

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1987

Present: Chairman Anne W. Donald, David A. Wallace and John C. Drobinski.

Utility Petition 87-29 - Raymond Road Conduit

Present: Robert Malis, Boston Edison Company; Arlene Epstein, Abutter.

The Board reviewed Utility Petition 87-29 by Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for approximately eight feet of conduit to be installed in Raymond Road, required because the owner of the property at 80 Raymond Road has changed the existing overhead electric service to underground electric service.

Executive Secretary Thompson noted that all appropriate abutters had been notified and approval had been recommended by the Inspector of Buildings and Wiring Inspector under date of October 13, 1987.

Arlene Epstein, 66 Raymond Road, asked for a more detailed explanation of the work to be performed and Boston Edison representative Robert Malis discussed the proposed work.

On motion of Selectman Wallace, it was unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 87-29 of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for permission to lay and maintain a distance of about eight feet of conduit under the following public way of the Town: Raymond Road - easterly approximately 414 feet northeast of Moran Circle; and shown on plan of Boston Edison Company entitled "Plan of Raymond Rd. Sudbury", dated September 15, 1987; and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company's "Plan for Conduit Location", N.E.T.&T. Co. No. 87-14, dated October 9, 1987.

Peirce Rose, Inc. Land - Longwood Retirement Associates

Present: Edward A. Sokoloff, Esquire; members of the Conservation Commission and Planning Board; Conservation Commission Coordinator Deborah Montemerlo; Edward Levitt, President, and Thomas Grape, Vice President, Director of Development, of Longwood Retirement Associates, Steven Bradford, Representative of the Maple Avenue neighborhood; Edith Hull, Susan LaTour and approximately fifteen additional abutters and interested residents.

Chairman Donald explained that this joint meeting with the Conservation Commission, Planning Board and representatives of Longwood Retirement Associates relative to the August 28, 1987 notice of the Town's option to purchase approximately 28.76 acres of land off Maple Avenue, owned by Peirce Rose, Inc., currently assessed as agricultural or horticultural use under M.G.L. c. 61A, was for informational purposes only and a vote concerning the exercise of the Town's option to purchase will be taken by the Board at a later date.

Executive Secretary Thompson noted that on September 1, 1987, the Board notified all appropriate departments, and the Board has received in response

thereto a communication dated September 30, 1987, from the Board of Assessors, which strongly recommends the Town exercise its option to purchase the Peirce property on Maple Avenue. Mr. Thompson reported that, although Town Counsel was unable to attend this meeting, he informed Mr. Thompson that it is his opinion that the Town's right to exercise its option falls under the new 120-day rule rather than the former 60-day rule.

Attorney Edward A. Sokoloff of Edward A. Sokoloff & Associates, P.C., 20 Nason Road, Maynard, Massachusetts, spoke on behalf of Peirce Rose, Inc., owners of the property. Attorney Sokoloff stated that the property is presently under a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Longwood Retirement Associates and requested that if it is determined that the Town will not be exercising its option to purchase, a vote or other instrument to that effect be executed at the earliest possible time in order to enable the proposed buyers to proceed without delay in meeting the terms and obligations of the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

Edward M. Levitt, President of Longwood Retirement Associates, 800 South Street, Waltham, Massachusetts, gave a brief outline of the proposed sale and development of the Peirce Rose property and identified the buyers as Longwood Retirement Associates, with Spaulding & Slye Company as co-partner in the joint venture. Mr. Levitt explained that Longwood Retirement Associates has been involved with long-term retirement developments for twenty-three years, across the country. Mr. Levitt stated that the communities of Needham, Bedford and Westboro already have such facilities and his research indicated a need for such a facility in Sudbury.

Thomas Grape, Vice President, Director of Development of Longwood Retirement Associates, next spoke and, aided by photographs and charts, explained that the Purchase and Sale Agreement includes a total of 33 acres, 29 acres of which fall under Chapter 61A, and presented a preliminary concept as to the area to be developed and the area to be maintained with existing vegetation.

Mr. Grape emphasized that no specific building plans or site plan has been determined. On the sketch numbered 3, he pointed out the possibility of four access choices onto the property, assuming a 100-foot setback from every property line, and taking into account wetlands and other constraints: (1) from Raymond Road on the Route 20 side of the tennis courts; (2) and (3) around the Raymond Road tennis courts, which would require a right of way by the Park & Recreation Department; and (4) Maple Avenue (which he stressed was not a desirable alternative).

