

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
TUESDAY, APRIL 22, 1980

Present: Chairman John E. Murray, Anne W. Donald, and William J. Cossart.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been fulfilled, the meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman John E. Murray.

Bullfinch's, Inc., 730 Boston Post Road

Present: William T. and Joan L. Rynne, abutters.

On the question of approving the application of Bullfinch's, Inc., Thomas S. Richardson, Manager, for common victualler, all alcoholic beverages restaurant and weekday entertainment licenses for property located at 730 Boston Post Road, (Wayside Plaza), continued on April 15, Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson referenced a communication, dated April 17, 1980, from the Board of Health and recommended that a favorable vote by the Board of Selectmen be subject to Board of Health approval.

Selectman Cossart pointed out that the Board of Health's communication does not address the seating capacity; the Building Inspector in his letter of April 14, 1980, refers to a seating capacity of 84 plus 10 seats at the bar. Selectman Cossart requested that the Executive Secretary confirm the seating capacity with Health Director John V. Sullivan.

Selectman Murray stated that the weekday entertainment license should be conditioned to "soft background music only", as discussed at the April 15th public hearing.

Responding to Selectman Cossart, Mr. Thompson stated that it has not been the past practice of the Board to issue or require Sunday entertainment licenses to anyone remaining open until 1:00 a.m. on Saturday evenings.

Selectman Cossart pointed out that, although the Town is entitled to fifteen pouring licenses according to state statute (by population), we have only nine, which leaves us with the authority to issue this one. Selectman Cossart stated, on the other hand, that he is very sympathetic to abutters, especially in view of the close proximity to the 99 Restaurant.

Mr. Thompson pointed out that all alcoholic beverages licenses are renewed yearly and reissued on past performance in maintaining certain state and local rules and regulations. Mr. Thompson also pointed out that the 99 Restaurant has been very cooperative in the past in working out any problems they might have.

Following further discussion it was on motion by Chairman Murray unanimously

VOTED: To grant a common victualler license to Bullfinch's, Inc., Thomas S. Richardson, Manager, for property located at 730 Boston Post Road;

And it was further on motion by Selectman Donald unanimously

VOTED: To grant a weekday Entertainment License, for soft background music only, to Bullfinch's, Inc., Thomas S. Richardson, Manager, for property located at 730 Boston Post Road;

And it was further on motion by Selectman Cossart unanimously

VOTED: To grant an All Alcoholic Beverages Restaurant License to Bullfinch's, Inc., d/b/a Bullfinch's, Thomas S. Richardson, Manager, for property located at 730 Boston Post Road, subject to approval by the Massachusetts Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission; subject to Board of Health approval relative to seating capacity.

Appointments - Juvenile Restitution Committee

Following a brief discussion and review of the list of persons interested in serving on the Juvenile Restitution Committee, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To appoint the following five member Juvenile Restitution Program Committee for a one-year term to expire April 30, 1981:

- Frank M. Vana, 245 Raymond Road;
- Robert S. Rhodes, 20 Village Road;
- Andrew Oleski, 25 Franklin Place;
- Nancy Schaffer, 10 Griffin Lane; and
- Michael Freundlich, 26 Lafayette Drive;

And it was further

VOTED: To appoint the following three Alternates to the Juvenile Restitution Program Committee for a one-year term to expire April 30, 1981:

- Rev. John E. Hartigan, Our Lady of Fatima Church, 160 Concord Road;
- Bruce J. Campbell, 747 Elm Street, Marlboro; and
- Ellen G. Cowan, 100 Old Lancaster Road.

Utility Petition #UP80-5, Old County Road and UP80-6, Marlboro Road

Present: Carol Clinton, Boston Edison Company.

In conformity with General Laws Chapter 166, Sections 21 and 22, the Board considered UP80-5, Old County Road, and UP80-6, Marlboro Road, of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for permission to erect or construct, and a location for, guy wires and anchors to be owned and used in common by them, upon, along and across the following ways of the Town:

Old County Road, northwesterly side, approximately 160 feet southwest of River Road, Wayland, One (1) guy wire and anchor; and

Marlboro Road, northerly side, approximately 100 feet west of Pendleton Road, One (1) guy wire and anchor.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson reported that all appropriate Town officials and abutters had been notified of the change in hearing date and that the following communications had been received:

- from the Deputy Wiring Inspector, dated April 3, 1980, recommending approval of both petitions; and

- from the Building Inspector/Zoning Enforcement Agent, dated April 9, 1980, recommending approval of both petitions.

