFF ARE PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE TO DAMAGE BY SEDIMENT. INFILTRATION TECHNOLOGIES ARE NOT DESIGNED TO HANDLE THE HIGH CONCENTRATIONS OF SEDIMENTS TYPICALLY FOUND IN CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF, AND MUST BE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION RELATED SEDIMENT LOADINGS. SITE RUNOFF FROM UNSTABILIZED AREAS SHALL NOT BE DISCHARGED INTO THE PROPOSED INFILTRATION SYSTEMS UNTIL THE TRIBUTARY DRAINAGE AREA IS STABLE OR THE RUNOFF IS TREATED TO BE ESSENTIALLY FREE FROM SEDIMENT TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY BY-PASS SYSTEMS AS NECESSARY TO PREVENT CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF FROM ENTERING THE INFILTRATION SYSTEMS. THE INFILTRATION SYSTEMS SHALL REMAIN OFF-LINE AND PROTECTED. CLEAN ROOF RUNOFF MAY DISCHARGE INTO THE INFILTRATION SYSTEMS IF IT IS PIPED DIRECTLY TO THE SYSTEM AND NOT DIRECTED OVER DISTURBED AREAS.

1.5 NO STOCKPILING IS ALLOWED WITHIN THE FOOTPRINT OF THE PROPOSED INFILTRATION SYSTEM OR THE FOOTPRINT OF THE PROPOSED SOIL ABSORPTION FIELD. CONTRACTOR IS TO LOCATE AND STAKE THE PROPOSED AREAS FOR THESE SYSTEMS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

1.6 NO PARKING IS ALLOWED OVER THE FOOTPRINT OF THE PROPOSED SOIL ABSORPTION SYSTEM AT ANYTIME DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS.

2. GENERAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

2.1 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL, ROUTINELY INSPECT, AND MAINTAIN ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS SUCH THAT THEY ARE IN PROPER WORKING ORDER DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS ALL AREAS OF THE SITE TRIBUTARY TO THOSE CONTROLS ARE IN A PERMANENTLY STABILIZED CONDITION.

2.2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MANAGE THE SITE SUCH THAT EROSION AND SEDIMENT FROM RUNOFF AND WIND BLOWN DUST ARE CONTROLLED AND ALWAYS MINIMIZED. THE CONTROLS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN INCLUDE THE INITIAL SETUP AND BASIC INFORMATION. TO MEET THE REQUIREMENT OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES. THE CONTRACTOR MUST MANAGE THE SITE PROPERLY WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO: MINIMIZING AREAS OF EXPOSED SOILS: INSTALLING TEMPORARY COVER; MAKE NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS TO THE EROSION CONTROL INSTALLATIONS TO IMPROVE FUNCTION; PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASINS; INSTALL ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROLS WHERE NECESSARY

- 2.3 DESIGN, INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING: -"STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, DEVELOPING POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANS AND
- BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES" U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, OCTOBER 1992. - "MASSACHUSETTS EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL GUIDELINES FOR URBAN AND SUBURBAN AREAS, A GUIDE FOR PLANNERS, DESIGNERS AND MUNICIPAL OFFICIALS", MASS. EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS, MAY 2003.
- U.S.D.A. NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION SERVICES (NRCS) GUIDELINES.

2.4 THE EROSION CONTROL WORK SHOWN ON THIS PLAN MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO PERMITS AND APPROVALS BY OTHER STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THOSE PERMITS AND APPROVALS.

3. FEDERAL NPDES PHASE II COMPLIANCE

3.1 THIS PROJECT IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION SITES ADMINISTERED BY THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA).

PERIMETER EROSION CONTROL BARRIER AND LIMIT OF WORK

4.1 PRIOR TO ANY DISTURBANCE OR ALTERATIONS OF ANY AREA ON THE SITE, A SEDIMENT BARRIER SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN.

4.2 INSTALL THE FIBER LOGS IN THE LOCATIONS AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS. IN THOSE AREAS WHERE THE TOPOGRAPHY INDICATES THAT STORMWATER RUNOFF WILL BE CONCENTRATED (AT LOW POINTS), ADDITIONAL FIBER LOGS OR SILT FENCES AS NECESSARY SHALL BE STAKED ON THE UPGRADIENT SIDE OF THE BARRIER FOR ADDED FILTRATION AND PROTECTION. THE REQUIRED LOCATIONS FOR THE ADDED BARRIER INSTALLATION WILL BE SELECTED BY THE ENGINEER AND / OR THE AUTHORIZED INSPECTOR UPON COMPLETION OF THE PERIMETER EROSION CONTROL INSTALLATION.

