

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1982

Present: Chairman William J. Cossart, John E. Murray and Anne W. Donald.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been fulfilled, the meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman Cossart.

License Renewals - Sudbury Bowladrome, Inc.

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To renew the following licenses of Sudbury Bowladrome, Inc., 136 Boston Post Road:

- a. License to operate twenty-four bowling alleys on weekdays from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight and on Sundays from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and five pocket billiard tables on weekdays from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight for the year commencing May 1, 1982;
- b. License for Public Entertainment on Sunday to operate five pocket billiard tables from 1:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. commencing May 23, 1982, through May 15, 1983, subject to approval by the Department of Public Safety; and
- c. License for Public Entertainment on Sunday to operate Automatic Amusement Devices (two pinball machines) from 1:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. commencing June 27, 1982, through June 19, 1983, subject to approval by the Department of Public Safety.

Application: Above Ground Storage of Inflammables - Coatings Engineering

Present: Albert J. Zaccone; Fire Chief Josiah F. Frost; Michael W. Guernsey, Board of Health; and approximately 20 abutters/neighbors.

Chairman William J. Cossart convened a public hearing, under the provisions of Chapter 148 of the General Laws on the application dated March 9, 1982, of Albert J. Zaccone, Technical Director of Coatings Engineering Corporation, for a license to store aboveground 2,000 gallons in total of methyl ethyl ketone, methyl isobutyl ketone, toluene and isobutanol (Class A), on property located at 33 Union Avenue.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that all appropriate abutters and Town officials had been notified and that the following communications had been received:

- from Fire Chief Josiah F. Frost, dated April 6, 1982, indicating that the Fire Department had inspected the location and recommending approval subject to the installation of an automatic fixed dry chemical system in the flammable liquid storage room;
- from the Building Inspector, Joseph E. Scammon, dated April 23, 1982, indicating he had visited the above facility with the Fire Chief and recommending approval.

Chairman Cossart asked the petitioner to explain the reasons for the application.

Technical Director Albert J. Zaccone stated that the chemicals listed in the license application are presently being stored at Coatings without the appropriate license from the Selectmen - that in 1973 the room housing the inflammables was approved by the Fire Department and the Building Inspector, and that the Fire Department has been inspecting the premises periodically.

Responding to the Selectmen, Mr. Zaccone stated that the materials specified on the license application would be stored in drums located in Department 5, a room which is constructed especially for inflammable materials. Mr. Zaccone explained that Coatings buys the raw materials and the finished product is made by mixing these ingredients.

Mr. Forrest D. Bradshaw of 10 Maple Avenue referenced the Notice of the Public Hearing, and following an explanation by Chairman Cossart, realized he had misinterpreted the notice.

Mr. Zaccone stated that the license application was for a maximum at any one time of 2,000 gallons of the inflammables. Selectman Murray pointed out that the application is in addition to chemicals previously licensed for outside underground storage, as follows:

- 2,500 gallons Class A - methyl isobutyl ketone and toluene
- 10,000 gallons Class A - no-lead gasoline
- 7,500 gallons Class B - Isopar M and Sol 150 (Aro 150)
- 10,000 gallons Class B - diesel fuel
- 20,000 gallons Class C - dioctyl phthalate  
diisodecyl phthalate  
dicapryl phthalate  
butyl octyl phthalate  
Escoflec 150 (Lar 516)

Mr. Clifford J. Hughes of 27 Concord Road asked if the 2,000 gallons being requested was the amount for each chemical. Mr. Zaccone stated that it was the total amount of all the chemicals.

Mr. Hughes asked about the fumes from these chemicals, stating that in the past he understood that isopar had evaporated from Coatings' smoke stack at the rate of 2-4 pounds per hour.

Mr. Zaccone stated that that refers to another operation entirely; that this license request is not for a new operation but simply to store chemicals. Mr. Zaccone stated that methyl ethyl ketone, methyl isobutyl ketone and isobutanol fumes got out through the stack but that there is not that much of it in the air to make it dangerous to breath in. Additionally, Mr. Zaccone stated that Federal Agencies - the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) - have inspected their plant for compliance with their regulations.

