

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, AUGUST 11, 1980

Present: Chairman John E. Murray, Anne W. Donald and William J. Cossart.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been fulfilled, the meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. by Chairman Murray.

Performance Reviews

After reviewing Town Counsel's retainer and hourly rate for court time, in comparison with similar communities, it was agreed to increase the retainer for Fiscal 1980-81 to \$14,000 and to increase the hourly rate for court time to \$40.

On the subject of Assistant Town Counsel, it was agreed to hold review of any salary increase until such time as the Board could discuss the same with Town Counsel.

After commencing the performance review of the Executive Secretary, it was agreed, due to the time limitations, to continue performance reviews at a later time.

Executive Session

At 5:30 p.m. it was on motion by roll call unanimously

VOTED: To enter into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing litigation where open discussion of the same may have a detrimental effect.

(Chairman Murray, in favor; Selectman Donald, in favor; Selectman Cossart, in favor.)

Chairman Murray announced that Regular Session would reconvene following the Executive Session.

The Board adjourned the meeting at 6:00 p.m. for dinner; Chairman Murray stated that the meeting would reconvene at 7:00 p.m.

Interviews - Conservation Commission

Present: Conservation Commission Chairman Judith A. Cope; Beverly D. Bentley, Candidate.

Chairman Murray welcomed Beverly D. Bentley, candidate for appointment to the Conservation Commission. Chairman Murray expressed his opinion regarding the importance of having all interested candidates well informed relative to the restraints of an active member of the Conservation Commission and of the complexity of a Wetlands Protection Act hearing. In answer to Chairman Murray, Mrs. Cope stated that she would make available to any interested candidates the documentation of the Wetlands Protection Act. Chairman Murray further suggested that all interested candidates attend a Wetlands Protection Hearing before making a firm commitment to serve on the Conservation Commission. Mrs. Judith Cope agreed and stated that the next hearing is to be held on Wednesday, August 13, at 8:00 p.m. in the Flynn Building. Mrs. Cope explained the duties and functions

of the Conservation Commission. Chairman Murray also explained some of his experiences while serving on the Commission and the many areas of involvement of that Commission.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that he would convey the information of tonight's meeting to Mrs. Carolyn A. Woolley and schedule another interview with her, since she was unable to be present, but is interested in serving on the Commission.

Utility Petition 80-12 - Peakham Road

Present: Robert L. Blake, Boston Edison Company.

In conformity with General Laws, Chapter 166, sections 21 and 22, the Board considered UP#80-12 of the Boston Edison Company, for permission to lay and maintain, and a location for, such a line of conduits and manholes with the necessary wires and cables therein, under the following public way of the Town:

Peakham Road: northwesterly, approximately 440 feet northeast of
Whispering Pines Road,
a distance of about 6 feet - conduit.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported that all appropriate Town Officials and abutters had been notified, and noted receipt of the following communications:

- from the Wiring Inspector dated July 31, 1980, and a verbal communication from the Building Inspector, both recommending approval;
- a Notice of Public Hearing, dated July 29, 1980, signed by all abutters stating no objections to the above-described petition enabling installation prior to tonight's hearing due to a special request to expedite the occupancy of a new home.

Following a brief discussion it was on motion by Chairman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition #80-12, Peakham Road, as described above, and as shown on a plan entitled "Plan of Peakham Road, Sudbury, Showing Proposed Conduit Location", dated July 11, 1980.

Police Department Personnel

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson informed the Board that the Division of Personnel Administration had inadvertently left the name of Robert B. Pimentel, 293 Chestnut Street, Ashland, off the Division of Personnel Administration Certification List dated July 7, 1980, Requisition/Reference #80-2328. Mr. Pimentel would have been the sixth candidate indicating acceptance on the list, according to the sequence of candidate test scores. Mr. Thompson stated that in order not to jeopardize the other eight Reserve Officers appointed by the Board at its last meeting of July 28, 1980, he had signed and filed the required appointment forms with the Division of Personnel Administration by the August 6 deadline, as authorized by the Board.

