The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION OFFICIAL

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SPECIMEN BALLOT

SUDBURY

Pcts. 2, 3

983/983

Tuesday, November 8, 2016

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Vote for ONE CLINTON and KAINE	-	oval to the right of the candidate's idence in the blank space provided and	name.To vote for a person not on the ballot,
JOHNSON and WELD. STEIN and SPANCE. BY AND THE STEEN STEEL	ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Vote for ONE	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE	QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY
STEIN and BARAKA Generations in Theoretics TRUMP and PENCE II. Will a MANUAL PRIVATE IN MANUAL PRIV		33 Surrey Ln., Sudbury Candidate for Re-election	— INITIATIVE PETITION
SHERT IN SMARCH. One of the Control			
TRUMPA and PENCE - Made - Magnetic Percentage - Magnetic Percentag	STEIN and BARAKA ++++++Green-Rainbow	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
SHERIFF MINISTER COUNTY IN THE PROCESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROCESS COUNTY IN THE PROC	TRUMP and PENCE ++++++++ Republican		or before May 3, 2016?
SHERIFF SHERIF			
The first OUE REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS Formations REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS Formations Represent the congress of the c	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	SHERIFF	
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS SPITIODING M. CLARK	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		additional category 2 license, which would
REPRESENTATIVE IN COMPRESS Water survivors to the control of the		33 Harris St., Waltham Candidate for Re-election	permit operation of a garning establishment
The proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law would authorize the software provided by the proposed law sould authorize the software provided by the proposed law sould be provided by the proposed law sould authorize the software provided by the proposed law sould allow the software provided by the proposed law sould allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would allow the state board of Planner proposed law would annother proposed law would annother state proposed law would annother proposed l	DEDDESCRIPTIVE IN CONODEOL		
AND SENTION IN GENERAL COURT MORE AND SENTION IN GENERAL COURT MORALIS & ELDROSC NAME SENTION IN GENERAL COURT MORALIS & ELDROSC NAME MORALIS NAME MORALIS & ELDROSC NAME MORALIS NAM		WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	The proposed law would authorize the
DO NOT YOUR IN THE SENSE OF BY GRAND AND THE SENSE OF BY SENSE OF	KATHERINE M. CLARK ++++++++ Democratic 64 Prospect St., Melrose Candidate for Re-election		
a least four across in size; (ii) ediposent to the within 1500 feet of a rate back, including the stacks additional scalines, such as the stack, acounting, particular, anythin state, and bleachest. Including the stacks additional scalines, such as the stack, acounting, particular, anythin state, and bleachest. Including the stacks additional scalines, such as the stack, acounting, anythin state, and bleachest. Including the stack of the stack	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		
COUNCILLOR Wate for OVE MARKINY M. PETITO DEVAREY. Generative Statements As, uncomes accessed and extended to Statements As uncomes accessed and extended to Statements Assumed accessed and the extended to STATE HOUSE ASSUMED ASS	(at least four acres in size; (ii) adjacent to and
the track, grounds, paddocks, burns, auditorium, amphilisert, and bleachers (iii) where a horse caching meeting may physically be held; (iv) where a horse caching meeting may physically be held; (iv) where a horse caching meeting may physically be held; (iv) where a horse caching meeting shall have been hosted; and (v) not separated from the race track by a highway or railway. **SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT** MIGOSIESE A WINCESTER AND COURT** MIGOSIESE AND COURT** MIGOSIESE AND COURT** MIGOSIESE A WINCESTER AND COURT** MIGOSIESE AND COURT**	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		
COUNCILOR Valo for DVE Valo for DVE Valor VALOR PROTTED EVENDEY, construct, control of the valor o			1
THERD BITTED Work for ONE BOUNDATION BOUNDATION WHITE-WERNACE OUT SENANT ON IN GENERAL COURT WHITE-WERNACE OUT WHITE BLANK LINE BLOW FOR WHITE A ANTI-WERNACE OUT WHITE-WERNACE OUT WHITE BLANK LINE BLOW FOR WHITE A ANTI-WERNACE OUT ANTI-WERNACE OUT WHITE BLANK LINE BLOW FOR WHITE A ANTI-WERNACE OUT A YES VOTE A YES A	COLINCILLOD		
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WINDERS AL WORKERS AL WORKERS OF STREET MODERS AND STREET MODERS AL WORKERS OF STREET MODERS AND STREET MODERS AL WORKERS OF STREET MODERS AL WORKERS OF STREET MODERS AND STREET MODERS AL WORKERS MODERS AL WO	THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE	1	
BOWN TWITE IT THE SEMANT. WINTE-HISPOCE DIV.			
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT MODILERS, A WARRESTOR DISTINCT WORLD BY MANUEL AND THE MEDICAL COURT MODILERS, A WARRESTOR DISTINCT WORLD BY MANUEL AND THE MEDICAL COURT MODILERS, A WARRESTOR DISTINCT WORLD BY MANUEL AND THE MEDICAL COURT MODILERS A. WARRESTOR DISTINCT WORLD BY MANUEL AND THE MEDICAL COURT MANUE			