Mr. Hughes referenced the communication to Jo Ann Shotwell, Assistant Attorney General, from the local Board of Health, dated March 17, 1982, and quoted the letter and questioned Mr. Zaccone, as follows:

- "Coatings claim that trichloroethane has been eliminated in their discharge, however, the Board of Health's analysis of February 1982 found this chemical at 2,000 ppb." Mr. Zaccone responded that it was in the water.
- "Coatings also claims that toluene has been eliminated but our (Board of Health) analysis indicates no change from the company's first test of September 23, 1980." Mr. Zaccone responded that, since last month, Coatings has not used toluene in the plant; however, Coatings purchases toluene in 55-gallon drums and sells it in small amounts to a customer. Mr. Hughes stated that the license application does not specify that.

Mr. Hughes questioned the use of isobutyl alcohol/"dangerous properties of hazardous materials" - stating that fumes coming from Coatings made him sick. Mr. Zaccone stated that those fumes were not toxic. Mr. Hughes indicated that when he had called the Board of Health he had received no satisfaction.

Mr. Hughes asked why fumes at the rate of 2-4 pounds per hour of Isopar M (Parafin alcohol) escape from Coatings. Mr. Zaccone stated that it is not toxic material, it is made by Exxon, approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), used in cosmetics, and is odorless.

Mr. Hughes asked why Coatings coats with poly-vinyl chloride. Mr. Zaccone stated that it is vinyl chloride which is potent, not poly-vinyl chloride. Mr. Hughes commented that around Town people think it is the pig farm they are smelling, but it is Coatings. People at Mullen Lumber have also gotten sick from the fumes, Mr. Hughes said.

Mr. Hughes read a portion of the limited industrial bylaw, "no use shall be permitted which would be seriously detrimental or offensive to adjoining districts or tend to reduce property value by reason of dirt, odor, fumes, smoke, gas, sewage, refuse, and other waste material, noise, light, excessive vibration, radiation, explosion or fire, or which would contaminate ground water any streams or other body of water in wet area." He stated that the Attorney General's office had already fined Coatings \$15,000 and asked why the Building Inspector/Zoning Enforcement Agent had not done anything about this.

Selectman Cossart stated that the hearing should proceed and that they should come back to that issue.

Mr. Hughes stated his opposition to granting this license at this time and indicated that, when the problems of fumes and apparent contamination of ground water are resolved, he would reconsider his position.

Selectman Murray stated that the Town has been talking with Coatings for a number of years and that, at times, Coatings has been cooperative. Referencing Mr. Hughes' complaint, Selectman Murray expressed his opinion that the Selectmen should make a request asking the DEQE to determine exactly what is coming out of the stack at Coatings.

Mrs. Judith A. Cope of 80 Plympton Road, formerly of the Conservation Commission, stated that she became concerned about Coatings five years ago! She said that at that time there was a discharge of noxious, streaming, green smoke coming from their stack. Mrs. Cope stated that the DEQE had been contacted several times and had promised to send a technician to inspect, which they never did. Mrs. Cope stated that this is something the Town should handle themselves. She commented on the working conditions at Coatings being repulsive, and suggested that the Board of Health do something about that. Mrs. Cope stated that there are other violations at Coatings in addition to the storing of chemicals without a permit, and that she would like to see them all corrected at once.

Selectman Cossart stated that Coatings has operated with a permit from the Fire Chief for the storage of the materials; now the volume is over the Fire Chief's authority to grant a permit, and that is the reason for the license hearing. Selectman Cossart continued to say that a license from the Selectmen is required for storage of Class A materials over 165 gallons.

Mrs. Eugenia B. Seybold of 53 Concord Road stated that, in addition to the Selectmen checking the emission from the stack, they should also consider checking the lagoon from which noxious fumes have been evaporating into the air. Mrs. Seybold stated that to be conclusive, observation wells should be located around the lagoon.

Chairman Cossart stated that Mr. Hughes also made reference to the ground water contamination, and that they would get back to that issue.

