

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1992

Present: Chairman John C. Drobinski, Judith A. Cope, Lawrence L. Blacker.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been met, the meeting was convened by Chairman Drobinski at 7:30 p.m. at the Fairbank Senior Center.

Public Hearing - M.G.L. c.138, s.15 - Sunday Opening - Retail Package Goods Stores

Present: President David Fields, Kappy's Liquors. (Michael and John MacKinnon appeared after the conclusion of the hearing.)

Chairman Drobinski opened the public hearing to consider the question of granting permission to Sudbury retail package goods stores, licensed under M.G.L. c.138, s.15, to be open for business on the Sunday immediately preceding Thanksgiving Day and each Sunday thereafter until New Year's Day, in accordance with Section 490 of Chapter 133 of the Acts of 1992.

After a brief discussion, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To grant permission indefinitely to Sudbury retail package goods stores licensed under M.G.L. c.138, s.15, to be open for business on the Sunday immediately preceding Thanksgiving Day and each Sunday thereafter until New Year's Day, between the hours of 12 Noon and 11:00 p.m., in accordance with Section 490 of Chapter 133 of the Acts of 1992.

Pubic Hearing - Utility Petition 92-14

Present: Robert Malis, Boston Edison Company.

The Board convened a public hearing to consider Utility Petition 92-14 from Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, submitted under date of October 9, 1992 by Boston Edison Supervisor Denis J. Deagle, Distribution Division - Western District, for location of approximately 197 feet of conduit in Harness Lane.

Executive Secretary Thompson reported that all abutters have been properly notified and a letter recommending approval has been received from Building Inspector John B. Hepting and Wiring Inspector Warren E. Boyce in a communication dated October 16, 1992.

Boston Edison Company employee, Robert Malis, noted the construction is necessary in order to provide an underground electric service to a new house located at #63 Harness Lane.

On motion by Chairman Drobinski, it was unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 92-14 of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for permission to locate and install approximately one hundred and ninety-seven (197) feet of conduit indicated on Boston Edison Company Plan of Harness Lane, Sudbury, dated October 8, 1992, and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company Plan for Conduit/Buried Cable, 92-8, dated September 22, 1992, on the following public way of the Town:

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1992  
PAGE 2

Harness Lane - northerly approximately 1,400 feet north of Hudson Road, a distance of about 197 feet - conduit.

Permanent Reserve Police Officers

On the recommendation of Selectman Blacker, after the completion of inquiries with regard to the applicants, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To appoint the following five applicants as Permanent Reserve Police Officers for the Town of Sudbury from Department of Personnel Administration Requisition No. 720817, dated August 26, 1992, in part as recommended by Chief Peter B. Lembo in a communication dated October 5, 1992, effective simultaneously upon approval by the Department of Personnel Administration; and further to authorize the Executive Secretary to sign and file all documents related thereto with said Administration:

- |    |   |    |  |
|----|---|----|--|
| 1. | Richard A. MacLean<br>280 Hudson Road<br>Stow, MA 01775         | 4. | Neil E. McGilvray<br>46 Evergreen Road<br>Sudbury, MA 01776  |
| 2. | Ronald S. Brandolini<br>8 Maple Lane<br>Framingham, MA 01701    | 5. | Patricia Ann Grigas<br>29 Bayberry Road<br>Concord, MA 01742 |
| 3. | Mitchel G. Caspe<br>33 Philemon Whale Lane<br>Sudbury, MA 01776 |    |  |

FY92 Audit Proposal

The Board acknowledged receipt of a proposal dated October 9, 1992 from J. David Asadoorian, Certified Public Accountant, and a communication dated October 14, 1992 from Finance Director/Town Accountant James Vanar recommending the Town award the contract for the FY92 Audit to Mr. Asadoorian.

Selectman Blacker noted that most issues raised in the last audit have been resolved with the exception of the pension benefits, which is being worked on for Town Meeting in April, 1993. Mr. Thompson commented that he would locate Mr. Vanar's report relative to last year's recommendations as requested by Mrs. Cope and have that available for her by the next Selectmen's meeting if not before.