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT MIDDLESS A MODESTIFE STRING I VIGE for ONE MIDLESS A MODESTIFE STRING I VIGE for ONE MIDLESS A MODESTIFE STRING I VIGE for ONE MIDLESS A MODESTIFE STRING I THE MODESTIFE STRING PREPARATION I THE BLOST OF THE MODESTIFE STRING I	(
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT MODICASY & Wondcastra Debried? JAMES B. EURIDIGE	WHITE-IN SPACE UNLY		•
SENAL UNIT IN EXPLETAL CUBE AMES S. ELORIDGE Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic No TERRA FRIEDRIOSES. cooperative Green Economy Comparison. Links in Trians Proposed By INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senale or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing limits on the total statewide public school enrollment expansions in the state board of charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school or charter schools. The manual public school enrollment expansions in existing limits on the mount of local school distincts spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give printy to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in indistincts spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applicants, then the proposed law evolud require it to give printy to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in indistricts spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applicants, then the proposed law evolud require it to give printy to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in indistricts spending allocated to them.			•
AMONO TOTE WORLD THE LAW FOR WINTE-BILL STATES AND CONTINUE SEARCH WINTE-BILL STATES AND CONTINUES AND CONTI	SENATOR IN GENERAL COLIRT		
SET AMINIONS. JUSTIC TO BUSIEK. TERRA FRIEDRICHS Copperative direce Economy WINTE-IN SPACE COM. Use Example Law PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law would expand statewide charter schools each year. Approvals under this law would expand statewide charter schools enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	MIDDLESEX & WORCESTER DISTRICT Vote for ONE	_	
TERD SUSTRY TERMA FRIIDENIES. DUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vole was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter schools enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charter schools approved up to 12 new charter schools ach year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter schools approved up to 10 new charter schools ach year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter schools approved up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law school districts spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require if to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	267 Arlington St., Acton Candidate for Re-election		current laws regarding gaming.
OD NOT YOUR IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN	2 Harwood Ave., Littleton	\supset	YES C
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE. WHITE-IN SPACE COILY LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed daw would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts where student performance on and where demonstrated parent demand for and without a device demonstrated parent demand for and without public school options is greatest.	TERRA FRIEDRICHS++ Cooperative Green Economy 2 Wright Ter., Acton	\supset	NO C
QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		
INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in indistricts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? **SUMMARY** This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	WHITE-IN SPACE ONLY		
below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
or before May 3, 2016? SUMMARY This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			below, on which no vote was taken by the
This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			SUMMARY
Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	l		
charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	ı		
Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	ı		
statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	ı		in existing charter schools each year.
to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			enrollment each year. New charters and
the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	1		
of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	ı		
to them. If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	1		of students enrolled in them, and the amount
If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	1		
applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	ı		
require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	1		applications in a single year from qualified
charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	•		
in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.	.		
25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			in districts where student performance on
and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.			
additional public school options is greatest.	•		
CONTINUE ON BACK	•		
CONTINUE ON BACK	•		
	•		CONTINUE ON BACK