Mr. Thompson recommended that the Board appoint Mr. Pimentel, in addition to the eight appointed in July, which would bring the number of Sudbury's reserve officers to eleven men, as there are two presently serving. Mr. Thompson continued to explain that, since a previous Annual Town Meeting (Art.10, 1968 ATM) authorized the appointment of only ten reserve officers, the problem created by Civil Service can be resolved in the following manner:

- 1) amend the original Requisition dated April 11, 1980, from eight to nine reserve officers;
- 2) determine whether or not one of the two reserve officers on the old reserve list should be terminated, or request Civil Service to eliminate from the Certification List (Requisition/Reference #80-2328) dated July 7, 1980, the eighth person appointed by the Board; and
- 3) file an amended requisition with Civil Service requesting five permanent patrolmen because the requisition on file dated April 10, 1980, only requests one.

In addition, the Executive Secretary informed the Board that the Police Chief intends to take the top five reserves who are willing to accept permanent appointment into a group testing in conjunction with the Police Training Council in order that they might be certified as patrolmen, as soon as possible, pending entry into a Police Academy training program.

It is the Chief's intention to have the group train and qualify in firearms prior to their working as patrolman.

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, and following further discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Cossart unanimously

VOTED: To appoint Robert B. Pimentel, 293 Chestnut Street, Ashland, as a permanent reserve police officer in conjunction with the Bureau of Records Processing (Certification) Correspondence #80-2328 and a communication from the Division of Personnel Administration dated July 30, 1980;

and it was further

VOTED: To authorize the Executive Secretary to sign and file, as required with the Division of Personnel Administration, for a Reserve List for the appointment of nine Reserve Patrolmen for the Sudbury Police Department amending the requisition dated April 11, 1980, for eight Reserve Patrolmen;

and it was further

VOTED: To authorize the Executive Secretary to amend the previous requisition dated April 10, 1980, to the Division of Personnel Administration for one permanent patrolman to five.

Agreement: Town of Sudbury/Association of Engineers, Architects and Draftsmen, Local 105, International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, AFL-CIO

Present: Thomas C. Pontbriand, Engineering Department; John E. Schromm, President, Local 105; Richard J. Person, International Organizer.

The Board executed an Agreement between the Town of Sudbury and the Association of Engineers, Architects and Draftsmen, Local 105, International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, AFL-CIO, for the period July 1, 1980, through June 30, 1982.

Fence Viewers

The Board acknowledged receipt of an opinion from Town Counsel dated August 6, 1980, concerning the duties of Fence Viewers. Responding to Selectman Donald, Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny explained that a partition fence (under the jurisdiction of a Fence Viewer) is to keep animals from crossing property boundaries, partition crops, mark lot lines, etc., and commented that previous actions of the Board, acting as Fence Viewers, have not been inappropriate since it is within the jurisdiction of Fence Viewers to determine whether a fence is on a property line. Also, Town Counsel stated his opinion that it would be outside the duties of the Fence Viewers to proceed with any litigation, but rather the decision and/or responsibility of the property owners involved in a disagreement as to the placement of a fence. The cost of the moving of the fence would be apportioned between the two parties.

State Provision to Set Local Fees

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson referenced a communication dated July 30, 1980, from Town Counsel furnishing information on new state provisions allowing towns to set certain local fees and a memorandum -"Alert"- from the Massachusetts Municipal Association showing four categories in which action can be taken by the Town to change the fees.

The Board agreed not to take any action at this time but directed the Executive Secretary to bring it back to the Board in conjunction with review of the Study of Licenses and Permits, dated May, 1980, prepared by Larry Goldstein, Student Intern.

Site Plan Application 80-221 of Lyall Land Trust and Crossroads, Inc., 138 Maynard Road

Present: Joseph E. Scammon, Building Inspector; and approximately eighteen abutters.