Chart numbered 4 that he presented illustrated the projected height of the structure (approximately three stories); and Chart numbered 5 illustrated the character and "tone" of the anticipated structure.

The retirement community planned will have different types of proposed housing:

(1) congregate or "Level One", which would be available for those who are not moving for any particular health problem but because they wish a more carefree lifestyle than they presently have with a home that has outgrown their needs. Included in the plans would be a library, small retail stores, barber,

beauty salon, and a multi-purpose room. Mr. Grape state this concept is intended to promote the independence of its residents;

(2) assisted or "Level Two" (which is identified as "Nursing Level 4" by the Commonwealth) is available for those individuals who require some medical or housekeeping assistance; and

(3) nursing home or "Level Three", which provides medical and living supervision for those individuals who are unable to care for themselves.

In response to inquiry by Chairman Donald, Mr. Grape stated that, by way of illustration, if someone in Level One breaks a leg, which would require medical assistance on a Level Two or Three, that resident would have that medical supervision and then be able to return to his or her independent Level One living situation when able to do so. Mr. Levitt added that one of the goals of retirement communities of the type proposed is to encourage its residents to use the physicians and services they have been used to all their lives; but the community will have medical services available to them should the residents wish to use them.

With regard to transportation or garaging, Mr. Levitt explained that the plan will include parking and that, keeping in mind their intent to comply with the Town's requirements, they anticipate a parking ratio somewhere around one parking space per two apartments. Additionally, Mr. Levitt stated they intend to provide a van service to shopping centers or other centrally-located places, and a station wagon by request for those requiring transportation to a specific locale (such as the dentist).

Selectman Wallace asked what proposed zoning changes were anticipated and in response Attorney Sokoloff stated that he has been working on a proposed zoning bylaw which incorporates many provisions from the former proposed retirement district. Attorney Sokoloff stated that in terms of taking the best of all worlds, and trying to isolate an area for maximum protection for the neighborhood, his proposed bylaw would not include just a 100-foot buffer zone, but a limited number of accesses and will maintain the buffer zones in their natural state, where possible. To achieve the maximum protection of vegetation and to minimize visibility of the structure from the public ways, Attorney Sokoloff opined that an access from Raymond Road would be desirable. He also suggested that there was a possibility of "land swapping" or "tradeoffs" with Town land controlled by Park & Recreation in order to achieve the least-obtrusive access into the retirement community.

Attorney Sokoloff stated the Board and public should be aware of the fact that there is a State requirement by law that the developer make application for a nursing home license by September 1st. As he understands the law, if such application is not made by that date, they must wait until next year to do so. He stated this to explain why the newspaper articles concerning the proposed development were misleading in that the articles stress only the nursing home aspects of the proposed community.

In response to inquiry by Selectman Wallace, Attorney Sokoloff stated that since no soil analyses and other testing have been done, they were not able to state how many residences will be planned.

Selectman Drobinski identified three critical issues:

(1) traffic - Selectman Drobinski described this as a thorny issue and is an ongoing concern to all residents;

(2) septic disposal - Selectman Drobinski recalled some earlier testing and a determination on that site that estimated only three or four house lots were available on that site; and

(3) zoning issues - it is Selectman Drobinski's feeling that it is the Town that should write the bylaw, not an individual representing a specific group.

Attorney Sokoloff assured Selectman Drobinski that it was not his intention to merely draft a bylaw and present it "cold" to Town Meeting. Rather, he wished to submit a proposed bylaw well in advance to the various departments for their revisions.

In response to inquiry by a member of the Planning Board, Attorney Sokoloff explained that the choice of this particular site for a residential community was two-fold: (1) Mr. Levitt became aware through people he works with of the need for elderly housing in Sudbury; and (2) this particular site was placed on the market and appears desirable in terms of availability and accessibility.

Conservation Commission Coordinator Deborah Montemerlo stated that the Conservation Commission does not have a position concerning this proposed development at this time. She did ask if the existing structures were to remain on the site and Mr. Grape responded that the greenhouses would be torn down, but the residence would remain, possibly for use of the Administrator of the Community, as well as the barn.

Arlene Epstein of 66 Raymond Road stated that as a nurse practitioner she is aware of the special needs of nursing care facilities with regard to solid waste disposal. She also questioned the ability of emergency vehicles to get through the traffic density in that area.