Ms. Carol Clinton of the Boston Edison Company stated that both petitions are requested by the Telephone Company to support and existing pole.

Selectman Donald asked where the location is on Marlboro Road in comparison to the petition approved recently. Ms. Clinton explained and also showed the layout to further explain to Selectman Cossart that in no way would installation of guy wires and anchors affect wells in the area. Ms. Clinton stated that there would be no excavation with the installation on public property.

Following further discussion it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition #80-5, Old County Road, and Utility Petition #80-6, Marlboro Road, both as described above, and shown on plans entitled "Plan of Old County Rd., Sudbury, Showing proposed location, guy wire and anchor", dated February 22, 1980, and "Plan of Marlboro Rd., Sudbury, Showing proposed guy wire and anchor location", dated February 22, 1980, respectively.

Review of Candidates - Local Arts Council

The Board agreed to table appointments to the Local Arts Council until its April 28th meeting.

Sudbury Non Profit Housing Corporation Development

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated April 13, 1980, from Susan Boak of the Sudbury Non Profit Housing Corporation informing the Board of its reason for proceeding through the Board of Appeals for the MacNeil & Associates' development, rather than through Annual Town Meeting.

Selectman Donald stated that she would attend the meeting of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs concerning this development on Friday at 10:30 a.m. in the lower Town Hall. Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that Secretary Elaine Jones of the Selectmen's office would also be in attendance.

Parking Violation Fines

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson called the Board's attention to a communication dated April 11, 1980, from Assistant Town Counsel Thomas M. French relative to the establishment of parking violation fines. Mr. Thompson commented that the issue was brought to the attention of the Selectmen by the Safety Officer, in conjunction with the Framingham District Court and supported by the Fire Chief, and noted that, as Assistant Town Counsel points out, the Town has never accepted Chapter 90, section 20C, which allows the Selectmen to set fines for ticketing.

Following a brief discussion, the Selectmen concurred that a copy of Mr. French's communication be forwarded to the Safety Officer and the Fire Chief and that a meeting be scheduled to meet with both of them to further discuss the issue.

At 7:55 p.m. Chairman Murray recessed the meeting; the meeting was called back to order at 8:00 p.m.

Public Hearing - Dog Complaint, Teplitzky/Pearlstein

Present: Betsey M. DeWallace, Dog Officer; Donna C. Mahlowitz, Assistant Dog Officer; Linda and Gerald Teplitzky, Complainants; Barbara and Alden Pearlstein and children: Myrna, Steve and Susan, owners of Turk; Richard B. and Susan L. Finnin, 132 Bent Road; Leslie M. and Barbara W. Johnson, 7 Hayden Circle; Martin L. Aronson, 8 Hayden Circle; Daniel Bizer, 109 Bent Road; Terry Musika, 10 Hammond Circle; Candice, Gladys M. and Doris M. Bennett, 131 Bent Road; Benjamin M. and Caroline Goodwin, 172 Peakham Road.

Chairman Murray convened a Public Hearing under General Laws, Chapter 140, section 157 on the Dog Complaint dated March 24, 1980, of Linda and Gerald Teplitzky, 96 Bent Road, against a dog owned by Alden Pearlstein, 21 Hayden Circle, (1979 license #1203), "Turk", a German shepherd; said complaint states that said dog killed the Teplitzkys' dog Wednesday night (March 19) and has bitten three neighborhood children.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that the above-mentioned complaint was filed in the Town Clerk's office on March 24, 1980, and that both parties were notified of the public hearing by certified mail (letter dated April 1, 1980), and that following several telephone conversations between the parties involved, a letter dated April 9, 1980, which was hand-delivered by the Dog Officer, confirmed the change of date to tonight's public hearing. Mr. Thompson noted receipt of the following communications:

- a letter dated April 7, 1980, from Betsy M. DeWallace, Dog Officer, informing the Board of Mr. Teplitzky's telephone call to her on March 20, 1980, stating that the Pearlsteins' dog had killed his dog, and of two bites from the dog "Turk" in July, 1976, and in May, 1977.
- a communication dated April 2, 1980, from Stuart E. Wiles, V.M.D., Sudbury Animal Hospital, to the Dog Officer providing her with information she requested relative to an attack on the Teplitzkys' dog in 1974.