On motion by Chairman Drobinski, it was unanimously

VOTED: To authorize the Chairman to sign acceptance of a proposal dated October 9, 1992, from J. David Asadoorian, Certified Public Accountant of Malden, to perform an audit at a cost of \$15,000 of the Town's financial statements for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1992, including evaluation of internal control structures, with the exclusion of the final sentence relative to continuing arrangements for future years; subject to funds being available.

Sudbury Players, Inc. - Request for Reduction in Rent

In response to a communication dated April 25, 1992, from the Sudbury Players requesting a reduction in rent for both rehearsal time and show dates for their productions held in the Town Hall, Mr. Thompson commented that the Board may, in the spirit of trying to accommodate their request, compromise by asking \$250 per performance instead of their request to reduce the fee to \$200.

Upon review of the previous year's schedule and the recommendation by Chairman Drobinski that the Town Hall be utilized, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve a request dated April 25, 1992 from the Sudbury Players, Inc. for a reduction of the rent fee to \$250 for each performance to include both rehearsals and performances.

Ft. Devens - Sudbury Annex - Discontinuation of Training Activities

With regard to a communication received from the Fort Devens Public Affairs Office, Secretary Thompson explained the information regarding the discontinued activity at the Sudbury Annex was placed on the agenda in an effort to inform residents about the activities at the Sudbury Annex.

Even though activities and use by the Army, Reserve and National Guard are being discontinued, Mr. Thompson noted that it will not be closed entirely.

Edwin Barrett Hosmer Memorial Fund

With regard to a copy of an invoice received from Clay Allen Services, 515 Concord Road, Sudbury, relative to replacement of a stair runner at the Hosmer House, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To authorize expenditure of \$475.20 from the Edwin Barrett Hosmer Memorial Fund for installation of new stair runner at Hosmer House.

Minutes

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the regular session minutes of October 13, 1992.

Council on Aging - Van Donation

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To accept \$115.98 in miscellaneous donations for deposit into the Council on Aging Van Donation Account and to authorize the Council on Aging to expend the same for purposes of operating and maintaining the Council on Aging vans.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1992  
PAGE 4

1992 Mass. Acts 133 (6000-2000) and M.G.L. CH. 90, S.34 - Grant Funds

With regard to a memorandum between the Town and the Mass. Highway Department, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To confirm action of the Board on October 19, 1992, of signing a memorandum between the Town and the Mass. Highway Department relative to grant funds in the amount of \$130,025 in accordance with 1992 Mass. Acts 133 (6000-2000) and M.G.L. Ch. 90, s.34.

Sherman's Bridge Road State Reimbursement Borrowing

In response to a communication dated October 14, 1992 from Treasurer/Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To confirm action of the Board on October 19, 1992, of signing notes in the amount of \$161,250 for the Sherman's Bridge Road construction project; said notes to be issued by Fleet Bank at 2.95% interest rate on October 26, 1992, and to mature June 23, 1993.

Patrick Delaney Letter - Sudbury Automotive

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated October 20, 1992 from Patrick J. Delaney, III relative to requesting enforcement of section IX,V,J of the Sudbury Zoning Bylaw at 209 Boston Post Road, dba Sudbury Automotive. In addition, a note dated October 21, 1992 was received by Building Inspector John B. Hepting asking for advice on how to respond.

In response, Mr. Blacker drafted a communication which he shared with the Board tonight. Selectman Cope commented that Mr. Hepting cannot be expected to respond in detail to all the violations that are reported. It was decided the Board would review Mr. Blacker's letter further and make changes as necessary, but that a response would be sent from the entire Board.

Hop Brook Ponds Study Committee

Selectman Cope reported that new member on the Hop Brook Committee, Steven Meyer, stated he would like to chair the Committee, but that he would like some clarification on the charge of the Committee. In addition, he would like to discuss what his role as chairman might be.

Mr. Thompson responded that Mrs. Cope should come by the office to check the files for a charge that may have been given this Committee, or check the minutes that surrounded the inception of the Committee.

MTA Health Care Conference

Mr. Blacker commented that he would like to attend this conference to be held by the Mass. Teachers' Association on Wednesday, November 4, 1992 from 9:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m. in Dorchester, Massachusetts. Mr. Thompson stated he would send in the registration for him.