4.3 ONCE INSTALLED, THE EROSION CONTROL BARRIER SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN PLACE UNTIL ALL AREAS UPGRADIENT FROM THE BARRIERS HAVE BEEN PERMANENTLY STABILIZED.

4.4 ALL DISTURBED AREAS NOT OTHERWISE DEVELOPED OR WHERE SPECIAL STABILIZATION MEASURES OR LANDSCAPE PLANTINGS ARE PROPOSED SHALL BE LOAMED AND SEEDED. SIX INCHES OF LOAM TOPSOIL (MIN. COMPACTED DEPTH) SHALL BE APPLIED UNLESS, OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

4.5 THE PERIMETER EROSION CONTROL BARRIER IS ALSO A LIMIT OF WORK. ALL AREAS OUTSIDE THE LIMIT ARE TO BE LEFT UNDISTURBED. DURING THE SITE WORK, ALL PERSONS AND EQUIPMENT SHALL STAY OUT OF THESE AREAS TO PRESERVE THE EXISTING VEGETATION AND SOIL COVER.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

5.1 AT THE START OF SITE WORK, A STONE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE INSTALLED AT THE ACCESS TO THE SITE FROM THE ROADWAY TO CONTROL THE TRACKING OF MUD OFF THE SITE. THE ENTRANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL THE SITE IS IN A STABILIZED CONDITION WHEN THE POSSIBILITY OF VEHICLES TRACKING MUD OFF SITE HAS BEEN ELIMINATED. PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE STONE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE, A TEMPORARY CULVERT AND PRELIMINARY DRIVEWAY SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN. ALTERNATIVELY, THE PERMANENT OPEN BOX CULVERT AND DRIVEWAY WORK MAY BE INSTALLED FIRST AND FILL INSTALLED TO PROVIDE THE ACCESS WAY. INSTALL THE STONE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE ON THAT PREPARED DRIVEWAY SURFACE.

5.2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SWEEP THE ADJACENT ROADWAYS WHEN MUD, DUST, DIRT, DEBRIS, ETC. HAS SHOWN SIGNS OF BUILDUP ON THE ROADWAYS EXITING THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER AND IMMEDIATE ATTENTION IS ALWAYS REQUIRED.

6.0 DEWATERING OF EXCAVATIONS

6.1 DISCHARGE FROM DEWATERING PUMPS OR TEMPORARY TRENCH OR EXCAVATION DRAINS SHALL NOT BE DISCHARGED DIRECTLY TO THE ON-SITE DRAINAGE SYSTEM. DISCHARGES SHALL BE DIRECTED TO A TREATMENT SYSTEM CONSISTING OF A SEDIMENT BASIN, STRAW BALE SEDIMENT BASIN, FILTER BAG SYSTEM OR OTHER APPROVED METHOD TO FILTER THE DISCHARGE WATER AND PREVENT EROSION.

7.0 SOIL STOCKPILES

7.1 STOCKPILES OF SOIL MATERIALS SHALL BE PLACED WITHIN AREAS THAT ARE PROTECTED BY PERIMETER EROSION CONTROLS, OR SHALL BE SURROUNDED BY PROPER SILT FENCING, FIBER LOGS, OR STAKED STRAW BALES.

7.2 STOCKPILES THAT ARE TO BE IN PLACE FOR EXTENDED PERIODS OF TIME (MORE THAN 30 DAYS) SHALL BE COVERED OR OTHERWISE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.

8.0 DUST CONTROL

8.1 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES DURING SITE WORK TO MINIMIZE WIND BLOWN DUST FROM EXPOSED SOIL SURFACES. MEASURES INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: - SPRINKLING WATER ON EXPOSED SURFACES



PERIMETER EROSION CONTROLS STAKED FIBER LOG AND SILT FENCE SEDIMENT BARRIER DETAIL

(NO SCALE)