In accordance with Article IX, Section V, Paragraph A of the Sudbury Bylaws, the Board considered Site Plan application #80-221 of Lyall Land Trust and Crossroads, Inc., owned by Citadelle Mission, for property located at 138 Maynard Road.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that all appropriate Town Officials and abutters had been notified and the following communications had been received:

- from the Building Inspector, dated July 9, 1980, and from the Conservation Commission, dated July 17, 1980, both recommending approval.
- from the Board of Health, dated August 1, 1980, recommending conditional approval; and
- from the Sign Review Board, dated July 18, 1980, with recommendations.

Mr. Thompson explained that he had learned that the applicants had planned to withdraw their application, but that nothing had been received officially.

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, it was on motion by Selectman Doanld unanimously

VOTED: To table indefinitely consideration of Site Plan Application #80-221 of Lyall Land Trust and Crossroads, Inc., owned by Citadelle Mission, Inc., for property located at 138 Maynard Road.

Chairman Murray explained to the abutters who were present, that they would be notified once again if a site plan came before the Board for this property. In response to the question by Selectman Cossart, none of the abutters present acknowledged support of the site plan.

Chairman Murray recessed the meeting at 8:15 p.m.; the meeting was called back to order at 8:25 p.m.

Preliminary Census Count

The Board noted receipt of a report from the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce, relative to its Preliminary Census Count dated July 26, 1980.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that he had spoken with the Town Clerk, who expressed that the figures on population counts, housing units, and vacancy rates, were very close to the numbers gathered during the last local census. It was agreed that the disparity between federal and local census population counts could be attributed to where college students are counted.

Following discussion, the Board agreed that no action was necessary at this time to appeal the Sudbury Preliminary Federal Census Count.

Joint Meeting - Permanent Building Committee

Present: Michael E. Melnick and James F. Goodman, Permanent Building Committee; Police Chief Nicholas Lombardi; Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon.

Chairman Murray welcomed the members of the Permanent Building Committee for a joint meeting to discuss the status of the Police Station addition/renovation and energy conservation.

Mr. Michael Melnick of the Permanent Building Committee gave the Board a handout including a communication dated July 28, 1980, from Jay Silverston and Associates, Inc., and material regarding municipal and school buildings - Energy Conservation Measures Implementation Plan.

The Board discussed the implementation of some of the low and no-cost items, listed in the material, by Town employees under the direction of the Silverston Company, which is willing to supervise this phase of the work.

Also indicated in the material were those jobs requiring separate contracts.

Mr. Melnick stated that bidding documents are now being prepared by the Silverston Company.

The Selectmen agreed that they would like to take some time to study the material and have the Building Inspector review it, as well, for an evaluation of the implementation of low-and no-cost items by Town employees. Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon concurred because he felt some of the work would be more involved than just spare time work. Mr. Scammon said that he would be glad to give the Board his recommendation of how much of the work his department can handle.

Relative to the Fairbank School, Mr. Melnick expressed some concern regarding a suggestion that heat in a wing of the School may be completely shut off while a portion of the School is being leased to the High School in the Fall. Mr. Melnick also pointed out that all eight schools, plus municipal buildings, had been included in the original energy appropriation requested - for a total \$70,000 - towards which, at the 1980 Special Town Meeting, the School Committee agreed to give the Permanent Building Committee \$14,000 from its energy budget, but chose not to fund (low-and no-cost measures) either the Horse Pond or Fairbank Schools. Mr. Melnick recommended that the Building Inspector and the local School Committee review and give the Selectmen a status report on implementation measures as they go along for Selectmen involvement. Chairman Murray recommended that the PBC contact someone from the Sudbury School Committee regarding the contract with the High School and the concern about the heat - the temperature should not drop below 50 degrees in any portion of Fairbank School.

Mr. James Goodman of the PBC updated the Board on the status of the Police Station addition/renovation and presented a complete set of progress drawings (80-90% completed). Mr. Goodman explained the breakdown of the various plans.