Chamber of Commerce

Secretary Thompson, Selectman Cope and possibly Chairman Drobinski all noted that they will be attending the Chamber of Commerce meeting on October 28, 1992.

203rd Town Forum

At 8:00 p.m. Chairman Drobinski convened the 203rd Session of the Town Forum.

Following reports by various Boards and Departments, Town Forum was adjourned, and refreshments and conversation were enjoyed by those present. This Town Forum was televised over the local Cable network and a copy of the video tape is available by contacting the Selectmen's Office.

Sudbury Cultural Council

Sudbury Cultural Council Chairman, Lidia Scher, was present at the Town Forum tonight and explained the name change for the Council (formerly called the Local Arts Council and also Sudbury Arts Lottery Council). She read their mission statement and commented that the Council is seeking proposals to fund projects related to the arts.

Veteran's Agent

Veteran's Agent Mary Jane Hillery presented a certificate to the Town tonight from the United States Department of Defense designating Sudbury as a World War II Commemorative Community. Special events are being planned to commemorate the 50th anniversary of WWII during 1991-1995, and a proclamation by the Town of Sudbury in honor of the Veterans of World War II was signed by the Board of Selectmen.

Public Hearing - Candy Hill Lane

Present: Sudbury Valley Trustees Executive Director, Stephen T. Johnson; David M. Hill; Legal Counsel for Hill brothers, Timothy Taylor; Buyer Mrs. Marianna Crowley, 15 Griffin Lane.

The Board convened a continuation of a public hearing from October 13, 1992 at 9:00 p.m. to consider the question of assigning to Sudbury Valley Trustees the Town's first refusal option to purchase approximately 5.32 acres of land off Candy Hill Lane, Sudbury, owned by David M. Hill, Richard M. Hill and Clark E. Hill, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 61A, Section 14.

Executive Secretary Thompson reported that a conversation with the Conservation Commission today confirmed their position in support of assigning this property to Sudbury Valley Trustees.

In addition, the Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated October 21, 1992 from Legal Counsel Jeffrey K. Schaffer representing the Crowleys' interests in their Contract for Purchase of Lot 2, Candy Hill Lane.

Chairman Drobinski noted that the parties were asked to get together during these past two weeks to come to a resolution. Mr. Johnson thanked the Selectmen for allowing the parties this time for discussion; he

stated that, however, it did not result in any agreement. He informed those present that Sudbury Valley Trustees has decided not to seek assignment of this property, but rather their interests lie in conserving a portion of the remainder of the Hill Property and they will focus their efforts on seeking acquisition of that property, according to Mr. Johnson.

On the recommendation of Executive Secretary Thompson, based on information received and discussion at the public hearings, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To decline to exercise the Town's first refusal option, in its own right, and also relative to assignment of the option, to purchase approximately 5.32 acres of land off Candy Hill Lane, Sudbury, owned by David M. Hill, Richard M. Hill, and Clark E. Hill, shown as Lot 2 on a plan entitled, "Plan of Land in Sudbury, Massachusetts", dated May 8, 1992, drawn by John R. Snelling Associates, Professional Land Surveyors, Lincoln, MA, pursuant to notice of intent postmarked August 21, 1992, to sell said land, currently assessed on the basis of agricultural or horticultural use, for residential use.

Warren Property - MGL Ch.61A s.14 - Sudbury Valley Trustees

Present: Sudbury Valley Trustees Executive Director, Stephen T. Johnson; Legal Counsel for Elizabeth Warren, David W. Fitts; Buyers Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Moore; Legal Counsel for Hill brothers, Timothy Taylor; Planning Board Member Richard A. Brooks; Abutters Mr. and Mrs. Dean Yarbrough.

The Board convened a continuation of a public hearing from October 13, 1992 at 9:15 p.m. to consider the question of assigning to Sudbury Valley Trustees the Town's first refusal option to purchase approximately 9.2 acres of land at 452 Concord Road, Sudbury, a portion of Parcel 300 on Town Property Map G10, owned by Elizabeth Warren, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 61A, Section 14. (The 120-day option expires November 21, 1992.)