Steven Bradford of 25 Maple Avenue identified himself as spokesman for the Maple Avenue neighborhood and read a prepared statement expressing opposition to the location of the development.

Edith Hull of 15 Maple Avenue expressed her opposition to the development.

Susan LaTour of Seven Maple Avenue expressed her opposition to the development and suggested the Route 20 Task Force be asked for their input concerning this issue.

Jeffrey Jacobson of 36 Maple Avenue raised certain questions relative to the project concerning overall size, number of congregate housing units, and number of nursing home units, etc.

The discussion concluded with a statement by Mr. Levitt that the goal of his company is to proceed in a way that will be acceptable to all and emphasized that they need the support and input of the residents of the Town in order to make this a successful venture.

Cable Television Committee - General Meeting

The joint general meeting with the Cable Television Committee currently on this evening's agenda has been cancelled and will be rescheduled to another date.

Dog Officer Warrant

Noting that 296 dogs are currently unlicensed in the Town, on motion of Selectman Wallace, it was unanimously

VOTED: To authorize Chairman Donald to sign a Warrant to the Dog Officer relative to unlicensed dogs in the Town, in accordance with G. L. c. 140 §151A.

Conversion to High Pressure Sodium Street Lighting Lamps

The Board reviewed communication dated September 22, 1987, from John F.-Murray, Municipal Representative, Energy Services Department, Boston Edison Company, wherein he explained that conversion of street lighting lamps and fixtures to high pressure sodium lamps and fixtures would provide energy efficient lighting and would considerably lessen the high energy bills for the Town. The proposal by Boston Edison Company would be to replace the current 285 incandescent lighting and fixtures at no cost to the Town.

After discussion, on recommendation of the Executive Secretary and Highway Supervisor, it was on motion of Chairman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To authorize Executive Secretary Thompson to take whatever steps are necessary to arrange with Boston Edison Company to effectuate the conversion of incandescent lamps and fixtures in the Town to the high pressure sodium lamps and fixtures, as outlined in its letter dated September 22, 1987, at no cost to the town; subject to concurrence by the Historic Districts Commission with regard to the street lighting located in the designated Historic Districts.

Site Plan Application 87-305 - Nine Old County Road/Douglas Lewis, Trustee

Present: Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon; Douglas Lewis, Trustee, Nine Old County Road Trust; Robert A. Waters, Longfellow Center.

Executive Secretary Thompson noted due publication of this public hearing and that all appropriate departments and abutters have been duly notified. Mr. Thompson further noted receipt of the following:

1. Letter dated October 16, 1987 from Inspector of Buildings Joseph E. Scammon indicating:

A. The site is located in Industrial District #12.

B. Proposed use of property as stated in the application is a permitted use in the district. If part of the building were to be used for the business of truck repair, a permit would be required from the Board of Appeals.

C. Although the building meets the required setbacks, the driveway must be at least 24 feet wide (V,C, 9c, p. 76).

D. Since the total number of parking spaces on the site is 21, one space should be reserved for the handicapped. Number of employees per shift must be verified by applicant for parking requirements.

E. At least 30% of a lot shall be designated open space (V,A,G,i,4, p. 68).

F. Although no landscaping other than grass is shown in the 20-foot width at the street line, he is informed shrubbery will be put in that area in front of the building (V,A, 6, f, p. 67).

G. Clause F, 7, b p. 84, regarding the site being within 1,000 feet of a residential district, and thus no trucks may be parked outside, does not apply to an Industrial District.

2. Letter dated September 23, 1987, from Town Engineer I. William Place commenting as follows:

A. Vertical alignment at the entrance is approximately 5%. The design standards for a commercial development is 1% minimum and 3% maximum grade;

B. No drainage calculations were submitted for review;

C. Landscaping has not been provided to buffer adjoining property;

D. Minimum curb radius is 20 feet; the plan scales 15-foot radius;

E. Parking is being proposed in Wayland; thus, applicant should contact that Town regarding what permits, if any, are required;

F. Location of septic system is not shown;

G. Location of refuse disposal area not shown;

H. There appears to be an error in topography. The proposed plan shows an existing 10% grade to the east of the existing building and a proposed 10% grade south of the proposed building. When based upon a visual inspection, the grade is approximately 2±%.

3. Letter dated October 8, 1987, from Joyce Fantasia, Chairman, Design Review Board, indicating the Board's October 6, 1987 vote approved the application conditional upon revision/correction of the site plan in accordance with the recommendations of the Town Engineer, and a suitable landscaping plan to screen the road frontage.

