

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, JUNE 21, 1982

Present: Chairman William J. Cossart, John E. Murray and Anne W. Donald.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been fulfilled, the meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman William J. Cossart.

Utility Petitions: 82-15, Marlboro Road; 82-16, Brimstone Lane

Present: Edward L. Blake, Boston Edison Company; Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon.

In conformity with General Laws, Chapter 166, Sections 21 and 22, the Board considered the following Utility Petitions:

- UP82-15, Marlboro Road, of Boston Edison Company for permission to lay and maintain, and a location for, such a line of direct buried cable with the necessary wires and cables therein, under the following public way of the Town:

Marlboro Road, easterly, approximately 1,300 feet northeast of Mossman Road, a distance of about 10 feet - Direct Buried Cable;  
and

- UP82-16, Brimstone Lane, of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for permission to erect or construct, and a location for, one pole, and such other fixtures including anchors and guys as may be necessary to sustain or protect the wires of the line, to be used in common by them, upon, along and across the following public way of the Town:

Brimstone Lane, southeasterly side, approximately 2,012 feet southwest of Boston Post Road, One (1) pole (One (1) existing J.O. pole to be removed.)

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported that all appropriate Town officials and abutters had been notified and that a joint, favorable report had been received for each petition from the Wiring and Building Inspectors, both dated June 18, 1982.

Mr. Blake of Boston Edison Company was present and briefly explained both petitions, following which it was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 82-15, as described above, and as shown on a plan entitled, "Plan of Marlboro Rd., Sudbury, Showing Proposed Conduit Location", dated May 5, 1982;

and it was further

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 82-16, as described above, and as shown on a plan entitled, "Plan of Brimstone Lane, Sudbury, Showing proposed pole relocations", dated April 13, 1982.

Regarding this petition, Mr. Blake explained that they would be removing two poles and installing one new one, which will eliminate one pole which is presently on private property.

#### Annual Pie Sale

It was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To grant permission to the Sudbury Woman's Club to use the Town Common for its annual pie sale during the July 4th celebration on July 5, 1982, in accordance with their request dated June 2, 1982.

#### Unlicensed Dogs

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported to the Board that 717 dogs out of a total of 2156 remain to be licensed as of June 21, 1982, for the calendar year 1982. Mr. Thompson further informed the Board that it would cost approximately \$175 to notify the owners of these unlicensed dogs that their license fee is now subject to a One Dollar penalty and that, unless their dog is licensed immediately, a complaint may be issued from the Framingham District Court.

The Board directed the Executive Secretary to forward said notification, to follow up the matter and report back to the Board in the near future.

#### Proposed 1982 Equalized Valuation Figure

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated June 1, 1982, from the Massachusetts Department of Revenue providing the Town with its proposed 1982 Equalized Valuation figure, which may be appealed no later than August 10, 1982.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that he had not yet received a breakdown by categories from the State, which is supposed to be sent out State-wide, and recommended that the matter be referred to Town Counsel with the recommendation to the Board of Assessors that the same be appealed by the tenth of August.

Mr. Thompson called the Board's attention to the fact that the 1980 Equalized Valuation was \$385,300,000; the proposed Equalization Valuation for 1982 of \$534,700,000 is an increase of 38.8% for a two-year period and is used to determine our State and local reimbursements.

The Board concurred with Mr. Thompson's suggestion. Mr. Thompson stated that he would report back to the Board next Monday, June 28.

#### Housing for Lincoln-Sudbury West

Present: Chairman Richard F. Brooks, Alan W. Cherish, Lynn B. Donaldson, William A. King, Dante Germanotta and Alan H. Grathwohl, Lincoln-Sudbury Regional School District Committee (LSRSDC); Principal Bradford Sargent; John H. Wilson, Director of Administrative Services; L-S West Director Sandra Topalian; Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon; Custodian Douglas Lewis; and approximately twenty interested persons.