Mr. Bradshaw stated that he is very concerned over this whole situation; that last summer he had occasion to smell fumes from Coatings and did not know if they were harmful or not. Most disturbing, he said, was that when he called the Board of Health, he was told that there was nothing the Town could do. Mr. Bradshaw expressed his opinion that the Town can do something - the Town doesn't have to issue the license. Mr. Bradshaw further stated that "this is our Town, and we should be able to make rules and regulations for the preservation of it", further, that it disturbs him to think that the State may take over in this situation. Mr. Bradshaw stated that, as the licensing authority, the Selectmen should give very close consideration to the application.

Mr. Hughes questioned the consumption of the 2,000 gallons. Selectman Cossart stated that the application pertains to the amount stored at any one time - that he did not believe the volume being used was a question of concern at this time.

Mr. David N. Drummond of 67 Concord Road questioned who in Town would oversee the amount of inflammables stored at Coatings to be sure it did not exceed the amount authorized under the license. Mr. Drummond also expressed his concern regarding the explosives contained in Department 5, stating that he had visited the plant and observed no contained area for these explosives to prevent the leveling of the building in case of explosion.

Chairman Cossart deferred his question regarding the adequacy of the building to the Fire Chief. Responding to the monitoring of the flow in and out, Selectman Cossart stated that there would be no monitoring but that the storage would be subject to inspections.

Mr. Drummond questioned if there would be a fine assessed to Coatings if they were found to be in violation.

Assistant Town Counsel Thomas M. French stated that any violation of the license conditions could result in a fine or revocation of the license.

Fire Chief Josiah F. Frost stated that there are two units involved - one is a room that was especially built with fire proof materials, a sprinkler system, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) approved; and they will have another system called the dry chemical system which is automatic so that any ignition would be taken care of automatically. The second location is a dip tank which is a process unit. It has some toluene which does have some fumes but is in a tank within a tank designed by NFPA standards for that type of operation with sprinklers, ventilation fans and with an automatic dry chemical system. The Chief stated that he has never had an incident reported regarding that tank. Coatings will be required to have these systems inspected. The Fire Department inspects them a minimum of two times a year, and the system is also tested by an organization that is licensed to test those systems and who sends a report to the Fire Department.

Mr. David Wilson of 36 Thunder Road asked about the prognosis of the damage through any act of vandalism or by accident.

The Fire Chief stated that the building has a "smoke relief panel" going around the top of it which would handle a sizable explosion. The Chief expressed his opinion that the 55-gallon drum with the two systems would be under control before anything of that nature happened.

Mr. Wilson asked about the fumes in that case; the Fire Chief had no answer. Mrs. Seybold stated that some of these chemicals are extremely lethal. Mr. Zaccone stated that they are not lethal, but not pleasant either. Mrs. Seybold stated that phenol when burned can cause death. Mr. Zaccone stated that Coatings does not use phenol.

Mr. Michael Guernsey of the Board of Health reported that the Board voted against recommending approval because it is very concerned with the chemicals already in the effluents coming out of the plant and until something can be done with the discharge no recommendation for approval for a new license will be forthcoming from the Board of Health.

Chairman Cossart expressed his opinion that, based on the long history of problems with Coatings (the Board of Health, the Conservation Commission and the Selectmen have had long series of discussions with Coatings regarding the quality of activity conducted at that location) the Board should deny without prejudice the license application and 1) direct Coatings to reduce their inventory immediately to the amount licensed by the Fire Chief; and 2) require Coatings to install 24-hour monitoring of effluents to assure that they put their process under control - both the liquid effluent and vapor discharge - at that point they could return and their application would be reconsidered.

Mr. Zaccone stated that, if the license is denied, Coatings would not be able to operate and later stated that Coatings had spent thousands of dollars to correct their process.

Assistant Town Counsel Thomas M. French explained that once the storage of Class A materials exceeds 165 gallons, a license from the Selectmen is required. He stated that Coatings now has licenses for 12,500 gallons of Class A inflammables (2,500 gallons of methyl isobutyl ketone and toluene and 10,000 gallons of no-lead gasoline).

Responding to Selectman Murray's question about the legality of Coatings moving inflammables from outside storage to inside storage, Mr. French responded that would be possible only if the present licenses were unrestricted. Mr. French repeated that there are already two licenses for Class A chemicals and added that his understanding of the licensing statute is that Coatings has already gone into the volume that requires licensing, and those limits cannot be exceeded even with a permit from the Fire Chief.