4. Verbal report from Fire Chief Michael A. Dunne, given October 16, 1987, recommending approval.

5. Verbal report from Conservation Commission Coordinator Deborah Montemerlo stating the Conservation Commission has no concern, since there are no wetlands located on the site.

6. Letter dated October 15, 1987, from Town Planner Lee Newman noting the October 13, 1987 vote of the Planning Board recommended disapproval of the site plan, for the following reasons:

A. a written statement detailing the proposed use, the extent of the building coverage and open space, and drainage calculations were not provided;

B. an incomplete Site was provided;

C. a Landscape Plan was not provided;

D. drainage calculations were not submitted with the application;

E. a lighting detail was not provided;

F. information relative to signs and outdoor advertising features was not provided;

G. the Site Plan shows placement of a single-family dwelling on the same lot as the auto body shop and welding and fabrication shop. The Board would recommend that the house be subdivided off from the commercial portion of the lot so as to meet all zoning bylaw requirements established for the residential A-1 zoning district.

Applicant Douglas Lewis, Trustee of Nine Old County Road Trust, presented to the Board a revised site plan, which the Board reviewed with Inspector Joseph E. Scammon. Robert Waters of Longfellow Center, who identified himself as an abutter, also reviewed the revised site plan and stated his opposition to approval of the application. His chief complaint was the unsightly accumulation of old vehicles and other materials, which affects the aesthetics of the entire area. Mr. Lewis explained that the proposed expansion and new structure was intended to move these materials inside so they would not be visible to the public. With regard to the vehicles, Mr. Lewis stated that 98% of those are registered and are contractor's vehicles that he allows to be parked on the premises. Mr. Lewis pointed out that he has removed eight vehicles in the past month.

After further discussion, and with the express consent of Mr. Lewis, on motion it was unanimously

VOTED: To continue this hearing relative to Site Plan Application 87-305 of Douglas Lewis, Trustee, Old County Road Realty Trust, to November 2, 1987, for the purpose of providing an opportunity for all boards and departments to review the revised plan and report to the Selectmen.

#### Route 20 Traffic Improvements

The Board reviewed the following related communications:

1. letter dated October 5, 1987, from the Route 20 Task Force recommending a short-term project to improve Route 20;
2. letter dated October 6, 1987, from Anderson-Nichols & Company, Inc. to Massachusetts Department of Public Works, District 4, requesting a meeting to reactivate plans for traffic signal system and minor roadway widening;
3. HMM Associates proposal addressed to Town Engineer I. William Place, dated October 6, 1987, entitled "Route 20 Traffic Signal Timing/Coordination Study at the Intersections of Nobscot Road, Union Avenue and Concord Road; and
4. reports from the Town Engineer dated September 28 and October 13, 1987.

After discussion and upon recommendation of Executive Secretary Thompson, on motion of Chairman Donald it was unanimously

VOTED: To approve the request of the Route 20 Task Force outlined in their letter of October 5, 1987, to employ Anderson-Nichols & Company, Inc. for a traffic signal system and minor roadway widening on Route 20 in the area of Nobscot Road in accordance with plans dated March 1986, said plans to be amended by adding:

- 1) changing the middle lane on Route 20, between Nobscot Road and Union Avenue from a common left-turn lane (for both eastbound and westbound traffic) to a left-turn bay at Nobscot for westbound traffic, phasing to a left-turn bay at Union for eastbound traffic, as shown in the Phase 1 Report;
- 2) performance of a light synchronization study for the intersections of Nobscot Road, Union Avenue, and Concord Road on Route 20;
- 3) reconstruction of catch basins in front of Fotomat kiosk; and
- 4) close of curb cuts in front of 1776 Plaza;

and specifically incorporating the recommendations of the Town Engineer in his letter to the Board of Selectmen dated October 13, 1987, which incorporates the employment of HMM Associates for service outlined in their traffic signal synchronization study proposal of October 6, 1987.

It is the understanding of the Board that funds for the project proposed will be forthcoming from committed Raytheon monies.

Park and Recreation Commission - General Meeting Regarding Atkinson Pool

Present: Chairman Donald R. Soule, Jane A. Neuhauser and Rosalyn J. Drawas, Park and Recreation Commission; Jean Panke, Director, Atkinson Pool.

