

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1984

Present: Chairman Anne W. Donald, Myron J. Fox and Josiah F. Frost.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been fulfilled, the meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m.

Joint Meeting/Conservation Commission

Present: Chairman Gordon D. Henley, Jeffrey W. Moore, Stephen Sandler, William R. Duvall and Coordinator Deborah Montemerlo, Conservation Commission; Mary Lou Dickson, 8 Mill Pond Road; and Leslie D. Bellows, 16 Juniper Road.

Chairman Donald convened a meeting with the Conservation Commission, at its request by letter dated August 10, for the purpose of discussing long-range plans for land acquisition.

During his opening remarks relative to the Commission's concerns about procuring funds for land acquisition and the difficulty of acquiring enough land to preserve the rural character of the Town, Commissioner Jeffrey W. Moore stated that the Commission would like to know how the Selectmen would feel about implementing a real estate transaction tax as Nantucket County has done. This would be a tax on the selling price of the property assessed to the buyer (in Nantucket County the maximum is 2% of the selling price) which would be put into a land bank for the purposes of acquiring conservation land. Mr. Moore explained that implementation of the same, requiring State legislation and final adoption by Annual Town Meeting, is approximately a one-year process.

Chairman Donald stated that she felt the idea was great because many people have said that they moved to Sudbury because of the rural character of the Town, and this way they will be helping pay to keep it that way. She stated, however, that it seemed to her it would be much simpler to get this type of legislation passed in a small county, such as Nantucket County where common concerns regarding land conservation are shared, as compared to the many communities in Middlesex County.

Mr. Moore stated that one issue the Commission had raised with Representative Lucile Hicks is whether the Town alone could adopt this legislation or whether it had to be done on the county level. Mr. Moore also pointed out that, as in Nantucket, the legislation could be worked out to address specific areas of concern to the communities.

Selectman Frost agreed that when comparing Nantucket with Sudbury he is "less enchanted" with the idea of Sudbury implementing this type of tax. He expressed his opinion that 1) a good job has been done in acquiring conservation land in Sudbury and there isn't that much land available for this use; and 2) that Sudbury would not receive the support from realtors and property owners (as a tax such as this could "hurt" sales) as Nantucket had.

Selectman Fox pointed out that Nantucket had implemented a 2% levy and, whether or not that might be the same for Sudbury, this is a sizable amount based on the cost of the many \$200,000-350,000 homes in Sudbury. Selectman

Fox stated he didn't care for the idea of forcing buyers to pay in such a manner.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson suggested that, although it would be short notice, there could be an advisory opinion question on the November ballot. Mr. Moore stated he would rather have a Town Meeting article; he made reference to Nantucket which proceeded in this manner, i.e., in seeking approval to file legislation.

Mr. Moore agreed with Chairman Donald that one Nantucket person who opposed this legislation had a valid concern that when there is no land left to acquire for conservation purposes the tax would still be collected. Commissioner Stephen Sandler suggested that the money could go into the conservation fund (for the general maintenance of conservation land) or the percentage could be adjusted accordingly.

Mr. Leslie D. Bellows, 16 Juniper Road, disagreed with the idea of the funds coming from a limited group of people - he felt this was a "back door" way of acquiring additional or replacement funds, and that any funds to be used for conservation land (which land is available for everyone's use) should be shared equally by the Townspeople through taxes. Responding to questioning by Mr. Bellows, Mr. Moore stated that it would be the Commission's intention that the money in the land bank would go toward the purchase of land with the idea of not having to go to Town Meeting for appropriation requests.

Later, Mr. Bellows also expressed his opinion that people in general do not seem to know what the long-term goals of the Commission are. The Conservation Commission was receptive to his suggestion to make the Townspeople more aware of the Commission's intentions.

Chairman Donald pointed out that with Proposition 2½ constraints purchase of conservation land might not be a priority with basic needs being more pressing.

Mrs. Mary Lou Dickson, 8 Mill Pond Road, agreed with Mr. Bellows and felt that Town Meeting should be told "outright" that the Conservation Commission would like to appropriate a certain sum for a particular piece of land. Mr. Moore commented that, in the past, Town Meeting has not been receptive to Conservation line items for land purchases.