After further discussion and consideration it was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To deny without prejudice the application of Coatings Engineering Corporation for a license to store aboveground 2,000 gallons of Class A inflammables (methyl ethyl ketone, methyl isobutyl ketone, toluene and isobutanol), on property located at 33 Union Avenue, in order to allow Coatings to install continuous monitoring equipment to determine effluents - liquid (and vapor) discharge(s) - and to demonstrate that they are maintaining quality standards, as stipulated in the "Agreement For Judgment", dated January 19, 1982, "Cortese et al. v. Coatings Engineering Corporation, Suffolk Civil Action No. 53000", and to direct that Coatings return to the permitted level of aboveground storage of Class A Chemicals, within thirty days.

Responding to Chairman Cossart's comments, Mr. French stated that the Attorney General's "Agreement for Judgment" does direct Coatings to comply with certain standards of the liquid discharge to the lagoon.

Following discussion it was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To direct the Executive Secretary, in conjunction with Town Counsel, to attempt to bring about a similar "Agreement for Judgment" through the DEQE for the same type of enforcement relative to the emission of vapor (air quality) as the standards set up relative to the liquid discharge for Coating's facility.

Mr. Drummond asked why the Selectmen deferred to DEQE as opposed to insisting on the local bylaw which specifically enumerates fumes, smoke, etc.

Chairman Cossart stated that there has been an amount of effectiveness recently with DEQE, and that the Town has worked for years with respect to getting under control the liquid discharge from Coatings, and he expressed his personal belief that there will be some degree of effectiveness going the same route with respect to the vapor (odor) discharge.

Selectman Murray added that DEQE has the engineering staff and equipment to deal with and advise the Town with respect to this emission, contrary to our local Board of Health.

Responding to the question of whether or not the Town would lose its local authority by doing so, Mr. French stated that what the Town would be trying to do would be to succeed at coming under the umbrella of Federal and State authority; standards of measurements, odor, or fumes, which are transient and/or temporary would be hard to prove in court under the local bylaw.

Mrs. Cope stated her objections based on the fact that the DEQE moves slowly and further stated that the bylaw states, "even likely to contaminate water or any other natural resources", which would put the burden on Coatings.

Responding to Mrs. Cope, Selectman Murray stated that, in the area of Coatings, monitor wells have been requested by the Sudbury Water District. Mrs. Cope added that she hoped the Selectmen would continue to consider the discharge already in the ground and water.

Responding to Mr. Hughes, who stated he had smelled fumes as late as 9:00 p.m. and questioned the plant hours, Mr. Zaccone stated that Coatings operates from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and one machine runs at night on occasion.

Mr. Hughes asked if the Building Inspector will do something about their complaints and questioned assessing a daily fine.

Chairman Cossart stated that the Selectmen's position to go to the DEQE for enforcement of standards does not take away from the on-going responsibility of the Building Inspector to enforce the zoning bylaw.

Mr. Hughes pointed out that it took two years to come this far!

Mrs. Seybold commented that she has a small child who is subjected to the fumes from Coatings; that she has smelled the odor at the Sudbury Pre-school Cooperative; and that she is concerned too about "what we are not smelling"!

Mrs. Deidre Gale of 47 Lakewood Drive asked who would enforce the Selectmen's decision that Coatings decrease their inventory of chemicals as soon as possible.

Mr. French stated it would be the responsibility of the Fire Chief and the Selectmen. On the advice of the Fire Chief, the Selectmen agreed that they would give Coatings thirty days to take care of this; said wording was incorporated into the Board's earlier vote.

#### Sudbury Landfill

Present: Michael W. Guernsey, Board of Health.

At its April 20 meeting, the Selectmen discussed the situation of Wayland residents and packers using the Sudbury Landfill on Thursdays when Wayland's Landfill is closed. There was some discussion regarding whether or not changing the hours of Sudbury's Landfill would be helpful in alleviating this problem.

Mr. Michael Guernsey of the Board of Health stated that he had approached the Board of Health after attending that meeting with the Selectmen and reported that the Board's vote to close the Landfill on Thursdays was two against and one abstained. The Board of Health felt they needed more information.