Chairman Murray explained certain procedures to be followed in conducting the hearing, following which Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny requested everyone who expected to testify to stand and be sworn in.

Mr. Gerald Teplitzky testified that on Wednesday, March 19, 1980, at approximately 8:30 p.m., his dog was let out of the house but did not return within an hour's time as he usually did. The next day, when the dog was still missing, Mr. Teplitzky stated that he called the Dog Officer upon returning from work, and was informed that she had received a report that his dog was dead but that she had been unable to find the dog. Mr. Teplitzky stated that he called his friend, Mr. Richard Finnin, and asked him to help look for the dog, which they found at approximately 5:30 p.m. on March 20 between the Bennett and Johnson houses. A dog's collar was found beside his dead dog, which Mr. Teplitzky stated he later learned from the Framingham Animal Hospital belonged to the Pearlsteins' dog, "Turk". Mr. Teplitzky stated that he called Alden Pearlstein stating the facts and indicating his further suspicion because

of an episode between his dog and Turk five years ago. (See Dr. Wiles letter of April 2, 1980.) Mr. Teplitzky stated that Mr. Pearlstein had told him that it could not have been his dog, since his dog had been tied up all evening; on the contrary, neighbors, the Johnsons, stated that they heard a commotion in their yard at approximately 9:30-10:00 p.m. on March 19th, and that upon investigating had seen a black shepherd running from their yard towards the Pearlsteins' house. The next morning the Johnsons saw the Teplitzkys' dead dog in their yard. The Johnsons also informed Mr. Teplitzky that they had seen the same black shepherd without a collar running across their yard in the direction of the Pearlsteins' that morning. Mr. Teplitzky showed the Selectmen pictures of his dog "Snoopy", and stated that he only weighed nine pounds, and could not do much to defend himself.

Mrs. Pearlstein suggested that there was another incident where Mr. Teplitzky's dog had been attacked by another neighborhood dog, which resulted in the dog's (Snoopy) hospitalization.

Mr. Teplitzky related an incident involving his own dog and a female shepherd that lived across the street. The incident happened two years ago, and Mr. Teplitzky stated that his dog was loose - the shepherd was tied in his own yard.

Mr. Pearlstein testified that at the alleged time Mr. Teplitzky stated Turk supposedly killed Snoopy, Turk had been tied up in their yard. The Pearlsteins had been away for the evening, leaving the dog tied and found him still tied upon returning at approximately midnight.

Mrs. Pearlstein referred back to the incident she was speaking of which involved a black poodle and Snoopy. The black poodle was loose and attacked Snoopy. Snoopy was later brought to the hospital by two neighbors. Mrs. Pearlstein stated that on that day, there were several dogs roaming around in the vicinity.

Susan Pearlstein stated that one day on her way home from school she saw the black poodle biting Mr. Teplitzky's dog.

Mr. Terry Musika of 10 Hammond Circle stated that he was in attendance because of his own experience with the Pearlsteins' dog and because he is generally concerned with the dogs who continually roam in the area. Mr. Musika stated that approximately one month after he moved to Hammond Circle, he and his son were walking their dog on a leash and as they approached the Pearlsteins' house, their dogs came running out at them - they were not tied up at the time, and they attacked his dog. Mr. Musika said that with sticks and stones, and the help of the Pearlstein boy and a friend, he and his son managed to get back up the street. Mr. Musika stated that he later learned from other neighbors that the Pearlsteins' dog(s) are prone to attack and are not always tied up.

Mr. Martin L. Aronson of 8 Hayden Circle stated that he could not offer any assistance tonight regarding the complaint in question other than to relate an incident which happened this past fall. While doing yard work, the Pearlsteins' dogs, whom he knew well, approached his yard. His own dog, a black poodle, was tied in the yard. "Lady", a dog belonging to the Pearlsteins and whom he had grown very fond of, and "Turk" attacked his own poodle. Lady responded to her name, but Turk continued to attack. Mr. Aronson stated that he began to kick

Turk and tried to pick up his own dog. Turk locked his jaws around Mr. Aronson's arm, although he never did bite him or break the skin, Mr. Aronson stated that it took him about twenty minutes, conservatively speaking, to regain his composure and call the Pearlsteins. After identity was mutually confirmed, the Pearlsteins apologized and stated that they would not let their dogs out again. Mr. Aronson stated that, never-the-less, he is always concerned when walking his dog at night that the Pearlsteins' dogs might be out.

