

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Present: Chairman Lawrence L. Blacker, Selectmen John C. Drobinski and Maryann K. Clark.

The statutory requirements as to notice having been met, the meeting was convened at 7:30 p.m. at the Fairbank Senior Center by Chairman Blacker.

Bullfinch's Restaurant - Sunday Entertainment License

Present: Margaret Richardson, Bullfinch's Restaurant; Geraldine C. Nogelo, 19 Washington Drive, and one other abutter.

Chairman Blacker stated that several concerns and questions remained regarding the application for a Sunday Entertainment License for Bullfinch's Restaurant at the conclusion of the Board's meeting of September 12, 1994 which prompted the Board to postpone consideration of same.

The outstanding concerns have been addressed as follows:

1. With regard to the tent being considered an extension of the existing structure, Building Inspector John B. Hepting explained in a communication dated September 21, 1994 that as a result of conferring with the Town Planner, Town Engineer, and Town Counsel, he determined that the activity under the tent at Bullfinch's constitutes open-air dining as long as the tent sides are completely open. If the side panels are employed signaling an attempt to condition the space within, then the tent becomes an extension of the existing structure and would require a site plan review.

2. With regard to maximum seating capacity relating to septic system capacity, it is 102. Health Director Robert Leupold verbally expressed on September 26, 1994 that including the tent, it does not appear seating has been exceeded.

3. With regard to the applicability of the liquor license to the area under the tent, Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny expressed in a communication dated September 23, 1994, that the applicant would have to apply to the Selectmen for a Change of Premises to allow liquor to be served under the tent because the current license description is based on the confines of the existing building only. In addition, a fence would have to be erected around the perimeter to secure the premises.

Ms. Richardson stated that the tent will be taken down in about ten days and that the sides will be eliminated when it is raised again in the spring. She added that she will ask to still have dining allowed and liquor served on the patio, which will require a request for a Change of Premises with her liquor license renewal in November. She further explained that the outdoor dining area is roped off in areas and has flower boxes enclosing other areas, which would constitute the requirement for fencing.

Selectman Drobinski asked about the hours of music and if they were different from her previous application. Ms. Richardson responded that she is submitting one rather than two applications for music on Sundays from 11:00 a.m. till 10:00 p.m., but that no music will be played from the hours of 3:00 p.m. till 6:00 p.m.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Ms. Nogelo, 19 Washington Drive, stated she does not think the tent "fits in" and may be setting a precedent for other business along Route 20. Ms. Richardson responded that she is only trying to improve her business and extend the summer season to allow outdoor seating.

The question of sufficient parking spaces was discussed, and it was noted that the location of the tent does not reduce the parking nor does the number of people affect it because the use of the tent has not resulted in additional clientele.

Selectman Clark asked if the patio was part of the original site plan, and if not, would additional parking spaces be required.

Mr. Thompson stated that he would check to make sure the addition of the patio did not require additional parking spaces.

Ms. Richardson pointed out that she has always had ample parking spaces available, more than required for the seating capacity.

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve an application, dated August 10, 1994, of Margaret Richardson, for Bullfinch's Restaurant, 730 Boston Post Road, for a Sunday Entertainment License for the period of September 25, 1994 through September 17, 1995, for live music, indoors, musicians to number no more than five (5) from 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., subject to the music being played behind closed doors and windows, and further that when the tent is erected, it will not include sides.

Utility Petitions 94-17A and 94-17B - Woodside Road

Present: Edmund Kelly, Boston Edison Company.

The Board convened a public hearing to consider Utility Petitions 94-17A and 94-17B of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for location of two telephone poles and removal of one pole and installation of approximately 53 feet of conduit, for a new subdivision located on Woodside Road.

Secretary Thompson reported that all abutters had been properly notified and a letter recommending approval, dated September 16, 1994, has been received from the Building and Wiring Inspectors.