GENERAL NOTES

- 1. SEE SHEET C-2 FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS NOTES AND INFORMATION. 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION AND RELATIVE ELEVATION OF THE
- BENCHMARKS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCY SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER.
- 3. IN CASES WHERE LEDGE, BURIED FOUNDATIONS OR BOULDERS ARE PRESENT, DGT ASSOCIATES SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE AMOUNT OF ROCK OR CONCRETE ENCOUNTERED.
- 4. DGT ASSOCIATES SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN THE EXISTING CONDITIONS OR UTILITIES THAT MAY AFFECT THE CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN FOR ANY NECESSARY PLAN REVISIONS.
- 5. THIS PLAN IS NOT INTENDED TO SHOW AN ENGINEERED BUILDING FOUNDATION DESIGN WHICH WOULD INCLUDE DETAILS AND ELEVATIONS FOR FOOTINGS, FOUNDATION WALL DESIGN. COORDINATE WITH THE ARCHITECTURAL AND STRUCTURAL PLANS.
- 6. THE PROPOSED BUILDING CONFIGURATION AS SHOWN HEREON SHALL BE CONSIDERED CONCEPTUAL AND SHALL BE VERIFIED WITH THE FINAL ARCHITECTURAL PLANS.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR AND/OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY EXISTING FEATURES DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION THAT ARE NOT INTENDED FOR DEMOLITION AND/OR REMOVAL HEREON.
- 8. SAFETY MEASURES, CONSTRUCTION METHODS AND CONTROL OF WORK SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A PASSABLE ROADWAY (WAYSIDE INN ROAD)
- AT ALL TIMES FOR PEDESTRIAN AND VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. 10. RIM ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON FOR NEW STRUCTURES ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST THE CONTRACTOR WITH MATERIAL TAKEOFFS. FINAL RIM ELEVATIONS SHALL MATCH
- PAVEMENT, GRADING, LANDSCAPING, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE. 11. PERIMETER ROOF DRAIN LEADERS ARE TO BE 6" HDPE (ADS-12, DOUBLE WALL). 12. WHERE NEW PAVING MEETS EXISTING PAVING, MEET LINE AND GRADE OF EXISTING.
- 13. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL CONFORM TO THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA).
- 14. ALL WATER, AND SEWER WORK OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A CONTRACTOR QUALIFIED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN THE TOWN OF SUDBURY. UTILITY WORK SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN OF SUDBURY CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS.
- 15. FOR INFORMATION ON THE PROPOSED SEPTIC SYSTEM, SEE SEPARATE PLAN ENTITLED "PROPOSED SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM" DATED REVISED 11/10/2021, DGT ASSOCIATES.
- 16. FRONT STONE WALL: REPAIR AND REALIGN EXISTING STONE WALL FOR A UNIFORM APPEARANCE AT THE FRONT OF THE SITE IN THE SAME LOCATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN FROM WALL POINT "A" TO WALL POINT "B". STONES REMOVED FOR THE DRIVEWAY ARE TO BE SAVED AND USED IN THE DRIVEWAY BORDER.

LEGEND

EXISTING	
	 CONTOUR ELEVATION UNDERGROUND ROOF DRAIN L UNDERGROUND WATER LINE UNDERGROUND SEWER FORCE OVERHEAD WIRES UTILITY POLE
	ROOF DRAIN
PERC	PERCOLATION TEST
AH = #	TEST DIT
WF#	WETLAND FLAG
" +123.2	SPOT GRADE
CONC.	CONCRETE
BIT.	BITUMINOUS
	TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
	LANDSCAPE AREAS
FOP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION
	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE
	INVERT
	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
	ESTIMATED SEASON HIGH GROU
	FROSION AND SEDIMENT
	CONTROL BARRIER
	INSPECTION PORT

	DGT Associates
	Surveying &
ØUP	Engineering
EOP 216.14	Framingham Boston ● Worcester
$EA = \pm 21676$	1071 Worcester Road
ELEVATION=214.6 +216.76	Framingham, MA 01701 508-879-0030
EOP 216.57	www.DGTassociates.com
OINT B DTE #16)215	
POPOSED PAIN CAPDEN #1	
SOTTOM=214.5 SGTGW=212.5±	
4	
ROPOSED RAIN GARDEN #2 OVERFLOW WIER EL.=215.2 OTTOM=214.5	
SHGW=212.4 SEE DETAILS)	
ROPOSED PERIMETER EROSION ND SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER	
SEE SHEET C-3)	
155' TO LEACHING AREA)	
	ASSESSORS PARCELS
	L01-0002
	L02-0003 L02-0013
	RECORD OWNER AND APPLICANT: H. GUNTHER RUDENBERG ESTATE
FEMA FLOOD ZONE AE	ELIZABETH RUDENBERG P.R. 249 FORESIDE ROAD EALMOUTH MAINE 0/105
	MATH OF MASSAC
	KING No. 38089
	to it to be a construction of the construction
	V/V minuter
	DATE: AUGUST 8, 2022
	SCALE: 1" = 20'
<u>PROPOSED</u> 100	DESIGN: DRAFTED: CHECKED:
	FWK LTV/FJS FWK Project title:
RD	
	PROPOSED
+123.2 CONC.	RESIDENTIAL
BIT. TC=0.0 LS	SITE PLAN
	219* WAYSIDE INN BOAD
F.F.E. RCP INV.	SUDBURY, MA 01776
PVC MIN. TYP.	हें *ADDRESS NUMBER NOT OFFICIAL अस्टिम TITLE:
IP	
	57/Dwg
	SHEET:
20 0 10 20 40	
	PROJECT NO.: 25052
SCALE: 1" = 20'	ن © 2022 BY DGT ASSOCIATES