Mr. Guernsey informed the Board that a list of all packers would be available through the local Board of Health who is the licensing authority.

Mr. Thompson stated that he had contacted and scheduled a meeting in May with the Wayland Road Commissioners to discuss this problem.

Responding to Mr. Guernsey, the Selectmen informed him that the Highway Surveyor was not planning to do any new traffic counts.

Regulations - Closing Hour, Liquor Licenses

Present: Representatives from Pacific Gate and The 99 Restaurant.

Chairman Cossart convened a public meeting with holders of Restaurant Alcoholic Beverages Licenses concerning the adoption by the Board of Selectmen, under G. L. c.138, s.23, of rules and regulations for licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages to be served and drunk on the premises, granted pursuant to G. L. c.138, ss.1 and 12.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that Town Counsel had drafted a set of rules and regulations, which had been approved by the Board March 15, concerning the closing hour procedures for liquor license establishments, precipitated by the Board's continuous concern regarding drunk driving, serving to minors, drinking after hours, and a recent public hearing involving liquor license violations.

Mr. Bill Wong of Pacific Gate Restaurant asked what the ruling would be if there are people eating in the diningroom who had unfinished drinks at 1:00 a.m. (weekends). The Selectmen responded that all drinks in all establishments serving alcoholic beverages must be removed from the tables and the bar at the hour designated on the liquor license for serving alcoholic beverages, and that last call should be fifteen minutes prior to that time, regardless of whether or not food is still being served or consumed.

During further discussion the Selectmen agreed that they are primarily concerned that all patrons be out of the building by closing, not necessarily out of the parking lot.

At the conclusion of discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To adopt, under authority of G. L. c. 138, s. 23, a set of Rules and Regulations for Licenses for the sale of Alcoholic Beverages to be Served and Drunk on the Premises, included and made a part of these minutes; said Rules and Regulations to be effective June 1, 1982;

and it was further

VOTED: To instruct the Executive Secretary to publish said Rules and Regulations in the local newspaper and to forward a copy to all pouring licensees.



Ecumenical Program

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson provided and referenced information compiled at the request of the Board at its April 20 meeting and following review of the same, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To grant permission to the Sudbury Interfaith Committee Against Nuclear War to use the Town Hall steps and lawn for the purpose of holding a townwide ecumenical program on Sunday, May 16, 1982, from 2:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., and in conjunction with the same to use Heritage Park for picnicking, and to block traffic from the road between Old Sudbury Road and Concord Road in front of the Town Hall, subject to the following:

- two paid police detail; and
- the Executive Secretary working out the details between the Grange people and the Interfaith Committee, who are both scheduling individual events for the same day in the same area of the Town Center.

Mr. Thompson stated that horses to block off the road as well as barrels could be provided by the Town; barrels would be picked up by the Highway Department. Also, rest rooms should be an accommodation of sponsor, the First Parish Church, rather than the lower Town Hall.

Bid for Police Cruisers

In accordance with the Board's April 20, 1982, vote to take under advisement the question of awarding the bid for police cruisers, and following a brief discussion of information on the repair history and records of Main St. Auto/Police vehicles, supplied under date of April 23 by the Executive Secretary, it was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To rescind the Board's vote of March 31, 1982, to accept the bid for three new police cruisers (1982 Ford LTDs) of Natick Auto Sales, Inc., 157 W. Central Street, Natick, Massachusetts, for a total net price of \$19,119.

And it was further on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To accept the bid for three new police cruisers (1982 Ford LTDs) of Main St. Auto Sales & Service Inc., the low bidder, 1022-1040 Main Street, Waltham, Massachusetts, for a total net price of \$18,299.55 with trade-in of 3, 1981 Ford LTDs, as specified in their bid dated March 24, 1982, and in accordance with the Town's specifications.

Minutes

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve the minutes of the Regular and Executive Sessions of April 20, 1982, as drafted.

Boston Edison - Levying of Oil Import Fee

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated April 13, 1982, from John Goggin, District Manager, Boston Edison Company, requesting support of Boston Edison's opposition to the levying of an oil import fee by the federal government, and directed the Executive Secretary to prepare a draft response in support to Mr. Goggin, on behalf of the Board.