On motion by Chairman Blacker, it was unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 94-17A of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for Grant of Location to install one new pole 12/12A and to relocate P12/12 as shown on Boston Edison Company Plan of Woodside Rd.-Sudbury, dated August 15, 1994, erection or construction of pole(s), to be owned and used in common by them along the following public way of the Town:

Woodside Road - northwesterly side - southwesterly from a point
approximately 1205 feet southwest of Landham Road
Two (2) Poles

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

One (1) existing JO pole to be removed

And it was further unanimously

VOTED: To approve Utility Petition 94-17B of Boston Edison Company and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company for Grant of Location to install fifty three (53) feet of conduit, as shown on Boston Edison Company Plan of Woodside Rd.-Sudbury, dated August 15, 1994, and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company Plan for conduit, No. 94-11, dated September 22, 1994, under the following public way of the Town:

Woodside Road - southwesterly approximately 1205 feet
southwest of Landham Road
a distance of about 53 feet - conduit

Council on Aging Donation

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To accept \$92.55 in miscellaneous donations for deposit into the Council on Aging Van Donation Account and to authorize the Council on Aging to expend the same for purposes of operating and maintaining the Council on Aging vans.

Matt Garrett's of Sudbury - Expansion of Entertainment License

At the request of Brian Libby, General Manager, Matt Garrett's of Sudbury, 120 Boston Post Road, in a communication dated September 18, 1994, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve an expansion of Matt Garrett's Entertainment License to allow an additional night (Wednesday) of live band entertainment with two to four performers between the hours of 8:30 p.m. and 12:00 p.m.

Hop Brook Ponds Study Committee - Mitigation Efforts

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To acknowledge receipt of a report dated September 3, 1994, from Stephen M. Meyer, Chairman of the Hop Brook Ponds Study Committee and Conservation Commission member, detailing Sudbury's non-point source mitigation efforts with regard to the Hop Brook system.

Minutes

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the regular session minutes of September 12, 1994, as amended by Selectman Clark.

FY 1995 Municipal Incentive Grants Program - Wastewater Treatment Options - Sudbury Village Area

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated September 16, 1994, from

Mary L. Padula, Cabinet Secretary for the Executive Office of Communities & Development awarding a grant in the amount of \$10,000 to Sudbury from the FY 1995 Municipal Incentive Grants program for a study to identify wastewater treatment options for the proposed Sudbury Village area of Route 20.

Selectman Clark questioned whether this grant was intended to mean the Sudbury Village area of Route 20 or the Business District, which are not one in the same. Mrs. Clark stated that she has compiled all the information related to the application for this grant for clarification because she said the grant does not complement the vote of the Board taken on June 13, 1994.

Selectman Clark submitted her comments and facts as follows, verbatim:

The FACTS here are highly IRREGULAR.

*Selectmen's Agenda for June 13, 1994 had item #20 which reads as follows:
"In response to a letter dated May 27, 1994, from the Town Planner, question of endorsing the Town of Sudbury's application for funding under the Executive Office of Communities & Development Strategic Planning Program for preparation of a feasibility study and implementation strategies for mitigation of the wastewater disposal problems in the Route 20 business area and stating the Board's agreement to cooperate with the preparation of this study to the best of its ability."*

*Selectmen's majority vote of June 13, 1994:
"VOTED: To endorse the Town of Sudbury's grant application for funding under the EOCD Strategic Planning Program for preparation of a feasibility study and implementation strategies for mitigation of the wastewater disposal problems in the Route 20 Business area and to agree to cooperate with the preparation of this study to the best of its ability."*

I specifically asked the questions: What is defined as the Route 20 Business area? Is this specifically for the proposed Sudbury Village project? The answer was given to us that it is for the existing Route 20 business area.

*But NOTE, the grant from EOCD is
"for a study to identify wastewater treatment options for the PROPOSED SUDBURY VILLAGE area of Route 20."*

This is not just a sanitizing of the Selectmen's Minutes.

It is either one of 2 things:

- 1. A totally different subject matter: Route 20 business area versus the proposed Sudbury Village Project; or*
- 2. A material misrepresentation, a falsehood, of what we were voting on. RESULT is the Selectmen's Vote was not for the grant that has been made.*

The irregularity of these facts point out one thing that I cannot endorse and that is the philosophy that IF THE MERITS of your project cannot support itself, adjust those facts to get the necessary approvals.

CHANGE "the proposed Sudbury Village Project" to the "Route 20 business area"

TO MAKE IT palatable.

Projects should stand or fall on their MERITS, not on altered language.