Chairman of the Finance Committee, Marjorie Wallace, expanded on the priorities the Finance Committee has under Proposition 2½, especially the basic services which must be provided for the Town, and commented that she personally felt a land bank was a good idea.

At the conclusion of discussion, Chairman Donald thanked the members of the Conservation Commission for requesting and attending the meeting.

Utility Petition 84-32 - Horse Pond Road

Present: Edward F. Chisholm, Boston Edison; Abutters, John W. McLellan, 157 Horse Pond Road, and Kevin J. and Linda T. McCabe, 156 Horse Pond Road.

In conformity with General Laws, Chapter 166, Sections 21 and 22, the Board considered the joint utility petition of New England Telephone and Telegraph Company and Boston Edison Company, for permission to erect or construct, and a location for, poles, and such other fixtures including anchors and guys as may be necessary to sustain or protect the wires of the line, to be used in common by them upon, along and across the following public way of the Town:

Horse Pond Road - westerly side approximately 223 feet  
north of Evergreen Road One (1) pole.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that all appropriate abutters had been notified and that a joint, favorable report had been received from the Wiring and Building Inspectors, dated August 22, 1984.

The above-listed abutters expressed opposition to the placement of the pole at the requested location, as shown on a plan entitled, "Plan of Private Property and Horse Pond Rd., Sudbury, Showing proposed pole location", dated December 22, 1983, on the basis that it would be too close to the roadway and at a location where there are already mailbox posts and trees, which are narrowly missed and many times hit by constant (nightly) speeders on that stretch of Horse Pond Road.

Selectman Fox stated the Selectmen had previously requested that the utility companies should be cognizant of their concern that utility poles should not be near the edge of the roadways where they could create a safety hazard, especially on roadways which are already narrow and winding.

Responding to Selectman Fox, Mr. Edward F. Chisholm, Boston Edison, stated that the only alternative would be for him to bring the requested petition back to the construction department (engineers) at Boston Edison with an explanation of the concerns raised by the abutters and the Selectmen tonight.

At the conclusion of discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To deny Utility Petition 84-32 of New England Telephone and Telegraph Company and Boston Edison Company, as described above and in accordance with the above-noted concerns.

Tax Classification Hearing - Set Residential Factor, FY85.

Present: Chairman David M. Collins, Patrick J. Delaney, III, Theodore G. Cameron, Board of Assessors, Assistant Assessor Daniel A. Loughlin and Office Supervisor Mary Walsh; Town Accountant James Vanar; Town Clerk Jean M. MacKenzie, Town Treasurer Chester Hamilton (arrived later); and approximately 10 residents.

Chairman Donald convened a public hearing for the purposes of receiving public input on the subject of determining what percentage of the local tax levy (Fiscal Year 1984-85) will be borne by each class of real and personal property, in accordance with Chapter 369 of the Acts of 1982, and to determine the Residential Factor.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson referenced a communication dated September 6, 1984, from Jane H. Malme, Bureau of Local Assessment, State Department of Revenue, relative to submission of an "assessors' plan for Sudbury to meet certification requirements of Chapter 797 of the Acts of 1979 in FY86" by October 1, 1984. The Assessors stated that they were in the process of submitting the same.

Assessor David M. Collins stated that, as of August 30, 1984, the State Department of Revenue has given Sudbury a number for the minimum residential factor to work with for the purposes of setting the FY85 tax rate - 93.0912%, which means the Selectmen can select a residential factor between 93.0912% and 1.1% (a factor of 1% would be a single tax rate). Mr. Collins further stated that the Selectmen will vote on a factor and the Assessors will set the rate by rounding off.

Selectman Fox commented as follows:

- Relative to the open space discount/category, the Selectmen have felt in the past that there is no need for it since there are communities which are more rural than Sudbury which do not need the discount and because of the many architectural and preservation restrictions granted with the idea of preserving open space, and asked if the Assessors still shared this opinion. Mr. Collins responded in the affirmative indicating that most people who own land which might be categorized as open space, have taken advantage of one of the other statutory clauses to get their land re-classified; therefore, the Assessors felt the open space factor is not that important.