Chairman Cossart opened a meeting with the LSRSDC relative to housing for Lincoln-Sudbury West (alternative school) and its proposal dated May 26, 1982.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson updated as follows: at the last joint meeting (May 11, 1982) it was agreed that a proposal for the housing of Lincoln-Sudbury West would be submitted to the Selectmen, and at its June 7 meeting the Selectmen reviewed a proposal submitted by the LSRSDC (May 26, 1982), and directed the Executive Secretary to transmit to the Regional Committee several questions/concerns regarding the same, which he stated was done through a draft copy of the minutes of that meeting. Since that time, Regional Committee member Alan Grathwohl submitted two different proposals to the Selectmen's office regarding types of bonding for acquisition rather than rental of a Town facility, and one proposal for Town bonding of repairs and rental by LSRHS. Additional information had been received in the Selectmen's office today (which the Selectmen have just received a copy of) from Director of Administrative Services John H. Wilson relative to the proposal submitted by the Regional Committee, which answers technical questions and addresses corrections noted by the Selectmen on June 7, as well as a clear floor plan of the proposed area for Lincoln-Sudbury West at Loring School, and a proposal for the housing of Lincoln-Sudbury West at Fairbank School requested by the Selectmen on May 11. Certain policy questions raised were listed by Mr. Wilson, but left for the Regional Committee to answer.

Chairman Brooks stated that the position of the Regional Committee is one of interest in Town space needs as a whole and flexibility - that their first priority is to have housing settled for Lincoln-Sudbury West prior to September and secondly, the Loring School location is still preferred in terms of condition of the building. Mr. Brooks went on to say that the Regional Committee has not been unaware of the Permanent Building Committee's proposals for the Fairbank School and have been interested, as have been the Selectmen and others in Town, and that the Regional Committee would take the advice of Town Meeting or the Selectmen if a vote were taken to use the Fairbank School which included Lincoln-Sudbury West there. Mr. Brooks expressed his personal opinion that it will take some time to recondition the Fairbank School and it will also require Town Meeting action to obtain the funds to do so and suggested that Lincoln-Sudbury West go to Loring School for the 1982-83 school year and, if necessary, for another year, until the work is done. Mr. Brooks added that if it is not possible for Lincoln-Sudbury West to go to Loring School under any circumstances, the Regional Committee would be willing to discuss other alternatives.

Mr. Brooks asked that Mr. Wilson address the technical questions/problems raised by the Selectmen, that the lease conditions be left until such time as lease negotiations become necessary, and that the Regional Committee would like both Boards to close on where Lincoln-Sudbury West will be in September and then discuss future years.

Chairman Cossart agreed with Mr. Brooks that, if there was extensive work to be done at Fairbank School, there might be a need for Lincoln-Sudbury West to go to Loring School and asked if there were any other reasons. Mr. Brooks stated that their reason was based on the condition of the school, not the educational need of one building over another; he added that when they had planned to take the entire Loring School building, there were other administrative reasons for doing so.

Chairman Cossart asked Custodian Douglas Lewis to comment on the general condition of Fairbank School - if it could be used this coming school year, and the time commitment to do extensive work as suggested by the PBC's proposal(s).

Mr. Lewis stated that the proposals suggested by the PBC would take some time and could not be done by September. On the other hand, to repair - not replace - the roof at Fairbank School, which he stated was basically a maintenance problem, would take approximately three days, and it would take approximately one month to recondition the boilers. Mr. Lewis briefly explained the necessary repairs to both, which he stated could be done over the summer months. Mr. Thompson added that Mr. Lewis had given him the figures today for those repairs - the total was \$12,000.

Responding to Selectman Donald, Mr. Lewis stated that at Loring School there are only a couple of small leaks - nothing serious.

Chairman Cossart summarized that for \$12,000 some immediate repairs could be done to the Fairbank School by September, or partial removal of the building, as suggested by the PBC, and other extensive work could not be done by September, but in either case, the latter work would require a future appropriation of funds. Otherwise, Lincoln-Sudbury West and associated programs could be moved to Loring School and no work done to Fairbank School. Mr. Lewis stated that the maintenance work at Fairbank should be done unless the building is to be demolished.

Mr. Thompson stated that without a Town Meeting appropriation there is approximately \$6,000 available in the General Building Maintenance Account for schools (for repairs) in the current Fiscal Year, and another \$6,000 in the Regional School's maintenance budget. Mr. Thompson replied to Chairman Cossart that it is from this account that maintenance and repair work to Loring or Fairbank are paid. Selectman Murray added that it may be possible to use that money now and replace it in the near future by a special Town Meeting or go for a transfer. Mr. Thompson stated that there is \$3,000 reimbursement expected for the boiler at Loring School.