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated October 22, 1992 from Buyer Kenneth Moore which explains the 1.9 acres of land the Moores are willing to restrict for conservation purposes. Secretary Thompson reported the Conservation Commission, after reading Mr. Moore's letter, has indicated the 1.9 acre restriction would not warrant forgoing the assigning of the parcel to SVT, and further, that they continue to support SVT in their efforts to obtain this property.

Mr. Stephen Johnson presented an aerial blueprint of the area and reviewed SVT's objectives in acquiring this property which are to keep a majority of the property for open space and protect the adjacent Brues Woods from the impact of housing development. He noted that the wetlands are shared with Brues Woods and are the source for Bridge Brook. Not only is there the need to protect this water resource, but also the wildlife habitat and the aesthetic value to the farmstead and surrounding area as it is in the Historic District. Increased traffic was also expressed as a concern.

Mr. Johnson reported he met with the Moores regarding their willingness to restrict 1.9 acres of land for conservation purposes, only to point out that the same area is already wetlands and protected under the Wetlands Protection Act. In further discussion with Mr. Moore, Mr. Johnson noted he proposed a plan that would include protection of the hayfield and a donation of land located in the rear of the property. In addition, he talked with Mr. Moore about a single lot, Approval Not Required, off Concord Road--an estate type lot which would include a conservation restriction of the field including approximately 210 ft. of frontage with an access for a driveway, in order to protect the aesthetic values along Concord Road. Mr. Moore was not

interested in SVT's proposal and SVT noted that it would be difficult to accommodate anyone unless they were interested in only the homestead and an additional single lot.

Mr. Johnson outlined the plan SVT is proposing which is 1) to add back one acre to the homestead site either by selling it back to the Warrens or through an accommodation in price; 2) propose a four-acre lot, with two acres for conservation in the front, and 3) conserve 4.2 acres in the back of the property with a total conserved property amounting to 67%. SVT believes this represents a solution that serves the Town and SVT interests and assists the Warrens in realizing a reasonable price for their home; therefore, Mr. Johnson noted that SVT is seeking assignment of this property.

Mr. Johnson presented an SVT conceptual plan for discussion purposes only which showed the property divided into five parcels, four additional lots including a stub road. This plan is bad for Sudbury and SVT for reasons mentioned previously and because it would destroy the integrity of the farmstead, remarked Mr. Johnson.

Selectman Blacker reiterated Mr. Fitts' concerns for the Warrens--that they will be able to sell the homestead for a reasonable price--and asked if the Selectmen can assign less than the whole 9.2 acres to SVT.

Mr. Thompson noted that in reviewing the statutes, the Board can assign with terms and conditions.

Mr. Blacker asked when the money would change hands if SVT bought the property. Mr. Thompson noted that the Board has until November 21, 1992 to make this decision--assuming that the Board grants this right, the holder of the right has to produce the money by November. According to Mr. Fitts, the party purchasing the property would have to assume the original sale and purchase agreement terms with regard to the closing date in November.

Selectman Blacker reiterated his thoughts with regard to the fact that they are dealing with two separate pieces of property and two different prices, and asked if the Board could assign 8.2 acres to SVT instead of the 9.2, so that the farmstead may command a higher price and thus be more beneficial for Mrs. Warren and preserve the nature of the farmstead.

Planning Board member Richard Brooks noted for the record that the Planning Board supports this assignment in order to protect a local rural and scenic corridor.

Selectman Cope confirmed that one of the goals of the Selectmen is to preserve open space. Mr. Blacker commented that the Town will not pay for anything if the assignment is made to SVT. It will be their bill to pay and right to do what they want with the property.

Mr. Moore presented his plan and remarked that SVT has done a good job of preserving land in the area of the Warren property. He raised the question of who would pay back taxes on the property. He also said that the farmstead is not in the best condition and would require much work, and that if the Moores were allowed to purchase the property, Mrs. Warren would receive all of her money in November, rather than have to put her farmstead on the market again.