- Relative to the 10% residential exemption, the Selectmen had asked that the Assessors report back on the subject. Mr. Theodore G. Cameron stated he had researched the subject and reported as follows:

1) Mr. Cameron distributed a simplified example of how the residential exemption works and the effect it has on the various values of residential property, explaining that the intention is to shift some of the tax burden from the low-value to the high-value properties. This would only be a re-adjustment of the taxes; overall, the total Town taxes would remain the same.

2) A residential exemption applies only to the principal residence and one issue is the administrative work involved (about a two-month process so it could not be accomplished for the up-coming mailing in October) in establishing one's principal residence; Mr. Cameron pointed out that there is about a 5% error ratio and for best results a computer program would be required.

3) The cost to implement this tax procedure would be approximately \$15,000 per year, which includes administrative costs and mailings. Mr. Cameron stated that the general sentiment among the Assessors is that this would add very substantially to the administrative effect of preparing taxes every year. Mr. Collins reiterated that because of the administrative costs the Assessors feel there is no necessity or desirability for it in this community. Mr. Collins stated that the Assessors are already assuming that the more expensive properties will bear the higher share of the tax levy; there is no evidence that the lower property owners should be the recipients

of any discount. Assessor Patrick J. Delaney, III, commented that the Assessors offer partial abatements (in hardship cases). Mr. Collins added that the dollar relief is so small under the 10% exemption that it is generally not the answer.

Mr. Collins stated that, by law, at this public hearing two things must be discussed - 1) shifting the burden of residential and commercial/industrial properties and 2) the residential exemption.

Mr. Lawrence Tighe, representing the local Chamber of Commerce, requested that the Selectmen give careful consideration to moving away from the full one-third extra assessment for commercial and industrial property, and requested that, if the Selectmen are not prepared to do so this evening, that they might give the Chamber of Commerce another week or so to present arguments which might encourage the Selectmen toward a more equitable tax burden for the commercial/industrial property owners in Town. At the end of the discussion, Selectmen Fox stated that advance notice had been given of this public hearing, and that we would miss our October 1 deadline for sending out tax bills if we delayed our decision for a week, and, therefore, opposed such a delay.

The following is a summary of remarks by Mr. Russell Kirby, 244 Boston Post Road, in response to Mr. Tighe's comments:

- Development in the Town has continued to escalate and carries with it the financial burden which is going to come to the Town;

- If the Town assumes a flat tax rate then the burden of the expenses which have yet to come before the Town would be borne by the residential property owners and not the commercial developers;

- Consequences of commercial development along Route 20 are: 1) additional telephone service resulting in more cables, 2) the soil on the Boston Post Road at some point will no longer be able to support the additional individual septic systems leaving the Town with only the option of Town sewage; and 3) excessive demands on Town water. Mr. Kirby submitted that no taxes derived from commercial/industrial property will be sufficient to cover the costs which are eventually coming to the Town. Mr. Kirby added that people have been speaking against commercial development for years; furthermore, it is his opinion that a flat tax rate would constitute encroachment on the residential property.

Mr. Collins explained for the general public what the assessment base is and the amount to be raised by taxation this year. The amount to be raised by taxation is up to \$652,000 over the prior year, which is a 5.1% increase; value is up 2.9% over the prior year. Mr. Collins stated that they do not expect to raise the full amount allowed under Proposition 2½ this year and under modified Proposition 2½ legislation this will allow Sudbury to recover in subsequent year(s). Mr. Collins also mentioned that the levy limit may be increased by new construction assessed for the first time and other properties whose value increased by 50% over the prior year. This will give Sudbury some additional flexibility in the future.

At the conclusion of discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To not adopt an open space or a residential exemption classification for FY85.

And it was further

VOTED: To adopt a residential factor of 93.3675% per thousand, which would result in approximately a \$23.10 per thousand residential tax rate (+ .61 increase), and approximately a \$36.61 per thousand commercial tax rate (+ .61 increase), for FY85.

Responding to the Town Clerk's question, Mr. Collins stated the Assessors intend to use the amount to be raised that is certified by the Town Clerk.