Responding to Alan Grathwohl, Chairman Cossart stated that approximately one-third of the \$12,000 was for the repair of the roof at Fairbank School. Mr. Grathwohl then suggested that there would be at least sufficient money to begin the roof repairs now.

Selectman Cossart stated that the Board will be meeting with the PBC on the 28th of June at 9:00 p.m. regarding the Loring and Fairbank facilities and invited the Regional Committee to be present at that meeting.

Responding to Selectman Donald, Mr. Grathwohl stated that it might be easier to stay at Fairbank School if it were in a usable condition (roof repaired, etc.), but that the Regional Committee would have to have a gentlemen's agreement that once Lincoln-Sudbury West were located at Fairbank School they could remain there for the entire school year, regardless of whether a Special Town Meeting votes to do further extensive work there as suggested by the PBC. Mr. Brooks also responded to Selectman Donald that they would prefer to move to Loring School and then back to Fairbank School after it is repaired. Selectman Cossart agreed that the move might be more sensible depending on a decision for total renovations/alterations of Fairbank School, and that Loring School would be available on a short-term basis

for a small amount of money. Selectman Cossart stressed the importance of having some indication that Lincoln-Sudbury West is a long-term program in terms of its long-term space requirements, and stated further that it is the Selectmen's intention to address the total space situation in Town offices, the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional High School (available space there), and free space within the school system, and commented that it is beyond the point of three independent agencies looking at their own needs; it must be a joint effort to arrive at the best decisions for the Town.

Regional Committee member Dante Germanotta stressed the need to establish within one month where Lincoln-Sudbury West will be. Mr. Grathwohl commented and agreed that there is a timing problem. Mr. King added his agreement.

Chairman Cossart suggested that the Regional Committee stay for the next agenda item, a meeting with Town departments to discuss general space needs in Town.

Selectman Murray expressed his opinion that there should be a fall Special Town Meeting since waiting until an April Annual Town Meeting will mean that no architectural or structural work could be done until July of 1983. Also, he suggested that the Regional and Sudbury School Committee joint-superintendency discussion be resolved soon since that might have some bearing on the sharing of buildings by various departments.

Chairman Cossart agreed that space use may be one of the major reasons for having a Special Town Meeting, among other things.

Chairman Cossart stated that he would like to hold comment on Mr. Wilson's communication until the Board has had a chance to absorb it. He further stated that if there is a decision to go forward with a community center-type building at Fairbank, there may be strong justification for Lincoln-Sudbury West staying there, but it might be necessary for some alternative housing until it is completed.

Responding to Selectman Donald, the Regional Committee agreed, and Mrs. Sandra Topalian commented and agreed also, that there would continue to be a student need for this type of program in Town - approximately forty students per year. Chairman Cossart commented that he wanted to be sure that the program is not perpetuated unless it is really needed. Mrs. Topalian commented that out-of-district placement, tuitions, and transportation are rising every year, and the Lincoln-Sudbury West is a bargain to the Town.

At the request of Mr. Brooks, Chairman Cossart stated that the Selectmen would make a real attempt within the next two weeks to determine where Lincoln-Sudbury West would be housed for the school year 1982-83.

#### School/Town Government Space Needs

Present: Chairman Richard F. Brooks, Alan W. Cherish, Lynn B. Donaldson, William A. King, Dante Germanotta and Alan H. Grathwohl, Lincoln Sudbury Regional School District Committee (LSRSDC); Lincoln-Sudbury Principal Bradford Sargent; John H. Wilson, Director of Administrative Services; L-S West Director Sandra Topalian;

Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon; Town Engineer James V. Merloni; Custodian Douglas Lewis; Town Treasurer Chester Hamilton; Town Accountant James Vanar; Superintendent Joseph D. LoPresti; Tax Collector Isabelle K. Stone; Town Clerk Betsey M. Powers; Fire Captain Michael Dunne; Chairman Michael E. Melnick, D. Bruce Langmuir, and James F. Goodman, Permanent Building Committee; and approximately ten other Town employees.