Chairman Drobinski explained that one of the Selectmen's goals and purposes is to conserve open space and he believes the Town has spoken very clearly in this regard. He appreciates Mr. Moore's concession of restricting a portion of the property, but stated this would be restricted in any case. He commented the Board has to look at the property in and for the future and Mr. Moore will probably not own the property forever, thus leaving it in the hands of someone else to develop. Mr. Drobinski continued that the mandate is to protect open space.

Attorney Taylor, in responding to Mr. Blacker's suggestion of assigning something less than the total amount of land designated for Ch.61A, asked if the Town could assign a right for something less than that which is subject to the notice.

Selectman Blacker commented that this is a difficult case because, 1) preserving open space is critical, 2) the Selectmen do not want to hurt the Warrens just because they put a portion of their property under Ch.61A, and 3) he does not believe the arguments from SVT are valid regarding the added burden development would mean for the Town. Mr. Blacker thinks the statutes should be changed to include an all or none philosophy with regard to putting property under Ch.61A.

Mr. Fitts explained that Mrs. Warren needs the money now, and unfortunately the agreement has been held hostage to Ch.61A. He expressed his opinion that land owners are putting the economic value of their property in jeopardy by going under Ch.61A.

In an effort to demonstrate concern for the economic well being of all property owners SVT deals with, Mr. Johnson read a letter from a past director to the Warrens dated 1987, which described mutual gain solutions and adjustments made in giving land.

Selectman Cope stated she understood that there were several developers that looked at the property and several offers made. Mr. Fitts replied that no other serious offers have been made on the property.

Mr. Brooks noted that four or five additional houses do not add significantly to the traffic problem, and the impact on the buyer and seller from the planning standpoint is an opportunity either taken or not--it will not come back. Perhaps this is the one aspect that is the most consistent for a total viewpoint in looking at Town management and working with SVT for a continuous linkage for open space. On behalf of the Planning Board, he stated that it is unlikely other such properties in Town will be found and asked for the Board's support in assigning the option to SVT.

Chairman Drobinski stated the Board is trying to maximize Mrs. Warren's return on her homestead, and trying to accommodate what Mr. Fitts said about her ability to gain value for her property--if the homestead has more property around it, it will have greater value.

Mr. Kenneth Daily, for matters of personal interest, asked the following: 1) Is SVT precluded from developing the land if they are assigned it, or can SVT acquire the land and develop it at their discretion at some point in time. Mr. Drobinski responded that SVT can develop the land into a subdivision, but not at whatever level they choose. Mr. Taylor noted that no more than 50% of the land can be improved. It was noted that a four-lot subdivision would not be unrealistic. 2) It is being assumed that abutting property values will appreciate if the parcel is bought by SVT. Mr. Daily informed there are only two abutting properties,



which will more than likely have to pay increased taxes as a result of the appreciation. 3) Buying the assignment of 9.2 acres for \$235,000 assumes the farmstead to be worth around \$1/4 million based on the total for the purchase and sale agreement, which does not seem realistic.

Mr. Johnson responded that there are two values involved--the land and the house, and it is the free act of the buyer and seller. SVT intends to develop only one lot which will conserve the adjacent values. Mr. Johnson also noted that SVT would be in agreement if the Selectmen wish to place a condition on the number of lots to be developed.

Mr. Moore commented that if the Board wants to restrict the property, they should restrict it to no use at all, and he reiterated his intentions not to develop it at the present time.

Chairman Drobinski commented he likes Mr. Blacker's idea of partial assignment and suggested that Town Counsel draw up a formal vote.

Mr. Thompson recommended the assignment of 9.2 acres to SVT as suggested with the following terms and conditions--restricting new development to include only one lot and to offer an additional one-acre lot back to the Warrens.

Mr. Johnson stated that SVT is comfortable with one lot, and the issue of selling land back to Mrs. Warren can be entertained on a pro rata basis.

Mr. Timothy Taylor offered the solution that SVT be required to offer back to the Warrens an amount of land or money, or land abutting and contiguous to the land which is not subject to Ch.61A. The Warrens can then make their own decision.