Also responding to the Town Clerk, Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny stated his opinion that any appropriation approved by Town Meeting is raised by taxation unless otherwise specified in the article, whether or not the monies are expended after something else occurs. (This questioning referred to the 84ATM appropriation of \$20,000 under article #14, Hosmer House, to be matched by grant monies.) The Town Clerk stated she would not certify that vote since she felt it was the feeling of Town Meeting that the money would not be raised by taxation.

#### Fire Trade-in/Engine I

Present: Fire Chief Michael Dunne.

In accordance with a request from the Fire Chief dated September 5, it was on motion by Selectman Frost unanimously

VOTED: To grant permission to the Fire Chief to trade Engine 1 to Emergency One, Inc./Greenwood Motors immediately, prior to receipt of the new fire engine.

It was noted in the Chief's letter that Emergency One, Inc., has a buyer for Engine 1 (the Town of Attleboro), and that allowing it to be traded before the arrival of the new engine will have minimal effect on the Department as there is another engine still in reserve.

#### Health Insurance Cost Control Services

Present: Town Accountant James Vanar; and Thomas Madsen, Executive Vice President, Claims Monitoring Services Inc.

The Board was in receipt of a proposal dated August 22, 1984, from Claims Monitoring Services Inc., for cost control services for the Town's Blue Cross/Blue Shield Master Medical Plan.

Mr. Thomas Madsen, Executive Vice President of Claims Monitoring Services Inc., stated he was here tonight to discuss the proposal and to answer any questions the Selectmen may have.

Mr. Madsen stated he did not feel the Town was paying for its program of insurance (Blue Cross/Blue Shield Master Medical) in the most cost-effective manner, and recommended that Sudbury implement his proposal; namely, to become self-funding (pay its own claims/remain affiliated with Blue Cross/Blue Shield), purchase re-insurance (approximate annual cost \$60,000) and retain the services of Claims Monitoring Services Inc. (approximate annual fee \$5,000) to monitor claims and advise the Town relative to cash flow and investment.

At the conclusion of discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To support the idea of the proposal dated August 22, 1984, from Claims Monitoring Services Inc. for cost control services for the Town's Blue Cross/Blue Shield Master Medical Plan; to direct Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson to refer the same to the Insurance Advisory Committee for comment and recommendation as soon as possible, and to notify Blue Cross/Blue Shield that the Board is considering this program and is requesting input from Blue Cross/Blue Shield by October 15; and to direct Mr. Thompson to check the references listed on the back page of the proposal - the Towns of Brookline, Natick and Needham.

Performance Review - Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon

Present: Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon.

In accordance with the Selectmen's Performance Review Policy, the Board met with Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon.

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication received September 5, 1984, from the Building Inspector outlining his activities and accomplishments for the past year and objectives for the coming year, which the Board briefly discussed with Mr. Scammon.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson noted for the record that he had held a performance review with Mr. Scammon September 7 and he reviewed with the Board the general evaluation sheet he had prepared as a result of that meeting setting forth a recommended salary increase including 5% contract and 3% merit, placing him in Step 5 of the new contract.

At the conclusion of discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Frost unanimously

VOTED: To set the FY85 salary, retroactive to July 1, 1984, for the position of Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon at \$31,883, which represents the Sudbury Supervisory Association contract increase of 5% plus a 3% merit increase and placement in Step 5 of the new contract.

And it was further

VOTED: To approve the evaluation sheet with objectives for FY85 prepared by the Executive Secretary.

Chairman Donald agreed with the Executive Secretary that Mr. Scammon has done an admirable job.

Responding to Mr. Russell P. Kirby, 244 Boston Post Road, concerning the Selectmen's authority to approve or disapprove a site plan on the basis of proposed architecture, Selectman Fox stated that the Selectmen have no power to disapprove a site plan if it is not aesthetically pleasing. However, the Selectmen are concerned about the architecture of new buildings. While there has been no trouble with developers meeting requirements which the Selectmen and other appropriate Town Board/Departments have recommended in terms of design, etc., the Board intends to pursue adoption of a stronger bylaw provision for design standards than presently exists as passed at the 84ATM under Article 19.