Chairman Cossart convened a meeting with school and Town government departments to discuss the total space needs of the Town. He referenced an earlier meeting this evening with the LSRSDC relative to housing for Lincoln-Sudbury West and asked now to hear from permanent employees who occupy the Flynn Building, the Loring Parsonage and the Town Hall, as well as from any elected officials who wished to comment.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson summarized the Selectmen's June 16th meeting, as contained in the minutes of that meeting, and stated that the last joint meeting on the subject had been in June of 1981. Mr. Thompson again stressed the purpose of tonight's meeting - to hear from all departments (including schools) in order to deal with the total space needs of the Town.

Chairman Cossart received comments from the various Town officials, as follows:

- Town Clerk Betsey M. Powers - stated that, in terms of servicing the general public, the Assessors, the Tax Collector and the Town Clerk should be together; in her opinion, the Assessors had the greatest need for space at this time; that the Town Hall has been the focal point of Town Government for many years and should remain so as long as possible (rather than move Town offices to the partially empty Flynn Building or excessed schools); and that using the lower Town Hall to relieve their space problem could be accomplished for much less than \$66 a square foot cost estimated by the Town Engineer and that that cost should be explored in detail. (Mr. Thompson responded that the Town Engineer had updated the last figures available for Town Hall renovations, and that those were the only figures available at this time.)
- Town Treasurer Chester Hamilton - stated that he did not have a need for a centralized location in terms of serving the general public, but that it was important for him to be near the Accounting Department, Tax Collector, and vault.
- Town Accountant James Vanar - stated he had very little need for public contact but has considerable contact with the Town Treasurer and Tax Collector.
- Town Engineer James V. Merloni - stated that the Engineering Department should stay close to the Building Department and that he often works with the Assessors and the Planning Board.
- Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon - stated that his biggest contact is the Town Engineer; that he works with the Board of Health but that it is not mandatory that their offices be together; that he has no problem with his present office but that there is a lot of public contact and that perhaps the second floor location is a problem at times.

- Isabelle K. Stone, Tax Collector - stated that they have been in the Town Hall for years and years and feels these offices presently located there should remain there; and that the Collector needs to be near the Treasurer, the Town Clerk, and the Assessors.
- Superintendent Joseph D. LoPresti explained the distribution of space by the Sudbury School Department at Nixon School, which includes offices, media center, the newly merged special education and business function of Lincoln-Sudbury department, supplies, METCO office, and maintenance department, and stated that this would be a good place for a central switchboard for all departments; the only large empty area is the auditorium.

(Chairman Cossart commented that they would like to solve this problem in the most expeditious way possible - that it would be expensive to develop Town Hall renovations when there is other space available; however, if money is needed to fix up any office, perhaps it should be spent to fix up the Town Hall. Access is important also.)

- Chairman of the LSRSDC, Richard F. Brooks - supported the use of the Nixon school for Town offices from the standpoint of efficiency and in terms of access; at the same time, expressed understanding of the sanctity of the Town Hall, as expressed earlier by Mrs. Powers and Mrs. Stone; and stated he did not find the division of major Town offices into three adjacent buildings as at present to be unacceptable. Mr. Brooks stated the Regional School Committee was very anxious to cooperate with any activities that would make the Town more efficient in terms of its expenses.

Selectman Donald commented on the Fire Department's need for space and stated that if they could expand to the upper level of the Town Hall their requirements would be met for a long time.

Chairman Cossart added that the Board is also considering space for Lincoln-Sudbury West and solicited help from the Permanent Building Committee(PBC) in terms of the entire project.

Chairman of the PBC Michael E. Melnick suggested that every department should define space needs and association requirements in order to deal with the problem, and indicated that the PBC may not be able to make this time commitment at this time. Mr. Thompson noted that he had most of this information.

Chairman Cossart stated that the Selectmen would be discussing both the Loring and Fairbank Schools in relation to Lincoln-Sudbury West's placement at their next meeting with the PBC and that they hoped within two weeks to have a firm understanding of how to proceed. Selectman Cossart asked that the Town Engineer and the Executive Secretary get together and work on the total space problem as soon as possible as there appears to be enough information now to deal with the needs of all departments.