During discussion with the Selectmen, Mr. Goodman requested acquisition of a construction easement from abutter Frank Vana in order to allow sufficient room at the back and west side of the Police Station property for equipment for construction of a retaining wall. Mr. Thompson stated that in discussion Mr. Vana has already consented to a construction easement on the westerly side, and that he would inform Mr. Vana of the necessity of including the area abutting the back of the Police Station, and get back to Mr. Goodman as soon as possible.

Mr. Goodman gave the following construction schedule:

- August 17-21: advertise for bids
- August 18-25: drawings will be completed
- August 28: specifications will be available to general and sub-bidders
- September 18: sud-bids due and opened
- September 23: general bids due and opened
- September 23-30: execute contract
- July, 1981: estimated project completion date.

Chairman Murray specified the importance of a pre-bid conference with the architect and the Police Chief. Mr. Goodman stated that he would convey Selectman Murray's concern.

Mr. Goodman stated that the septic system and the parking lot will be the first order of business. Consideration is being given to the placement of a

trailer on the property for the convenience of the Police Chief and his Department, although, as Mr. Goodman pointed out, the architect feels that construction can be accomplished without getting in the way of the Department. Mr. Goodman briefly explained one change in the plans in the foyer area.

At the conclusion of discussion, Chairman Murray thanked the members of the PBC for attending tonight and for the update and information.

Tax Anticipation Notes

Present: Chester Hamilton, Town Treasurer.

Town Treasurer Chester Hamilton explained that his reason for being present tonight was to briefly explain his request of August 7 to borrow in Tax Anticipation Notes and to answer any questions the Board may have. Mr. Hamilton stated that, although this is a routine borrowing procedure, the difference this year is that he will be borrowing once rather than twice in one million dollar allotments. This is in anticipation of an over abundance of requests from cities and towns to borrow, if taxes are not collected when due, creating a possibly higher interest rate in October or November. Responding to Selectman Cossart, Mr. Hamilton stated that the figures for expenses in his communication of August 7 are only estimates.

Selectman Cossart questioned if any action had been taken by the Town Accountant to alter the cash flow, and whether there was still an incentive to do so. Mr. Hamilton expressed his opinion that the incentive is still there although it is not too realistic; also, that this has not been one of the Town Accountant's priorities, due to other pressing matters. Also responding to Selectman Cossart, Mr. Hamilton stated that a report must be submitted which indicates the need for short term borrowing and substantiating the amount.

Following further discussion it was on motion by Selectman Cossart unanimously

VOTED: To authorize the Town Treasurer to borrow \$2,000,000 in Tax Anticipation Notes, as requested in a letter dated August 7, 1980, from the Treasurer.

Mr. Hamilton stated that he did not think it was necessary for the Selectmen to have to sign the notes prior to their meeting scheduled for August 25, 1980.

HUD Project No: MA06-0021-003, Longfellow Glen - McNeil & Associates, Inc.

Selectman Cossart commented that, since the Selectmen are being asked to amend Sudbury's Housing Assistance Plan, in conjunction with the application to HUD of McNeil & Associates, Inc., he had spoken to both Mr. Ronald Adolph and Mr. Myron Fox, both of the Board of Appeals. Mr. Adolph confirmed that he was aware of the new breakdown of allocation of units, and Mr. Fox stated that he had vaguely reviewed the application, but that he did not feel that it would have much bearing on the issuance of the application.

Chairman Murray commented that it was his understanding that McNeil was in competition for HUD monies, but that if they are not successful in obtaining the funds for this project, the HAP may have to be altered again to accommodate a future project, if HUD criteria for selection is awarded.

Selectman Cossart stated one of his major reasons for not supporting any changes in the HAP is the fact that McNeil changed the application to 100% subsidized units. Mr. Cossart stated that Mr. Joseph Klein of the Board of Appeals indicated to him that he had not understood the degree to which the housing allocation had been changed.