The proposed law would take effect on Janua A YES VOTE would allow for up to 12 approximately a 1% of the statewide public school enrollm A NO VOTE would make no change in curre	rvals each year of either new charter schools or expanded enrollments in existing ent.	g charter schools, but not to YES NO
	QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION	
Do you approve of a law summarized below,	on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on o SUMMARY	r before May 3, 2016?
hat prevents the animal from lying down, standing owner or operator in Massachusetts from selling operator knows or should know that the hen, bree	wner or operator from knowingly confining any breeding pig, calf raised for veal g up, fully extending its limbs, or turning around freely. The proposed law would whole eggs intended for human consumption or any uncooked cut of veal or podeding pig, or veal calf that produced these products was confined in a manner d products that combine veal or pork with other products, including soups, sand	d also prohibit any business ork if the business owner or prohibited by the proposed
The proposed law's confinement prohibition compliance with applicable laws and regulations; rof a licensed veterinarian; five days prior to a pre-	ns would not apply during transportation; state and county fair exhibitions; 4 nedical research; veterinary exams, testing, treatment and operation if performed gnant pig's expected date of giving birth; any day that pig is nursing piglets; and the property four hour period.	under the direct supervision
aw, and to issue regulations to implement it. As a good faith upon a written certification or guarante The proposed law would be in addition to an	of up to \$1,000 for each violation and would give the Attorney General the exclusive defense to enforcement proceedings, the proposed law would allow a business to compliance by a supplier. The suppliance by a supplier is the proposed law would allow a business of compliance by a supplier. The supplicance is the supplier is the supplier is the supplicance of the supplicance is the supplic	owner or operator to rely in
n effect.	rry 1, 2022. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid nt of pigs, calves, and hens that prevents them from lying down, standing up, fu	
urning around freely.	ent laws relative to the keeping of farm animals.	YES
A NO POIE Would make no change in curre	את ומיים וסומנויס נט נווס הססטוווט טו ומוווו מווווומוס.	NO
punces of marijuana inside their residences; groverers old without payment; possess, produce or to the measure would create a Cannabis Controverning marijuana use and distribution, promulation the proposed law would also create a Cannal dopt regulations governing licensing qualification displays; required inspections; and such other may the proposed law would authorize cities and and to limit the number of marijuana establishment in the proceeds of retail sales of marijuana and own could impose a separate tax of up to 2%. Reviolations of this law would be deposited in a Marijuana-related activities authorized under this evidence that such activities had created an unrease of the proposed law would not affect existing influence. It would permit property owners to propose the proposed law would be unlawful. The proposed law would be unlawful. The proposed law would take effect on Dece	g law regarding medical marijuana treatment centers or the operation of motohibit the use, sale, or production of marijuana on their premises (with an excerneans other than by smoking); and would permit employers to prohibit the comments could continue to restrict uses in public buildings or at or near school.	uana to a person at least 21 ation, or processing. generally administer the law establishments. Control Commission would ing; testing; advertising and in would be public records. rating marijuana businesses ether to permit the selling of excise tax of 3.75%. A city or in fees and civil penalties for stration of the proposed law. absent clear and convincing or vehicles while under the eption that landlords cannot consumption of marijuana by ols. Supplying marijuana to excise trate (including edible excise tax of including edible excise tax of supplying marijuana to excise tax of supplying marijuana to excise tax of supplying marijuana to excise tax of supplying edible
products) and to cultivate marijuana, all in limited products. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in current in the current i		
A NO VOIL Would make no change in came	on laws to manjound.	YES No