Susan Pearlstein stated that when she was walking through the field one day, Mr. Aronson's dog, "MacBeth" jumped on her shoulders and scared her. Her father had to alert Mr. Aronson, who then was able to call his dog off Susan.

Mr. Pearlstein stated that there are many complaints and incidents which have taken place in the neighborhood. He gave an example of mistaken identity, whereby Mrs. Finnin had called his wife saying that their dogs were in her yard. At the time, both their dogs were in the house. It was later learned that the dogs belonged to the Bizers.

Mr. Leslie M. Johnson, Jr., of 7 Hayden Circle stated that he found it hard to believe that Mr. Pearlstein denied his dog killed Mr. Teplitzky's dog when his dog's collar was found beside Snoopy.

Ms. Doris M. Bennett of 131 Bent Road stated her concern that there are very few people on Bent Road that obey the leash law. Ms. Bennett stated that she had called the Dog Officer when there have been seven to eight dogs roaming in the neighborhood at one time. Ms. Bennett encouraged others to call and urged enforcement of the leash law, stating that this whole situation is capable of repeating itself over and over again.

The Dog Officer commented that whenever there is a complaint made it is checked as soon as possible.

Ms. Candace Bennett of 131 Bent Road explained in detail some of the reasons for her dissatisfaction with the service of the Dog Officer over the past three years.

Selectman Donald questioned Mr. Pearlstein as to how he confines his dog and if he thought it was possible that another dog could have attacked his dog and taken his dog's collar on the night in question. Mr. Pearlstein answered that he ties his dog with a rope to his collar; that on the night in question, his dog had his collar on. He later responded that perhaps he had lost his collar several weeks before--at which point Selectman Donald asked him if he had more than one rabies tag--that on several occasions his dogs' collars had been lost in the neighborhood. Also, Mr. Pearlstein replied in the negative regarding the possibility of his dog having been attacked and his collar made off with.

Responding to Chairman Murray, Mrs. DeWallace stated that she had not seen the dogs in close proximity.

Mr. Pearlstein replied to Selectman Cossart that he had no idea of how the dog's collar cat next to Snoopy.

Selectman Cossart pointed out that two dog bites are on record with the Dog Officer on the dog, Turk, and asked if there was anyone present with a similar complaint.

Mr. Daniel Bizer of 109 Bent Road stated that three or four years ago his 4-year-old child had been bitten by one of the Pearlsteins' dogs, and the Dog Officer said that the Pearlsteins were required to restrain the dog for a specific amount of time. Mr. Bizer stated that the next day, his wife saw the dog out loose.

Sharon Pearlstein testified that the Bizers' dog bit her younger sister, Susan. Also, while Susan was walking their dog on a leash, the Aronsons' dog attacked their dog. Sharon stated that everybody in the neighborhood has had incidents with their dogs.

Mr. Teplitzky reviewed the facts which he had stated earlier, and concluded by saying that it is a question of understanding what happened during those four hours while the Pearlsteins were not at home. Mr. Teplitzky stated that he finds it hard to believe that Turk did not kill Snoopy when his collar was found by his side; on the other hand, Mr. Pearlstein states his dog was tied up all evening.

Mrs. Pearlstein stated that Mr. Teplitzky's dog had been attacked three or more times and was still allowed to go loose without concern for his welfare; that her dog was not the only dog involved in these attacks. Mrs. Pearlstein further stated that she has seen a black shepherd in the neighborhood at night, wearing a green collar.

Susan Pearlstein expressed her opinion that a black dog could not be seen at night and questioned how the Johnsons could be sure that it was her black dog they saw.

Ms. Doris Bennett stated that two nights after Snoopy was killed, a young black shepherd was in her driveway; that she had seen it before and that she was quite sure it was from the Robert Best Road area.

Mr. Aronson asked what the alternatives are for the Selectmen to offer the Pearlsteins.

Selectman Murray stated that the Selectmen could vote to permanently restrain the Pearlsteins' dog(s) or order the dog done away with. Selectman Murray stated that the Selectmen's main concern is the issue of safety.

Mr. Pearlstein questioned the complaint filed, stating his opinion, that the evidence was totally circumstantial.