Ephraim's Restaurant/Octoberfest

Present: Thomas J. Lyons, Manager and Tom Nadolski (Kennedy), Treasurer, Ephraim's Restaurant.

Following a brief discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Frost unanimously

VOTED: To grant permission to Ephraim's Restaurant to sell food and beer outdoors in the courtyard at Village Green on Sunday, October 21, 1984, from 12:00 noon to 6:00 p.m. for an Octoberfest, which will be participated in by the other businesses at Village Green, as requested in a letter dated September 5, 1984, from Tom Kennedy of Ephraim's Restaurant, subject to notification to the Fire and Police Departments and the Board of Health.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson noted for the record that this permission is required because the request involves dispensing alcoholic beverages outside the licensed premises. He noted that, while the Historic Districts Commission is not entirely satisfied with the progress on remaining structural problems at Mill Village, i.e., loading dock, chimneys, Health Director Michael Sullivan informed him late today that work is progressing on the loading dock area but not completed. The chimneys are a matter Mr. Quirk has promised over and over again he would take care of!

Performance Review - Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson

In accordance with the Selectmen's Performance Review Policy, Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson submitted to the Board his report dated September 4, 1984, outlining the major activities and accomplishments of the office over the past year.

Mr. Thompson requested a salary increase for FY85 of approximately 8% bringing his annual salary to \$48,328 plus an additional 3% (\$1,450) supplemental retirement benefit.

The Selectmen commended Mr. Thompson for making their job easier by being such a good administrator and for carrying out the day-to-day work so competently, complimented the recognition he gave to his staff, and expressed appreciation for the co-operation received from the office at all times.



Mr. Thompson distributed to the Board a Town of Sudbury management/staff salaries comparison sheet, dated September 1984, which the Board reviewed and discussed.

Chairman Donald referenced a summary sheet of administrative staff support costs, dated September 5, 1984, of certain other towns noting that Sudbury was next-to-last on the list on the salary scale.

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To renew an employment contract (agreement) with Richard E. Thompson, Executive Secretary, for FY85, in accordance with G.L. C. 41, s. 108N, with the following provisions:

- 1) an annual salary for July 1, 1984, to June 30, 1985, of \$48,328;
- 2) in addition to an annual salary of \$48,328, an additional 3% (\$1,450), which shall be used for supplemental retirement benefits under the so-called ICMA Retirement Plan (ICMA Retirement Corporation);
- 3) annual membership in the International City Management Association and the Massachusetts Municipal Management Association;
- 4) one (1) physical examination per year by a Town physician;
- 5) one (1) month of vacation per year;
- 6) the same sick leave benefits as non-union Town employees;
- 7) the same medical insurance benefits as non-union Town employees;
- 8) the same holidays as non-union Town employees;
- 9) a mileage allowance of \$100/month; and
- 10) a six-month severability notice.

Petition/Upgrade Salary and Classification Plan

Present: Town Clerk Jean M. MacKenzie.

Following discussion, the Board agreed to support the employee petition described below.

On recommendation by the Executive Secretary, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To recommend to the Personnel Board that it give serious consideration to a petition signed by sixteen Town employees, dated September 4, 1984, relative to upgrading the salary and classification plan with specific attention to those non-union personnel who have been at the maximum step for a number of years, and, accordingly, that an article be prepared for the next Annual Town Meeting to address the same.

Sanitary Landfill Report/Rules and Regulations

The Board acknowledged receipt of and discussed a joint report dated September 7, 1984, from the Executive Secretary, the Town Engineer, and the Sanitary Landfill Agent relative to the operation of the Landfill and proposed amendments to the Sanitary Landfill Rules and Regulations (last amended in 1983), and a related communication dated September 5, 1984, from the Health Director recommending further amendments to the Sanitary Landfill Rules and Regulations.

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To adopt revised rules and regulations for the operation of the Sudbury Sanitary Landfill, to be implemented November 1, submitted under cover letter of September 7 from the Town Engineer, the Highway Surveyor and the Executive Secretary, and to support the additional recommendations set forth therein, together with the recommendations of the Health Director dated September 5, subject to further consideration being given to his recommendations - #2 and #6 - pertaining to hazardous material and penalties.