This is what happens when State and Federal study grants are allowed without the vote of citizens at Town Meeting. The grants for studies are taking the place of town meeting. Citizens are losing their rights to the state agencies who decide what studies the town needs. This is a prime example of this. Town Meeting has VOTED DOWN sewerage studies of Route 20 several times, only to have a State Agency approve a study for the proposed project of Sudbury Village.

On receiving a copy of the grant letter and my astonishment that it states it's for a study for options for the proposed Sudbury Village area of Route 20, I asked for the full application of the planning board. I notice that the minutes of the current Selectmen's Board are not included in the application, but the 1991 minutes, the 7/29/91 minutes to be exact, of the then Selectmen's board were included, which state the following on the Sudbury Village Project:

"In reference to the need for a septage treatment plant, Sel. Drobinski noted that this could be 'engineered around' if everyone is of one mind on this project."

So much for the merits of a sewer study - a septage treatment plant --- it can be engineered around.

One thing that cannot be engineered around is payment for installation of a sewer or treatment plant. Those specific properties receiving the special benefit of a sewer would bear the cost of such installation. Levy assessments would have to be made against the benefiting properties. The levy creates a lien on the properties. Have the business property owners been made aware of this???

To expect the town to pay the cost of sewerage or a treatment plant is unrealistic and unfair. The town does not pay for the cost of replacing a resident's septic system and should not pay the cost for a treatment plant benefiting selective businesses.

Instead of expanding development on Route 20, we should adopt Wayland's policy to keep development along Route 20 at a minimum.

If the project cannot stand on its own merits, and altered language has to be used to obtain approvals, and a septage treatment plant can be engineered around, the proponents of the Project should accept the fact that the project just does not have merit.

I move that the Selectmen send a letter to EOCD stating that their grant is not in conformity with the majority vote of the Selectmen, and that the Selectmen cannot accept the grant on the limited terms of a study to identify

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

wastewater treatment options for only the proposed Sudbury village area of Route 20.

Selectman Drobinski responded to Ms. Clark's reference to his comments regarding the need for a septage treatment plan, clarifying that there are different technologies to deal with waste water treatment.

Ms. Clark stated that the grant does not complement the vote of the Board because the grant is specifically for one thing--the Sudbury Village Project which does not encompass the entire business district.

Chairman Blacker suggested the possibility of meeting with the Planning Board at the next Board of Selectmen meeting or at another time soon in order to come to consensus and to defer an answer until that time.

Selectman Drobinski concurred with Chairman Blacker's suggestion to meet with the Planning Board to clarify what the grant should be for exactly. Mrs. Clark stated that the Planning Board should be apprised of the Board's intentions.

It was agreed to defer any action until the Board has had an opportunity to meet with the Town Planner and Planning Board, which will most likely be scheduled for the next Board of Selectmen meeting on Tuesday, October 11, 1994.

Bond Anticipation Note - Article 62, 1994 ATM - Nixon School

Present: Treasurer/Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn.

In response to a communication dated September 23, 1994, from Town Treasurer and Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn regarding the Award of Bond Anticipation Note for Nixon School, 1994 Annual Town Meeting Article 62, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the sale of a \$1,000,000 3.57 percent Bond Anticipation Note of the town dated September 29, 1994 and payable March 28, 1995, to The First National Bank of Boston at par and accrued interest.

And it was further unanimously

VOTED: To confirm the consent dated September 21, 1994 to the financial advisor bidding for the note.

Advance of Funds in Lieu of Borrowing - Dutton and Landham Road Bridges

The Board acknowledged receipt of a report of advance of funds in lieu of borrowing, dated September 26, 1994, for the repair of Dutton Road and Landham Road bridges.

Selectman Clark noted that this advance of funds clears the Force Account #6302 of September, 1992 Mass. Highway Department in the amount of \$74,947, and that there is no accounting that says there are any funds available for Landham Bridge repair.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

On the recommendation of Selectman Clark to add a proviso, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve Advance of Funds in Lieu of Borrowing in the amount of \$65,201 for the Dutton Road and Landham Road Bridges projects, provided there are significant funds remaining to complete restoration in 1994 of the Landham Road Bridge.

It was noted that Highway Survey Robert Noyes needs to be apprised of this situation as he expends the funds.

213th Annual Town Forum

At 8:00 p.m. Chairman Blacker convened the 213th session of the Town Forum.