Selectman Cossart stated that he had received the impression that Mr. Klein and Mr. Adolph, through conversations with them, had understood that the changes in the application were immaterial, not major such as the change to 100% subsidized and no elderly units. Selectman Cossart agreed with earlier comments by the Chairman and therefore expressed nonsupport of any amendment and/or modification to Sudbury's existing Housing Assistance Plan.

Chairman Murray expressed his opinion that participation by the elderly at the Board of Appeals' hearing might have diminished because of their understanding, he thought, that there was to be no elderly housing in the new allocation of units. However, Chairman Murray expressed his opinion that elderly housing was still being discussed at the final two hearings by the Board of Appeals.

Mr. Thompson stated that without an amendment or modification to the HAP, HUD funds may not be approved for this project, but that McNeil can seek private funding elsewhere with Federal mortgage guarantees. Mr. Thompson further commented that HUD is officially stating in its communication to the Board of July 17, 1980, that the application by McNeil cannot be considered by them because it is inconsistent with Sudbury's Housing Assistance Plan and is requesting the Board to amend or modify the same to conform to McNeil's application.

Selectman Donald confirmed her opinion that the HAP should not be amended or modified because to do so would be inconsistent with previously expressed concerns of the Board, which have not been completely addressed in the present application to HUD by McNeil.

At the conclusion of discussion, in response to the Department of Housing and Urban Development's communication dated July 17, 1980, it was on motion by Selectman Cossart unanimously

VOTED: Not to take any action to amend and/or modify Sudbury's existing Housing Assistance Plan in order to accomodate the application by McNeil & Associates, Inc., for the Longfellow Glen project (Project No. MA06-0021-003) for the above-stated reasons.

Boston Edison Rate-Setting Case

Following a brief discussion relative to the question of financially supporting intervention of cities and towns in the Boston Edison Rate-Setting Case before the Department of Public Utilities, the Board concurred that a letter of encouragement/support would be in order, and directed the Executive Secretary to forward the same. However, the Selectmen agreed that it probably was not their obligation at this time to support the endeavor financially, although the Selectmen agreed that they would consider financial support, if necessary, in the future.

Parcel of Land off Hudson Road owned by Penn Central Corporation

The Board discussed a communication dated July 21, 1980, from the Executive Office of Transportation & Construction, relative to the Town's interest in a certain parcel of land off Hudson Road owned by Penn Central Corporation.

Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny explained that the State has the right to first refusal for this property, following which the property can be auctioned. If there are no bids, the property can go to the abutter. The Board therefore concurred to send this documentation to Ti-Sales (abutter) as a courtesy, since there was no interest on the part of the Board of Selectmen in acquiring the parcel.

Establishing Local Property Tax Relief Committee

The Board noted receipt of a communication dated July 23, 1980, from the Massachusetts Municipal Association recommending the establishment of a Local Property Tax Relief Committee.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that the Chairman had requested him to set up a meeting with the South Middlesex Area Selectmen in the early fall to discuss Proposition 2 1/2.

Selectman Cossart stressed the importance of establishing a Local Property Tax Relief Committee and the benefit to the public by being informed on tax issues by such a group. He expressed his support of a committee whose energies would be spent educating the public on tax matters through meetings, local newspaper articles, etc.

Selectman Murray suggested that Town Accountant John H. Wilson work with a designated committee, since he is well informed of the basic issues of Proposition 2 1/2, and other tax matters, and recommended Ed Glazer (former Finance Committee member) as committee person. Mr. Glazer previously indicated to Chairman Murray his interest in local property tax relief and Proposition 2 1/2.

Selectman Donald concurred with the idea that as much publicity as possible be given to the subject between now and November. Both she and Selectman Cossart concurred with Chairman Murray's recommendation to request Mr. Glazer to act as a committee of one (1), for the time being to address this one (1) issue of Proposition 2 1/2.