Middlesex County Advisory Board - County Bills

The Board reviewed and took positions on a list of bills currently being considered by the Committee on Counties at the State House, as requested by the Middlesex County Advisory Board in a letter dated April 13, 1982, and directed the Executive Secretary to respond, as follows:

- |       |  |                             |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|
| S 174 | Allows advisory boards to consist of chief elected officials or city and town managers or <u>their designees</u> with no restriction on who these designees may be.  | do not support              |
| S 197 | Grants county commissioners additional discretionary powers (i.e. county regional housing authority, county water study, etc.)   | do not support              |
| S 200 | Establishes procedures for the adoption of home rule charters by counties.   | support                     |
| S 203 | Allows county hospitals to process and pay their own bills and payroll.  | do not support              |
| H 309 | Limits advisory boards to approving or reducing county budget requests.  | do not support              |
| H1451 | For the Commonwealth to assume the entire cost of county government.   | no position;<br>one opposed |
| H2765 | Assumption by the state of cost of operation and administration of county registry of deeds.   | no position at<br>this time |
| H2767 | State reimbursement to counties for all expenses incurred for jails and houses of correction.  | support                     |
| H2766 | To increase from three commissioners to seven trustees the Trustees of the Middlesex County Hospital to include three commissioners, mayor of Waltham or his/her designee, chairman of the MCAB, MCAB member, and a medical doctor from the Middlesex County chosen by the Advisory Board. | do not support              |

Community Signboards

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve use of the two community signboards on Routes 20 and 117 by the Lincoln-Sudbury Scholarship Committee to advertise its annual Springthing Festival, during the period of May 1-8, subject to approval by the Sign Review Board and removal of the signs on May 8.

Eye Saver Week

It was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To proclaim the week of May 17, 1982, as Eye Saver Week in the Town of Sudbury, as requested by the Sudbury-Wayland Lions Club in a communication dated April 16, 1982.

Action on ATM Votes

The Board reviewed a memorandum dated April 22, 1982, listing the follow-up items resulting from the 1982 Annual Town Meeting that will or may require action by the Office of the Board of Selectmen.

Summer Meeting Schedule

It was on motion by Selectman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To approve a Summer Meeting Schedule for the Board, as drafted by the Executive Secretary under date of April 27, 1982.

Wayland Meeting

Relative to an invitation dated April 20, 1982, from the Wayland Board of Selectmen to attend a meeting with Congressman James M. Shannon at the Wayland Town Building on Saturday, May 1, 1982, the Executive Secretary was directed to extend the same, on Wayland's behalf, to Sudbury boards and commissions.

Current Items of Interest

May 3 Meeting - Chamber of Commerce

The Board noted receipt of an invitation dated April 21, 1982, to attend a May 3 meeting at Winchesters, Sudbury, at 6:30 p.m., by the Chamber of Commerce and expressed interest in attending noting, however, that it is the Board's meeting night. The Executive Secretary was directed to inform the Chamber of its intentions.

Special Meetings: Schools

Following a lengthy discussion, the Executive Secretary was directed relative to excessed schools/proposals: one on May 11 at 7:30 p.m. in the lower Town Hall to meet with the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional School District Committee, and the other, on May 18 at Curtis, subject to the availability of the lecture hall, at 7:30 p.m. to meet with area residents of Fairbank and Loring Schools.

Communications Received

- from Lawrie W. Honens, dated April 23 supporting a lease with Lincoln-Sudbury West at Loring School.
- from Laury Hammel of The Longfellow School, dated April 22, 1982, a proposal for the Loring School.

- from Harold R. Cutler for Small World Children's Center (formerly Day-Off for Mother), dated April 23, 1982, regarding use of a portion of the Loring School in conjunction with its use by Lincoln-Sudbury West.
- from Gordon DeWolf, dated April 19, 1982, relative to abandoned vehicles in Town and curtailed public safety activities. The Executive Secretary will meet with Mr. DeWolf and report back to the Board.

Collective Bargaining

The Collective Bargaining Session scheduled for this evening was tabled until the May 3 meeting; the Board agreed to meet individually with each of the bargaining units.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_

Richard E. Thompson  
Executive Secretary-Clerk