Commendation Awards

Present: Police Chief Peter B. Lembo; Officer Richard J. Glavin, Mrs. Glavin and two Glavin children, and Kien Dao family.

Police Chief Peter B. Lembo presented a Commendation Award to Officer Richard J. Glavin for his courage and quick reaction to the situation to save Mr. Kien Dao's life by pulling him out of his burning car.

Chief Lembo also noted presentation of a Commendation Award to absent Officer Neil J. McGilvray for his timely assistance to Officer Glavin in rescuing Mr. Dao.

Following reports by the various Boards and Departments, Town Forum was adjourned, and refreshments and conversation were enjoyed by those present. This Town Forum was televised over the local Cable network and a copy of the video tape is available by contacting the Selectmen's office.

Investment Policies and Procedures and Interim FY94 Annual Report of Town Trust Funds

Present: Town Treasurer and Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn; Library Director William R. Talentino; Investment Advisory Group members David Pettit, David P. Wilson, and Kenneth L. Ritchie; Goodnow Library Trustees Hans J. Lopater, and Ivan Lubash.

A joint meeting scheduled by the Selectmen and Treasurer/Collector, as Trustees of Town Donations, with the Investment Advisory Group and all trust beneficiaries was convened by Chairman Blacker. The Board acknowledged receipt a memorandum dated August 24, 1994, from Town Treasurer and Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn which explains the meetings held and decisions made regarding the Town Trust Funds and the preparation of the Annual Report of Town Trust Funds. Accompanying the memo was a draft "Trustees of Town Donations Investment Policy and Procedures", dated July 13, 1994, which includes Objectives, Investment Policy and Procedures, and Accounting Policies and Procedures, for approval. An interim "Town Trust Fund Annual Report as of June 30, 1994" dated August 9, 1994, was also enclosed.

The Board also received a communication dated September 23, 1994, from Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny recommending two changes to the Policy and Procedures based on information at hand, with possible further input upon review of complete background data.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Secretary Thompson explained that, during the transition period when Ms. Dunn was appointed Treasurer/Collector, thought was given to creating more participatory practices regarding investments. An Investment Advisory Group (IAG) was formed which met with Ms. Dunn periodically and with the Selectmen. The IAG has made recommendations to the Board regarding policies on investments, and reporting as well. Mr. Thompson continued that an attempt was made to set up a mechanism for the Library Trustees to perform their own investment functions, which has resulted in meetings with the Assistant Attorney General among others. He concluded that the meeting tonight is the culmination of several meetings and time spent over a long period discussing what might be best for the Town regarding its investments; this is really a landmark meeting, as it has never been done in the past.

Chairman Blacker asked if both the IAG and the Library Trustees are in agreement as to the recommendations set forth for the Board's consideration. It was noted that the investment policies and procedures are recommended by all for consideration by the Board.

Chairman Blacker asked what was meant by the Central Investment Account for the Trust Funds noted under No. 8 under the objectives of the Investment Policy and Procedures. Ms. Dunn replied that the Central Investment Account contains pooled temporary income and has been established to facilitate transferring of Trust Fund monies out of the general fund as quickly as possible to keep them separate and eliminate any delay.

Ms. Dunn noted two corrections made by Town Counsel, Paul Kenny as follows:

No. 2 under objectives has been changed to read "All funds will be invested according to Chapter 180 of the Acts of 1911; and

No. 5 has been changed to read " The Trustees of Town Donations has investment decision making authority and may seek the advice of the Trust Fund Investment Advisory Group, which consists of three appointed members and the Town Treasurer. Execution of investment decisions will be the responsibility of the Town Treasurer, who will have the authority to execute the necessary documents for purposes of investment".

Chairman Blacker questioned No. 10 regarding the fiscal year and when it ends. It was noted that disbursement of funds has been delayed this year, and that future meetings of the Trustees of Town Donations will take place as outlined in No. 7 under Accounting Policies & Procedures. Ms. Dunn noted that income will be based on the principal balances "as of" rather than "on" July 1.

With regard to No. 5 under Accounting Policies & Procedures, which states that any income not distributed by the end of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the income was earned will be added to principal, Chairman Blacker stated that in Trust Accounting income and principal are separate. He stated that he would like to think that the recipients of the income do not have to spend all the money in one year, but can have the option of investing the balance in the trust fund account for use later, which would mean placing it in an account called undistributed income.