Mr. Thompson stated that he had requested the Massachusetts Municipal Assoc. to send out a press release for communities for publication in area newspapers. Mr. Thompson also commented that he felt Sudbury needs this type of committee as an on-going informational type group on tax matters.

At the conclusion of discussion, Chairman Murray stated he would confirm with Mr. Glazer his interest in serving as Chairman of a Local Property Tax Relief Committee, as recommended by the Massachusetts Municipal Association in a communication dated July 23, 1980, and get back to the Board.

State Primary: September 16, 1980

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To sign the Warrant for the State Primary Election to be held on September 16, 1980, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. at the Peter Noyes School; and it was further

VOTED: To allow the sale of alcoholic beverages by common victuallers and retail package stores during polling hours of the state primary election on September 16, 1980, in accordance with the amendment to G.L.ch.138,Sec.33.

Wine and Malt Beverage License - Our Lady of Fatima Parish, 160 Concord Road

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To approve a license for one day only for the sale of wines and malt beverages only, to be drunk on the premises, to be issued to Ronald J. Griffin, to be exercised at Our Lady of Fatima Parish, 160 Concord Road, on Saturday, September 6, 1980, from 10:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., to accommodate a parish fair.

Walkway Easements: Mossman Road

Drainage Easement: Crystal Lake Drive

It was on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To sign and accept, on behalf of the Town, fourteen walkway easements for the Mossman Road Walkway, as follows:

- 1) From Ronald F. and Mary S. Herndon, dated March 9, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 16, 1979.
- 2) From Victor and Elinore W. Pinansky, dated February 9, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 24, 1979.
- 3) From Barbara L. Pluff, dated July 28, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Compiled Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated June 27, 1980.
- 4) From Richard R. and Mary B. Navins, dated July 23, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Compiled Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated June 27, 1980, revised July 18, 1980.
- 5) From William P. and Ellen E. Stone, dated July 23, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Compiled Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easements Mossman Road", dated July 8, 1980.
- 6) From David N. and Leslie H. Martin, dated July 31, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Compiled Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easements Mossman Road", dated July 8, 1980.
- 7) From Margaret A. Goode, dated July 24, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 30, 1979.

- 8) From Nancy N. Adolph, dated March 9, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 24, 1979.
- 9) From Joseph R. and June R. Atwood, dated July 24, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 26, 1979, revised July 17, 1980.
- 10) From George H. R. and Margaret F. McQueen, dated March 5, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated March 3, 1980.
- 11) From Thomas R. and Margaret C. Mulcahy, dated February 9, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated August 13, 1979.
- 12) From Paul F. and Catherine A. Beatty, dated July 12, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 21, 1979, revised July 9, 1980.
- 13) From Paul F. and Sherry Marie Healy, dated February 13, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 21, 1979.
- 14) From Dale A. and Maxine E. Hardy, dated June 10, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Walkway Easement Mossman Road", dated May 17, 1979.

It was further, unanimously

VOTED: To sign and accept, on behalf of the Town, one drainage easement on Crystal Lake Drive, from Alfred F. and Mary A. Cairn, dated August 1, 1980, and shown on a plan entitled: "Compiled Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts Showing Drain Easement Crystal Lake Drive", dated November 16, 1979.

Masspool Ridesharing Program

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that the State Office of Transportation is trying to implement a ridesharing program in the Town of Sudbury and other Massachusetts communities, as explained in a communication from them dated August 1, 1980, attaching a sample notice which can be published by communities announcing the same.

Mr. Thompson suggested that the Town Hall receptionist be designated as the person to call, between restricted hours, to register personal information for this ride sharing program, which will be recorded on cards, and available to the public for matching themselves up with other commuters.

The Selectmen concurred with the Executive Secretary's recommendation and directed him to so publish the notice to inform the public about Sudbury's new involvement in this program.

Appointments: Emergency Inspectors

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To appoint eight Emergency Inspectors, four from each party, as recommended by the Town Committee Chairman and requested by the Town Clerk in a communication dated August 5, 1980.