Selectman Cossart commented on the concerns raised tonight about the ineffectiveness of the Town's dog control law. He stated that the Selectmen consider this to be a very serious issue; that it is very costly for the Town to enforce it; and encouraged anyone having problems with dogs to contact the Dog Officer and to be assured that those problems would be handled without it becoming necessary for anyone to have to capture a dog. Selectman Cossart advised the Pearlsteins to comply to the dog control law in view of the fact that they have a formal complaint against one of their dogs. Selectman Cossart

also stressed the importance of everyone adhering to the dog control bylaw to alleviate any further problems in that area.

Following further discussion, Chairman Murray closed the hearing. The Selectmen concurred to take the complaint of Gerald and Linda Teplitzky against "Turk", owned by Alden Pearlstein, under advisement until April 28 at 7:00 p.m. for purposes of making a decision only. The Dog Officer was requested, prior to that time, to give the Selectmen a report following a visit with the Pearlsteins' dogs. Also, the Dog Officer was asked to report to the Board next week on previous dog complaints and problems in the general neighborhood of Bent Road and Hayden Circle.

Sperry Research Center's Request to use 1960 Site Plan

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that, in speaking to representatives of Sperry Research Center, he learned that their intention is to request special permission to submit a site plan with a scale of 1"=80' - a one-time request - to be used for the placement of two temporary trailers; and that a new site plan will be submitted shortly for their expansion plans, in accordance with Town of Sudbury regulations.

The Board reviewed the 1960 site plan, and following a brief discussion, it was on motion by Selectman Cossart unanimously

VOTED: To grant special permission to Sperry Research Center, Route 117, to submit a site plan with a scale of 1"=80', to be used for the placement of two temporary trailers.

Minutes

Following discussion it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the Town Fathers Forum Transcript of February 26, 1980, the Regular and Executive Sessions of April 2, 1980, the Executive Session of April 4, 1980, and the Regular Sessions of March 19, April 7, 9, 14, and 15, 1980, all as drafted; and the Regular Session of April 8, 1980, as amended.

Joint Meeting with Board of Assessors - Classification/Revaluation

Present: David G. Hubbard, Chairman, William R. Duckett and Donald P. Peirce, Board of Assessors.

Executive Secretary Richard E. Thompson stated that the Selectmen requested tonight's joint meeting with the Board of Assessors to: 1) discuss a communication dated April 11, 1980, to the Board of Assessors from the State Department of Revenue enclosing a schedule of classification training sessions to be conducted by them and to determine who will be attending the training session for the Town of Sudbury to be held on May 28; (Mr. Thompson stated that he would make every effort to attend if the Selectmen could not); and 2) to offer assistance to the Board of Assessors in developing a program for the future to implement what they were trying to relate at the budget sessions with the Finance Committee just prior to Town Meeting, relative to hiring professional assistance for the Board of Assessors.

Mr. Peirce stated that he would be attending a training session this Saturday and that all members of the Board of Assessors were planning to attend on May 28; that the Assessors did not want to discuss classification until after the training sessions when, hopefully, they would better understand the subject. Relative to the matter they brought before the Finance Committee, Mr. Peirce stated that today assessments must be updated every two years compared to every five years, as in the past; this requires more sophisticated equipment with more financing involved for computerization. Also, Mr. Peirce pointed out that when Frank Grinnell was in the Assessors office, being retired, he was able to do most of the physical work, but now the Board of Assessors is entertaining bids for the actual measuring, computer cost input, to update the computer, and to do the sales analysis in terms of updating the 100% valuation every two years.

To Selectman Murray, Mr. Peirce stated he felt that a contract type of procedure for the services the Town will need would be more suitable than hiring a permanent, part-time individual, financially speaking. Also, Mr. Peirce stated that he felt the Town would prefer the elected officials (Assessors) to handle the classification/revaluation procedures rather than someone who is appointed by the Selectmen. Selectman Murray commented that it was the Selectmen's intention to have any such appointment made by the Board of Assessors.

Following a brief discussion about the cost of hiring a firm to handle these services for the Town, Selectman Cossart commented that initially he had thought about utilizing the High School computer but that in view of costs it probably would not be the most economical approach.

Assessor David Hubbard stated that he had been chairman of a local assessing sub-committee which had been appointed by the Board of Assessors to make a technical assessment in terms of the best approach to computerize assessors' cards. Mr. Hubbard stated that, although the committee did not take a good look at the High School computer, he would be glad to do so. Selectman Cossart commented that Superintendent Levington had expressed the desire to have the High School computer utilized in this manner. Selectman Murray suggested that the Assessors look into it further since he stated, in his opinion, the question would be raised.