Chairman of the Park and Recreation Commission Donald R. Soule introduced member Jane A. Neuhauser, who informed the Board of the intensive recruitment that has been undertaken for Atkinson Pool.



Jean Panke, the newly-appointed Director of Atkinson Pool noted that as a result of the mailings to residents over one-half of the projected membership has already been achieved. Ms. Panke stated that interest in the pool is being maintained through the use of newspaper articles and advertisement.

Ms. Panke reported that she has had productive meetings with Town Accountant James Vanar, Town Treasurer Chester Hamilton, Budget Analyst Terri Ackerman, members of the Finance Committee and with the Personnel Board.

With regard to the Atkinson Pool staff, Ms. Panke anticipates three full-time persons, plus herself as full-time Director, and a full-time secretary. It is planned to have the pool open fifteen-sixteen hours per day and the projected opening is the end of November or beginning of December 1987. Ms. Panke provided the Board with copies of the proposed pool schedule, as well as a membership update as of October 19, 1987.

Executive Secretary Thompson stated he was aware of the concerns of the remaining unresolved issues concerning the pool, parking area, and drainage, and urged the Board and Park and Recreation Commission to meet at some time in the near future to resolve them. Mr. Thompson stated he is working with the Town Engineer on the parking and drainage problems and will report back to the Selectmen and Park and Recreation Commission as soon as possible.

The meeting concluded with a note of special congratulations to Rosalyn Drawas for her continuing efforts in classifying personnel for the pool with the Personnel Board.

It was agreed that the Board and the Park and Recreation Commission would meet November 9, at 9:00 p.m., in Executive Session to discuss pending issues of the pool, etc.

#### Council On Aging - Donation

On motion of Chairman Donald it was unanimously

VOTED: To accept \$227.50 in miscellaneous donations for deposit into the Council On Aging Van Donation Account; and to authorize the Council On Aging to expend same for purposes of operating and maintaining the Council On Aging van.

#### Fire Department - Donation

On motion of Chairman Donald it was unanimously

VOTED: To accept \$500 given in memory of Paul Cavicchio from Mrs. Louise Cavicchio of 110 Codjer Lane to the Sudbury Fire Department; to deposit said contribution in an account to be used for ambulance medical equipment; and to request Executive Secretary Thompson to send a note of thanks to Mrs. Cavicchio for her generous donation.

#### Minutes

On motion of Selectman Wallace, it was unanimously

VOTED: To approve the minutes of September 28, 1987 regular meeting, as corrected; to approve the minutes of the September 28, 1987 Town Forum, as corrected; and to approve the minutes of October 5, 1987 regular meeting, as presented.

Emergency Pole Relocations - Hudson/Peakham Road Intersection

Executive Secretary Thompson reported notice given to abutters concerning relocation of Pole 6/8 on the northeasterly side of Hudson Road and Pole 11/1 on the easterly side of Peakham Road.

After discussion, on motion of Selectman Drobinski it was unanimously

VOTED: To grant emergency approval to Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company to proceed immediately with relocation of Pole 6/8 on the northeasterly side of Hudson Road and Pole 11/1 on the easterly side of Peakham Road, each approximately four feet back from the roadway, to enable reconstruction of the Peakham/Hudson Road intersection to go forward in advance of receipt of petitions and public hearing.

HMM Associates Invoices - Traffic Study

As recommended by the Rt. 20 Task Force, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To acknowledge a breakdown of services and associated fees as submitted by HMM Associates under date of October 5, 1987, with regard to Phase II work; to authorize all beyond-scope payments through August 1987, amounting to \$3,348.41; to authorize 20% withholding on in-scope June, July and August bills due and payable; and to authorize an additional 10% withholding against previously paid bills (previously withheld 10%) from November 1986 through May 1987, subject to Town Counsel comment.

Long Range Planning Committee

The Board reviewed communication from Long Range Planning Committee dated October 12, 1987, regarding their request to be allowed space in the Town Warrant to report on various operating budget requests and capital expenditure proposals.

After discussion, on motion it was on recommendation of the Executive Secretary unanimously

VOTED: To allow the Long Range Planning Committee to present a brief two-page report in the Town Warrant that will portray their overall recommendations for capital expenditure proposals.

Fire Alarms - Town Buildings

The Board reviewed letter dated October 13, 1987 from Fire Chief Michael Dunne regarding automatic detection devices being installed in all Town buildings.