S	EED) MI)	XES)
//1				- \\//

#1 NEW ENGLAND WET MIX·····	WETLAND SPECIES FOR THE BOTTOM OF THE RAIN GARDEN
#2 NEW ENGLAND EROSION CONTROL-RESTORATION MIX FOR	FOR INSIDE SLOPES OF THE RAIN GARDEN ALONG WITH SHRUB PLANTING
#3 NEW ENGLAND SHOWY WILDFLOWER MIX	PROVIDE AN OPEN FLOWERING MEADOW
#4 NEW ENGLAND CONSERVATION WILDLIFE MIX	SLOPE STABILITY AND NO MAINTENANCE GROUND

TREES

BP PAPER BIRCH QA WHITE OAK

TYPICAL UPLAND SHRUBS

KL RM RV CR HV	MOUNTAIN LAUREL ROSEBAY RHODODENDRON VIRGINIA ROSE GRAY DOGWOOD WITCH HAZEL	KALMIA LATIFOLIA RHODODENDRON MAXIMUM ROSA VIRGINIANA CORNUS RACEMOSA HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA
AC	SERVICEBERRY	AMELANCHIER CANADENSIS
СА	AMERICAN HAZELNUT	CORYLUS AMERICANA
VA	MAPLE LEAVED VIBURNUM	VIBURNUM ACERIFOLIUM

SHRUBS FOR INSIDE SLOPE OF RAIN GARDEN

CAM		CORNUS AMOMUM
IV	WINTER BERRY HOLLY	ILEX VERTICILLATA
VC	HIGH BUSH BLUEBERRY	VACCINIUM CORYMBOSUM

PURPOSE

COVER FOR GENERAL AREA WITH SHRUB PLANTINGS AND FOR WILDLIFE HABITAT.

PLANTING NOTES

- BETULA PAPYRIFERA FG AMERICAN BEECH FAGUS GRANDIFOLIA QUERCUS ALBA
- 1. SHRUB PLANTING IN AREAS NOT TO BE MAINTAINED IN AN OPEN CONDITION 2. THE INTENT IS TO PROVIDE AN ATTRACTIVE MIX OF NATIVE SHRUBS TO FORM A TRANSITION BORDER WITH WILDLIFE HABITAT VALUE IN BETWEEN THE AREAS TO BE MAINTAINED IN OPEN (LAWN AND OPEN WILDFLOWERS) CONDITION AND THE SURROUNDING UNDISTURBÈD WOODLAND.
- 3. ALL SHRUBS AND TREE SPECIES ARE TO BE NATIVE SPECIES THAT GROW WELL IN
- UPLANDS AND WETLAND BUFFER. 4. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT SHRUB PLANTINGS BE PLANT PLUGS OR ONE GALLON SIZE INSTALLED AT TWICE THE DESIRED DENSITY. THIS IS TO ENSURE SURVIVAL AT THE DESIRED DENSITY.

		DGT Associates
		Surveying &
		Engineering
EOP 216.14		Framingham
+216.76		Boston • Worcester
NAGE SWALE		1071 Worcester Road Framingham, MA 01701
<u>EOP 216.37</u> 216		508-879-0030
215		www.DGTassociates.com
215		
215		
OSED PERIMETER EROSION		
EDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER OF WORK)		
VE PERIMETER EROSION ROLS WHEN ALL AREAS ARE TATED AND STABLE		
		LO1-0002
		L02-0003 L02-0013
FEMA FLOOD ZONE AF		RECORD OWNER AND APPLICANT:
ELEV = 211.4		ELIZABETH RUDENBERG P.R. 249 FORESIDE ROAD
		FALMOUTH, MAINE 04105
		TH OF MASE
		FREDRIC W.
		S No. 38089 5 CIVIL
		Not the second
		DATE DESCRIPTION
		FWK LTV/FJS FWK
		PROJECT IIILE:
		DDODOCED
		SITE PLAN
		219* WAYSIDE INN ROAD
		SUDBURY, MA 01776
		SHEET TITLE:
		2-Eng-
		SHEET: 5 OF 6
	40	80 68 PROJECT NO.: U-5
SCALE:	1" = 20'	ত 2022 BY DGT ASSOCIATES