After further discussion, it was on motion by Chairman Drobinski unanimously

VOTED: To assign to Sudbury Valley Trustees the Town's first refusal option to purchase approximately 9.2 acres of land at 452 Concord Road, Sudbury, a portion of Parcel 300 on Town Property Map G10 and also shown on plan entitled, "Walter Warren (Plan of Land of), Sudbury, Mass., Scale 1" = 60 ft., October 23, 1944, by E. W. Pettigrew, C.E.", owned by Elizabeth Warren, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 61A, Section 14, and notice of intent postmarked July 24, 1992, to sell said land, currently assessed on the basis of agricultural or horticultural use, for residential use, subject to the following terms and conditions: 1) That Sudbury Valley Trustees is required to offer back to the Warrens one acre of land contiguous to the farmhouse lot on a pro rata basis in relationship to the selling price of the 9.2 acres of Ch. 61A land; and 2) That SVT agrees it will not divide off and sell more than one building lot in addition to Item #1 above; and further, that if SVT does proceed with a new Approval Not Required building lot, it shall be in accordance with, or approximate to, a plan on file in the Selectmen's Office with notation of: "Annotation & Schematic Plan by Sudbury Valley Trustees October 26, 1992 Stephen T. Johnson, Exec. Director".

The Board asked that they see or have read to them the above vote, after Town Counsel approval, and prior to mailing.

Resource Recovery Committee - Appointment

In response to an application received, and on the recommendation of the Resource Recovery Committee, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To appoint Scott A. Lewis, 395 Boston Post Road, to the Resource Recovery Committee, for a term to expire April 30, 1995.

Press Release - Mailboxes

The Board approved a press release relative to increased vandalism of mailboxes, and complimented Mrs. Cope and the Selectmen's office for a well-written press release.

Robert W. Landry - Letter Regarding Tall Pines Order of Conditions

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated October 22, 1992 from Robert W. Landry, Attorney representing PHH Home Equity relative to Tall Pines Order of Conditions. Selectman Blacker reported that he will respond to Mr. Landry and inform him of Town Counsel's comments regarding foreclosing on the bonds, and the potential of reimbursement.

Mr. Thompson requested he copy the Selectmen's office.

Planning Board Meeting - Wood Davison House

Selectman Cope reported there was discussion and an interest on the part of some to move the Wood Davison House during the last working session on the Taylor site plan, and that Town Planner Jody Kablack is willing to help consider such a plan which might solve the Historic District's concerns as well as those of Mrs. Taylor.

Cook & Company

In response to a communication dated October 21, 1992, from Peter A. Cook, CEO and Chairman of Cook & Company, with regard to the Town rescinding their contract with them, Mr. Thompson explained that he has not talked with them since receiving this letter and a contract. Because this has become a delicate situation, Town Counsel is reviewing it and Mr. Thompson will be reporting back to the Board.

DEP - Solid Waste Meeting

Secretary Thompson reported he attended this month's Mass. Municipal Managers' Meeting on solid waste and learned that DEP obtained a grant from the EPA to perform a \$100,000 study which outlines its rules and regulations. Mr. Thompson noted that it will be beneficial if the Town could obtain a copy of this document from the DEP, and he has asked the Town Engineer to do so, since the study has just been completed.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1992  
PAGE 11

Howe Property

Present: Planning Board member Richard Brooks.

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated October 15, 1992 from Mr. Thompson responding to Town Planner Jody Kablack's communication dated October 1, 1992 relative to the Howe Trust Property.

Mr. Thompson reported that Ms. Kablack, Town Engineer I. William Place, the developer of this property and himself are planning to meet to work out a solution to complete the plans for this property, after which a meeting between the Selectmen and the Planning Board may have to be called.

According to Mr. Brooks, the owner of the property, who initially was in support of the Conservation Commission and the Planning Board's cluster approach, has now had his mind changed by Colburn Engineering for a conventional layout. The Planning Board is not inclined to accept a conventional layout, and would prefer a clustered development which would require variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals, which they would probably support.

In any case, Mr. Brooks noted that this scenario will allow the Planning Board to take another look at the cluster bylaws for possible change, but they are trying to expedite the process.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:40 p.m.

Attest: Richard E. Thompson  
Richard E. Thompson  
Executive Secretary-Clerk