The Board directed Mr. Thompson to consult Mr. Sullivan regarding the two concerns raised by the Board as follows:

(#2) On question raised by Selectman Frost, hazardous materials and quantities should be more specifically defined by the Health Director if he is referring to "household" hazardous materials not being dumped in the Landfill, i.e., turpentine, paint, gasoline, motor oil, etc., and there should be an exemption clause similar to that contained in State legislation.

(#6) The Health Director should expand on his suggestion that a "severe penalty be imposed" in terms of how it should be handled and what the amount should be.

Selectman Fox referenced an article in the September 6th issue of The Middlesex News relative to Weston hiring a firm to study extending the life of the town dump, and requested Mr. Thompson to contact Weston to see if it has been successful.

Sign Agreement - Highway Local 1156

The Board signed an Agreement between the Town and Massachusetts Laborers' District Council in behalf of Public Employees Local #1156 of the Laborers' International Union of North America (Highway Department) for the period July 1, 1984, through June 30, 1986.

The Selectmen requested through Highway Surveyor Robert A. Noyes that the wearing of uniforms be enforced within the Highway Department since the contract calls for a uniform allowance, Article IX, sections 2 and 3.

One-Day Wine and Beer License/Joseph Bausk

In accordance with a request dated September 5, 1984, it was on motion by Selectman Fox, unanimously

VOTED: To grant a one-day license to Joseph Bausk, Sudbury Companies of Militia and Minute and Sudbury Ancient Fife and Drum Corps to sell wine and malt beverages only, to be drunk on the premises, on September 29, 1984, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (or in the alternative, a rain date of September 30) on the grounds of the Wayside Inn, subject to the provision of sanitary facilities for attendees, obtaining required building and wiring permits, and approval by the Fire and Police Chiefs.

#### Reschedule Meetings Due to Holidays

Following discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To reschedule the Board's regular meeting of October 8, at 7:30 p.m., due to the Columbus Day holiday, to Thursday, October 11, at 8:00 p.m.; and the Board's regular meeting of November 12, at 7:30 p.m., due to the Veterans' Day holiday, to Thursday, November 15, at 8:00 p.m.

#### Minutes

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve the minutes of the Regular Session of August 27, 1984, as corrected.

#### Middlesex County Advisory Board Meeting

Chairman Donald stated she plans to attend the October 3 Middlesex County Advisory Board Meeting and informed the Selectmen that she will continue to serve as Clerk for the current fiscal year at MCAB's request.

#### Repurchase Option/Barton-Sisson-Ames Properties

Following discussion and on question raised by Selectman Fox it was agreed to direct the Executive Secretary to consult with Town Counsel and reschedule to next week the question of approving a repurchase option and restriction language to be used in the conveyance of the Barton-Sisson-Ames properties (Cedar Swamp and Mossman Road parcels) to the Town through its Conservation Commission.

#### Right-to-Know Legislation

Responding to Selectman Frost, Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that he would be meeting with department heads in the morning and that he would speak to the Health Director, Michael Sullivan, regarding the deadline (September 26) for submitting data to the DEQE in accordance with the new Right-to-Know Legislation.

#### Fort Devens Meeting

The Executive Secretary stated that he and Chairman Donald would attend the semi-annual meeting for elected officials at Fort Devens, Tuesday,

September 18 at 6:30 p.m., and that he would prepare an agenda for discussion at the meeting.

Accept Donation

It was on motion by Selectman Fox unanimously

VOTED: To accept \$65.65, on behalf of the Council of Aging, from Bay Path Senior Citizens Services, Inc., as final payment of Grant 3B-84-02 for van transportation, which totaled \$1,000.

Initial Advisory Report/Chapter 90 Bond Issue Project

It was on motion by Chairman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To sign an Initial Advisory Report/Certification to be filed with the District Highway Engineer relative to highway work on portions of Hudson Road, Concord Road and Horse Pond Road, for work performed under Chapter 90, in accordance with the 1983 Transportation Bond Issue, Ch. 637 of 1983.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Attest:

Richard E. Thompson  
Executive Secretary-Clerk