Joint Meeting - Cable Advisory Committee

Present: Chairman Roger Allan Bump, Joseph D. Bausk, P. Jeremy Smith, William H. Eburn, Jr., Margaret Anne Ward, Kenneth E. Brown, Michael J. Shapanka and Anthony Kreisel, Cable Advisory Committee; Channel 5 Television; approximately twenty Town officials, residents and interested persons; William C. Henchy of Adams-Russell Company; Elmer Goldman, Community Cablevision.

Chairman Cossart convened a joint meeting with the Cable Advisory Committee, commenting as follows:

- There appears to be a moderate level of interest in Sudbury to bring cable television in, supported by the Selectmen.
- An interest has been expressed by cable television companies - two applications have been received;
- A Cable Advisory Committee was appointed by the Board of Selectmen and asked to report back to the Board (tonight is their first meeting for that purpose).
- A letter was sent to the Cable Advisory Committee (CAC) under date of May 18, 1982, expressing the Board's position relative to granting a franchise to any cable television company that offers pornography, precipitated by a newspaper article which indicated that pornography was a non-issue with the CAC. Chairman Cossart explained that their position was not meant to try and tell the CAC what to do but rather an attempt to point out that the Selectmen had concerns in this area and that the subject should at least be discussed.
- Also, the Selectmen would like the CAC to consider the idea of municipal retention of the license.

Chairman of the CAC, Roger Allan Bump read the Board's communication of May 18 and asked that the Selectmen rescind the same, following which he read a June 21, 1982, response to the Selectmen, which is attached hereto and made a part of these minutes.

Both Selectmen Donald and Murray concurred that a mandatory parental locking device on the unit would satisfy a lot of their concern.

Mr. Bump added that no New England cable television company offers pornography - X-rated films.

Responding to Chairman Cossart, Mr. William C. Henchy of Adams-Russell Company, stated that the popular cable television stations - Home Box Office, Showtime - to the best of his knowledge, do not have X-rated material. There are some offerings, such as private screenings, Penthouse and Playboy (both of which he stated he had not seen), and others, which show what is a close parallel to X-rated films. Selectman Cossart stated that that was more in keeping with his thinking, and suggested some type of policy to prevent the same. Mr. Bausk added that these types of films are now available on Starcase. Mrs. Ward later added that the channels which show R- and X-rated films are purchased separately - they are not in the total cable television package to the Town.



Mr. Kreisel stated that Mr. Henchy was speaking out-of-line in describing channels carried by other companies, particularly when he mentioned that he had not seen the Playboy channel and when the other applicant had included these channels in his application.

Chairman Cossart replied that he had solicited Mr. Henchy's comment and felt that he answered in a gentlemanly fashion - that his comments would not be misconstrued as a sharp attack against his competitor.

The following CAC members expressed, and supported the strong feelings, contained in their June 21st statement that the Selectmen should not involve themselves in any form of censorship:

- Mr. Eburn - commented on the dangers of censorship in a broad sense, not specifically related to pornography, i.e. saying that objections to pornography might lead to other objections, such as to a Jewish religious program.
- Mrs. Ward expressed support.
- Mr. Brown - specifically objected to that portion of the Selectmen's letter of May 18 which reads as follows: "that we would not act favorably upon granting a franchise to any cable TV company which offers any form of pornography to its viewing public, interpreting the meaning of the same in its narrowest sense", and asked that the same be rescinded.
- Mr. Smith - concluded that X-rated films are not a financially feasible aspect of cable television companies; and that, as times and things change, so can cable television programming, such as channels offered by companies under contract.

Mr. Bump stated that, according to the State Commission, there are organizations ("against pornography") within the Commonwealth who go to Chairmen of Boards of Selectmen and ask that this subject be brought up, and it has been brought up in most communities as a result of this particular organization.

Chairman Cossart assured Mr. Bump he had not been approached by any organization regarding the same.

Chairman Cossart asked the CAC if they would give consideration to retaining one company over another in terms of what they offer - X- and/or R-rated material. The CAC responded that that would not be an issue but that rates, quality, service, and financial status of the company would be factors.

Mr. Richard F. Brooks asked if there was such a thing as community standards and whether they would be applied to the awarding of a cable license. Selectman Cossart stated that there were no Town Bylaws or regulations specifying community standards in Sudbury beyond what already is law. Mr. Brooks stated that if that is the attitude of this Committee, then he has a dispute with them too.