Minutes

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the Executive Session minutes of July 28, 1980, as drafted, and the minutes of the Regular Session of July 28, 1980, and the Special Meeting of July 15, 1980, as amended.

Current Items of Interest

Sudbury Sanitary Landfill

Chairman Murray stated that he had received several complaints regarding the new 9:00 a. m. - 4:00 p. m. hours at the Sudbury Landfill by residents who usually go to the Landfill on their way to work between 8:00 - 9:00 a.m. Chairman Murray directed the Executive Secretary to speak to the Highway Surveyor regarding this problem, as well as discuss it with the Town Engineer; and further to arrange a meeting with the Town of Wayland so that there might be an attempt to coordinate hours with them to open at an earlier hour. Mr. Thompson informed the Board that the Wayland Road Commissioners, who are in charge of the Wayland Landfill, had expressed a willingness to discuss this at their August 20 meeting. Chairman Murray stated his interest and agreed to attend that meeting.

Sousa Oil Company

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported he had followed-up the Board's recommendation of July 28, to request Sousa Oil Company to fiberglass the interior of their new storage tanks, in connection with their storage of inflammable application, which was approved by the Board on May 27th. Mr. Thompson stated that he learned from a representative of Sousa that the methods being used on the installation of their storage tanks with another type of interior coating would be as effective as fiberglassing, and may offer even more protection. The Board approved the Sousa Company's proposed tank installations, specified as follows: STIP-3 steel tank, coated on exterior with Coal Tar Epoxy and coated on interior with inorganic zinc material, with monitoring magnesium alloy anodes attached.

Dog Complaint

The Executive Secretary referenced a communication dated August 6, 1980, from Mrs. Joyce T. Bostder, relative to her Dog Complaint dated July 31, 1980, explaining that it was not her intention to pursue a dog hearing against the Doyles' dog at this time in the hope that the matter can be resolved in another manner. Mrs. Bostder enclosed a copy of a communication dated August 4, 1980, to the Doyles from

the Bostders for the Selectmen's information. It was the Bostders' understanding that Dr. McGee was holding the dog, and that he would see to it that the dog would not be returned to the Town of Sudbury; unless the matter was resolved in this way, the Bostders would pursue a hearing. At the request of Selectman Cossart, the Executive Secretary was directed to consult with Dr. McGee as to the whereabouts of the dog.

Council on Aging

Van - Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported that Col. Leahy had notified the office that the Council on Aging will receive a "rehabbed" van, free of charge, from Digital, and that he will let the Board know if there is to be a presentation ceremony, which they may want to attend.

Benefit - Also, there will be a softball game at Featherland Park between Digital helicopter pilots and WBZ radio personnel for the benefit of the Council on Aging Thursday, August 28th.

Metritape, Incorporated

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated August 6, 1980, from Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency relative to an inquiry from Metritape, Incorporated, for the issuance of industrial revenue bonds to finance their expansion and relocation on Powder Mill Road in Sudbury. Mr. Thompson informed the Board that, according to Building Inspector Joseph E. Scammon, a site plan application has been filed.

Revaluation

Chairman Murray stated that there has been an unusual amount of concern expressed by citizens regarding the tax revaluation statements recently mailed out by the revaluation firm, and suggested that the Board meet with the Board of Assessors and the revaluation firm, and possibly the Town Accountant, to discuss some of the issues which have arisen and the criteria which the firm used in reaching the new re-evaluations. Mr. Thompson stated that he will arrange the meeting as soon as possible and so inform the Board.

Executive Session

At 11:00 p. m. it was on motion by roll call unanimously

VOTED: To enter into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing strategy for collective bargaining where open discussion of the same may have a detrimental effect.

(Chairman Murray, in favor; Selectman Donald, in favor; Selectman Cossart, in favor.)

Chairman Murray announced that open session would not reconvene following the Executive Session.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:45 p.m.

Attest: _____

Richard E. Thompson
Executive Secretary-Clerk