Selectman Donald asked what the status of the revaluation was at this point.

Mr. Peirce handed out an information sheet showing work completed through March 27, 1980, which he discussed with the Board; he pointed out that we are basically 73% completed.

Mr. Peirce stated his opinion that the new revaluation/classification would not go into effect until 1983, because it had to be approved by the State, and the Assessors had to be certified by the State Department of Revenue. Responding to Selectman Donald, Mr. Peirce stated that the August 1, 1980 deadline mentioned in the March 31st communication from the State Department of Revenue refers only to those communities who have already complied to the 100% reclassification, such as Southboro.

Mr. Peirce also explained that some of the money set aside for the Clerk of the Works had been paid to Frank H. Grinnell's estate, and the rest of the money remains in the account.

Liaison Assignments 1980

Following discussion, the Board directed the Executive Secretary to prepare a revised list of Board of Selectmen Liaison Assignments for 1980, which the Board will review and further discuss next week.

Current Items of Interest

Non-Union Town Employees Sick Leave Bank

On the recommendation of Selectman Cossart, the Board directed the Executive Secretary to institute as soon as possible the non-union Town Employees sick leave bank.

Balance of Town Boards/Commissions

Chairman Murray, referencing the discussion and emotional debate under Article 40 on the floor of the Annual Town Meeting, suggested that the Board should give consideration, in the future, of a proper Town-wide representative balance on the Conservation Commission membership and possibly look at other boards for the same reason, if necessary.

Gasoline Storage Tanks at Hilco Supply

Following review of a communication dated April 17, 1980, from Judith A. Cope, Chairman of the Conservation Commission, the Board confirmed its vote of March 10, 1980, to approve the installation of underground gasoline storage tanks by Hilco Supply, Inc., 378 Boston Post Road.

The Board directed the Executive Secretary to request that the Fire Chief and the Building Inspector get together and draw up specifications/regulations for future installation of underground fuel storage tanks applications, and to so inform the Conservation Commission.

Steam Leak at Fire Headquarters

The Executive Secretary informed the Board of a steam leak in one of the main lines in the east bay of Fire Headquarters, and that, at this time, it is estimated to be less than a \$2,000 repair job. Mr. Thompson stated that he requested the Highway Surveyor and the Town Engineer to check the problems and determine if some of the work can be done in-house.

Disposal of Town Property

After discussion of the Highway Surveyor's letter dated April 22, 1980, requesting the Board's approval for a trade-in, 1971 GMC truck, in accordance with Article 12, section 1 of the Town bylaws, the Selectmen directed the Executive Secretary to make it part of the agenda for April 28, in order that the Board might have an opportunity to view the vehicle and/or speak to Mr. Noyes.

Traffic and Pedestrian Problems Due to Closed-off Driveway During Sudbury
Players Performance

Mr. Ronald D. Adolph of the Sudbury Players approached the Selectmen expressing concern over the fact that the driveway beside the Town Hall is closed off and, therefore, the Sudbury Players anticipate problems with traffic, etc., this coming weekend during its dress rehearsal performances. The Selectmen agreed with Mr. Adolph's concern and directed the Executive Secretary to speak to both the Highway Surveyor and the Town Engineer regarding possible lighting in the area, for walking purposes, and a barrier in front of the Town Hall with a sign to divert traffic.

"No Turn on Red" Sign at Town Centre

On the recommendation of Selectman Cossart, the Executive Secretary was directed to request the Highway Surveyor to remove a "no turn on red" sign in the Town Centre, since the Selectmen did not approve the placement of said sign, and to so inform the Safety Officer.

Display of Florence Hosmer's Artwork as Requested by Office of Cultural
Affairs

The Executive Secretary informed the Board that there is a possibility that three of the late Florence Hosmer's paintings can be displayed in the Town's art exhibit, as requested by the Office of Cultural Affairs, but that no official recommendation from the Historical Commission can be confirmed at this time.

Executive Session

At 10:50 p.m. it was on motion by roll call

VOTED: To enter into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing collective bargaining.

(Roll call vote: Chairman Murray, in favor; Selectman Donald, in favor; and Selectman Cossart, in favor.)

Chairman Murray stated that Public Session would not reconvene following the Executive Session.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:30 p.m.

Attest:

Richard E. Thompson
Executive Secretary-Clerk