Selectman Murray reiterated that it appears the Committee had considered this issue, that the locking device will be available, and that that puts the moral issue in the home where it should be. He further commented in support of the individual right of choice.

Mr. Richard Duggan referenced a Massachusetts statute regarding pornography or obscenity that makes it a felony to disseminate this material and which crime is judged in a court of law by a panel of peers - not the Selectmen, the Police or anyone else. Chairman Cossart contended that the fact that the law is there does not prevent pornographic material from being disseminated.

Regarding community standards, Mrs. Santa Jean DeSantis stated that it would be more appropriate for the Selectmen to deal with something such as a topless bar and the appropriateness of the same in Town.

Mr. Richard Whelpley stated that he attended the meeting tonight because of his concern regarding safeguards dealing with pornography, but after listening to the discussion was persuaded by the fact that control would be present by the parental locking device, and more importantly, that any channels offering such would be subscribed to individually by choice. Mr. Whelpley further commented that, as a professional electrician and sound engineer, he felt he should inform people there would not be any great problem to disable some kind of electrical locking device.

The following persons spoke in support of the CAC's position:

- Mr. Duggan supported the CAC in their attempt to stop the Selectmen from legislating community morality.
- Mr. Herbert Nagle commended the CAC for trying to find the best cable company rather than getting waylaid on this type of side issue and for standing up against a situation that must have been difficult for them.
- Mr. Alan Cherish expressed his opinion that the Selectmen should not dictate to the people in Town regarding morality.

Chairman Cossart again stated that the Selectmen's intention was not to legislate morality and apologized if people thought that; he stated that it was clearly not correct.

There was discussion regarding the long-term contract, rates and programming.

Relative to retaining the license within the municipality, Mr. Smith stated that it is an enormous financing problem and could develop into a legal controversy of the Town's rights to do so, and explained that the financial obligation for cable television belongs to the cable company. Responding to Mr. Whelpley, he stated that the Town receives revenues based on the number of subscribers.

Mr. Bump again asked the Selectmen to give the CAC a vote of confidence by retracting their letter of May 18, 1982.

Selectman Murray suggested that the Selectmen take this under advisement so that they can have an opportunity to absorb the June 21 letter from the CAC. The Board concurred. CAC member Bill Eburn stated he felt that was an appropriate action. Several other CAC members agreed.

Mr. Bump stated that the CAC would continue to meet and conduct its business and wait to hear from the Board of Selectmen.

Coatings

Present: Thomas G. Craig, Coatings Engineering.

Subsequent to the Board's last meeting with Coatings Engineering on June 16, the Selectmen received a communication dated June 18th from the Board of Health regarding the current status of the water and air pollution problems at Coatings, and specifically the recommendations made by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (under date of June 10, 1982), and indicating that no specific/in-depth plans, only short-term solutions, have been defined and that the Board of Health's position remains the same.

During discussion of that report, Chairman Cossart commented that there was nothing in the report which would cause the Selectmen to reverse their previous position; Selectman Murray commented that the report is so vague that it raises a lot of questions and does not tell what the results would be. Selectman Donald asked about the situation with air pollution since the report deals only with water pollution problems. Mr. Craig reported that they will be reporting back to the DEQE within a couple of days with design data sheet for the equipment that is now involved. At the conclusion of discussion, it was mutually agreed to meet June 28, at 8:00 p.m. with Camp Dresser & McKee and Coatings. Mr. Craig stated that he would telephone Selectman Cossart prior to that time to be sure of all the questions he would like to have addressed by Camp Dresser.

Town Committees

Resignation

It was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To accept with regret the resignation dated June 2, 1982, of H. Rebecca Ritchie from the Conservation Commission, effective June 30, 1982.

The Executive Secretary was directed to send Ms. Ritchie an appropriate letter of appreciation, on behalf of the Board, for her many years of service on that Board and on numerous related subcommittees.

Appointment - Sudbury Historical Commission

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To appoint Marilyn A. MacLean, 209 Water Row, to the Sudbury Historical Commission, replacing Richard C. Hill, for a term to expire April 30, 1985, in accordance with the recommendation of the Historical Commission, dated June 8, 1982.