The Board directed the Executive Secretary to investigate the matter and report back.

Coach House Inn - All Alcohol Beverages License

Pursuant to the vote of the Board on September 28, 1987, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve and sign the All Alcoholic Beverages License to be issued to Coach House Inn upon approval by the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission, containing the following proviso:

"Alcoholic Beverages may be served only at the following times and places:

- a) Room service: during all hours listed on license (Monday - Thursday, 11 a.m. - 12 Midnight; Friday and Saturday, 11 a.m. - 1 a.m.; Sunday, 12 Noon - 12 Midnight).
- b) In Dining Room: only at those times when meals are being served.
- c) In Function Room: only during functions."

Landfill - Fee Structure

Selectman Drobinski stated he has received a number of communications from residents concerning the fee structure at the landfill. Executive Secretary Thompson informed the Board that Town Counsel has just drafted a proposed clarification of the rules and regulations pertaining to this matter and it will be placed on the agenda for review in the very near future.

Goodnow Library Land

Executive Secretary Thompson presented to the Board copies of an outline dated September 19, 1987, submitted by the Trustees of the Goodnow Library, concerning its projected space requirements and its conflict with the space requirements of the Council On Aging, and a letter dated September 29, 1987 from John C. Powers to the Library Trustees, supporting keeping the library land intact for library use.

The Board also reviewed letter dated October 7, 1987, from the Historical Society requesting consideration of its space requirements and how these needs may be incorporated with the expansion plans of the Library.

Teen Center

The Board reviewed communication dated October 8, 1987, with attachments, from Peter A. Hoffman, President of Friends of The Sudbury Teen Center, which expressed continuing concern over the Center's needs at the Fairbank School.

Grant of One-Day Liquor License - Ethel V. Johnson

The Board reviewed request of Ethel V. Johnson, 242 Peakham Road, dated October 14, 1987, for a one-day license for the sale of wines and malt beverages only to be drunk on the premises of Our Lady of Fatima Parish, Concord Road, as part of a scholarship fund-raising event. Mrs. Johnson's request was supported by a communication dated October 16, 1987, received by the Board from Reverend Joseph Curran of Our Lady of Fatima Church.

On motion of Chairman Donald, it was unanimously

VOTED: To grant a one-day license for the sale of wines and malt beverages only to be drunk on the premises to Ethel V. Johnson, 242 Peakham Road, Sudbury, to be exercised on October 30, 1987, at Our Lady of Fatima Parish, Concord Road, as part of a scholarship fund-raising event; and to waive the fee that would be required therefor.

Appointment of Special Police Officer

Pursuant to request and recommendation by Police Chief Peter B. Lembo in a communication dated October 16, 1987, on motion of Chairman Donald, it was unanimously

VOTED: To appoint Richard A. MacLean, 209 Water Row, as a Special Police Officer for the Town for a term to expire April 30, 1988.

350th Anniversary Celebration Committee - Appointment

Pursuant to request and recommendation of the 350th Anniversary Celebration Committee, on motion of Chairman Donald, it was unanimously

VOTED: To appoint Town Historian Laura F. Scott, 46 Massasoit Avenue, to the Sudbury 350th Anniversary Celebration Committee.

Liberty Ledge Real Estate Trust - Statement of No Claim

The Board reviewed memorandum dated October 15, 1987, from Assistant Town Counsel David J. Doneski, relative to deeds of the Town for property off Haynes Road owned by Liberty Ledge Real Estate Trust. The Board was advised that in order to confirm clear title to the four parcels in issue, it would have to execute a Statement of No Claim relative to payments in lieu of taxes concerning 1956, 1964 and 1975 conveyances of real estate off Haynes Road, now in the ownership of Liberty Ledge Real Estate Trust.

After dicussion, it was on motion of Selectman Wallace unanimously

VOTED: To execute a Statement of No Claim relative to payments in lieu of taxes concerning 1956, 1964 and 1975 conveyances of real estate (four parcels) off Haynes Road, now in the ownership of Liberty Ledge Real Estate Trust.

Executive Session

At 10:30 p.m., it was on motion by roll call unanimously

VOTED: To go into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing a collective bargaining matter.

(Chairman Donald, aye; Selectman Wallace, aye; Selectman Drobinski, aye).

The Chairman announced that public session would not reconvene following the Executive Session.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:45 p.m.

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Richard E. Thompson  
Executive Secretary/Clerk