The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

SPECIMEN BALLOT

SUDBURY Pcts. 1A, 4, 5

982/982

William Nanin Julian
SECRETARY OF THE
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	
JOHNSON and GRAY + + + + + + + + + Libertarian	
OBAMA and BIDEN + + + + + + + + + + Democratic	
ROMNEY and RYAN + + + + + + + + Republican	\bigcirc
STEIN and HONKALA Green-Rainbow	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	\bigcirc
-	
SENATOR IN CONGRESS Vote for ONE	
SCOTT P. BROWN +++++++++ Republican 70 Hayden Woods, Wrentham Candidate for Re-election	
ELIZABETH A. WARREN +++++++ Democratic 24 Linnaean St., Cambridge	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	
FIFTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE	
EDWARD J. MARKEY ++++++++Democratic 7 Townsend St., Malden Candidate for Re-election	
TOM TIERNEY +++++++++++++Republican 7 Lomas Dr., Framingham DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
COUNCILLOR	
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE	
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	0 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Waterforwn THOMAS SHEFF +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	0 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Waterform THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	0 0 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	000
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Waterform THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	0000
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF	0 0 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Wote for ONE MICHAEL J. BARRETT + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0 0 0 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF ++++++++++++++++++++++++++ Unenrolled 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT MICHAEL J. BARRETT +++++++++++++ Democratic 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARTINEZ ++++++++++++++ Republican	0000000
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF +++++++++++++++++++Unenrolled 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Wichael J. Barrett ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	00 0 00
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT WICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARTINEZ 1 Carter Or., Chelmsford DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT WICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARTINEZ 1 Carter Or., Chelmsford DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	000 0 00 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT WICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARGION SANDI MARGION LORD DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	000 0 00 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Wole for ONE MICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARTINEZ DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRD SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE	000 0 00 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 444 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Wolf for ONE MICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MARTINEZ 1 Carter Or., Chelmsford DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT THOMAS P. CONROY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THOMAS P. CONROY THOMAS P. CONROY Candidate for Re-election	000 0 00 0
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY 98 Westminster Ave., Watertown THOMAS SHEFF 454 Dudley Rd., Newton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Wole for ONE MICHAEL J. BARRETT 7 Augustus Rd., Lexington SANDI MASTOR DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRD SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT THIRTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE THOMAS P. CONROY + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	

CLERK OF COURTS MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vote for ONE
MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN + + + + + + + + + + Democratic 42 Huron Ave., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
REGISTER OF DEEDS
MIDDLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE
MARIA C. CURTATONE +++++++++Democratic 37 Munroe St. Somerville
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
N .
SHERIFF MIDDLESEX COUNTY (TO FILL VACANCY) Vote for ONE
PETER J. KOUTOUJIAN ++++++++Democratic 33 Harris St., Waltham
ERNESTO M. PETRONE +++++++++Unenrolled 19 Waverly St., Everett
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing

laws.

YES -

NO -

LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or

employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting invariantly, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying ter-

minal disease as the cause of death. Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the

premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or resond a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing, or setting

the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports. The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

YES (

NO

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012? **SUMMARY**

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bona fide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old, who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures, consistent with rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center, be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying natient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPH registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to \$500, and fraudulent use of a registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

YES

NO

QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the U.S. constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings, and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending? YES

NO

QUESTION 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress and the President to: (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, or to housing, food and unemployment assistance; (2) create and protect jobs by investing in manufacturing, schools, housing, renewable energy, transportation and other public services; (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and to reduce the longterm federal deficit by closing corporate tax loopholes, ending offshore tax havens, and raising taxes on incomes over \$250,000; and (4) redirect military spending to these domestic needs by reducing the military budget, ending the war in Afghanistan and bringing U.S. troops home safely now? YES C

NO

983