Dissolve Committees

It was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To dissolve the following committees, their duties having been completed:

- 1) Inflammables Storage Bylaw Committee, established pursuant to Article 13 of the 1981 Annual Town Meeting, on May 11, 1981; and

2) Town Facilities Committee, established December 8, 1980.

Candidates - Local Arts Council and Sign Review Board

The Board asked that the Executive Secretary provide them with the original applications received from Marcia Smith, Barry Marchette, and Nadine Wallack previously interested in serving on the Local Arts Council. The Executive Secretary was further directed to contact the High School regarding possible candidates to that committee - either art/music students or teachers.

There were no recommendations for the Sign Review Board.

Remaining Appointments

Mr. Thompson informed the Board that he had requested the Sealer of Weights and Measures, Richard C. Gleason, to submit a status report in writing and inform the Board of his interest in being reappointed, the matter to be on the June 28 agenda.

Minutes

It was on motion by Selectman Donald

VOTED: To approve the Senecal Site Plans portion of the June 7, 1982, minutes, as drafted.

(Selectman Murray abstained since he was not present at that meeting.)

It was further on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve the minutes of the Special and Executive Session Meetings of June 16, 1982, both as drafted.

Police Chief - Retirement

At the suggestion of Selectman Murray and following discussion it was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To award Police Chief Nicholas Lombardi a 5% merit increase retroactive to June 1, 1982, which would increase his current base salary of \$30,940 by \$1,547 for a total salary of \$32,487 in appreciation of his service to the Town over the past twenty-three years; subject to Personnel Board review in conjunction with the Personnel Salary and Classification Plan and to Finance Committee approval as to funding from Acct. 950-101.

There was a consensus that the Board should give further consideration to the possibility of developing a policy, when there is satisfactory performance, that an additional merit increase would be given at the time of retirement.

Gold Star Nurseries

The Board acknowledged receipt of a June 17 communication to Mr. Arthur Gaklis of Gold Star Nurseries from the Building Inspector denying his building application on the basis that Board of Appeals action is required regarding their intended use in the Industrial Park District.

Surplus School Department Property

It was on motion by Selectman Donald

VOTED: To confirm the Board's vote earlier today, June 21, to allow the sale of surplus school department property to citizens of the Town of Sudbury during the hours of 1:00-4:00 p.m., Monday, June 21, at Fairbank School. (Selectman Donald and Murray in favor; Chairman Cossart opposed.)

At the request of Selectman Cossart, the Executive Secretary had prepared a letter to John H. Wilson, Director of Administrative Services, expressing the Selectmen's dissatisfaction with his June 18th communication and attachments regarding the disposal of Town-owned personal property, which the Board reviewed and agreed to send.

Gale Engineering Report

The Executive Secretary called the Board's attention to a bill in the amount of \$500 from Gale Engineering for a report regarding the condition of the Loring School roof. The Executive Secretary stated he would report further on the matter at a subsequent meeting.

Unfinished House - Goodman's Hill Road/Route 20

Chairman Cossart asked the Executive Secretary to look into the status, as far as whether a certificate of occupancy has been issued, relative to the unfinished house at the easterly corner of Goodman's Hill Road and Route 20, and report back to the Board.

Parking Fines

Following review and discussion of a June 16 communication from the temporary Parking Clerk, Assistant Town Counsel Thomas M. French, regarding parking fines, it was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To support the recommendations stated in that communication that fines be escalated \$5.00 at each step, as allowed by G. L. c90, section 20A 1/2, i.e., \$5.00 if paid after twenty-one days and an additional \$5.00 if paid after Registry is notified, and to proceed after July 1 with the ordering of new tickets with said notation printed on them.

The Board further agreed to Town Counsel's suggestion that the Town consider in the future the services of an agency to collect fines for the Town.

Executive Session

At 11:15 p.m. it was on motion by roll call

VOTED: To enter into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing strategy for collective bargaining or litigation where open discussion of the same may have a detrimental effect.

(Roll call vote: Chairman Cossart, in favor; Selectman Murray, in favor; Selectman Donald, in favor.)

Chairman Cossart stated that Public Session would not reconvene following the Executive Session.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:35 p.m.

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Richard E. Thompson  
Executive Secretary-Clerk