Mr. Pettit explained that the recipients can hold on to it but would receive no interest. This is done mainly for accounting simplicity. He added that interest will be credited on the Town's books. There is no policy that says the recipients have to spend the income within the fiscal year--the Town would earn the interest but the charity would not.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Ms. Dunn explained that trust funds have suffered in the past in terms of principal growth because they were not invested properly. She added that it is not wise to hold huge cash and income balances that never get used. She asked if the Trustees need to take a role in having the beneficiaries make a decision on what they need for funds so that no stockpiling of income occurs. Selectman Clark suggested that some type of interim account for growth be established.

Mr. Lopater asked why the excess money cannot be put into a money market account and not given back to the Town. Mr. Blacker explained that the income or dividends may not necessarily sit in a money market account, but could be another account. He added that the accounting to do what Mr. Lopater suggests can be very difficult and to most people would be incomprehensible.

Mr. Pettit remarked that the IAG was influenced by the simplicity of the accounting and the view that unexpended income would not be earning interest but earning income for the Town.

Mr. Blacker pointed out No. 9 under Accounting Policies & Procedures which states the Town Treasurer will transfer to segregated accounts on the General Ledger the approved distributions for the current fiscal year. Ms. Dunn said it could be modified to read that all income will be transferred as of July 1 and only principal will be left in the Trust Fund Accounts. She said it is included for clarification purposes. The premise, added Mr. Blacker, is that only income is expendable and not principal.

Ms. Dunn added that there have been requests to retain income balances and not distribute them. Mr. Blacker asked how difficult it would be to find out how much income has been added to the principal--say five years from now, and how much undistributed earnings was added to the principal. Ms. Dunn stated this would not be an easy task, and that the problem lies with current accounting procedures and the ledgers because it is difficult to obtain information because it is recorded straight as principal and does not say where it came from or what kind of principal it is.

Chairman Blacker asked, if started today, how difficult it would be to divide up income from dividends and see how much income a fund did not accept. Ms. Dunn said it is held as a principal balance, not as income, because you cannot change principal balances. If a fund wants it back, they would have to maintain it as an income balance. Maintaining income balances for the accounts is not a problem.

Selectman Clark asked if it is the intent that the undistributed income as of July 1 for the prior year will then be now allocated as principal. Ms. Dunn explained that there is a principal amount, an income account, and disbursed amount shown in the Trust Fund Account. No provision has been made for an income holding account for monies not expended in a fiscal year. Such an account could, however, be established to be drawn on at a later time; the interest would not accrue after that time, but the Town would collect the income. It was noted that if the income balance is not "accepted" it will revert to principal by transfer on the Town's General Ledger with approval of the Trustees of Town Donations.

Selectman Clark suggested placing a limit on the amount of time that income can be carried over from year to year.

Mr. Blacker asked how the cemetery operations work regarding income and principal. Ms. Dunn responded that the first thing the Town must do is to get the appropriation for the cemeteries out of the warrant and out of Town Meeting process. She added that the monies for the cemeteries will still be accounted for in the budget process, but it will not be an offset line item in the Highway Department Budget.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Funding for upkeep and maintenance needs further discussion and information gathering with regard to its impact on the funds, concluded Ms. Dunn. There is a need to find out if the money will meet the actual costs.

Chairman Blacker recommended implementing the proposed policies and procedures to determine if it will work, before considering implementing income-principal accounting procedures. It was noted that it is important to be looking at investments on an on-going basis.

With regard to No. 6 under objectives regarding appointing a Secretary to Trustees of Town Donations to prepare minutes, call meetings, and prepare and send the agenda, Ms. Dunn remarked that there has been an oversight in the Treasurer's office concerning communication, and that a appointment of a Secretary would ensure that notices and agendas for the meetings are sent out. Secretary Thompson stated that the Secretary to the Board has been the secretary for the Trustees of Town Donations and recommends that he be appointed to perform the duties of preparing minutes, calling meetings, and preparing and sending out the agendas.

Mr. Blacker suggested sending another letter to all Trust Fund beneficiaries with the necessary information about the amount of income to be received and stating their options for either accepting it or reverting it to principal and giving them a certain period of time in which to respond.

On motion by Chairman Blacker, it was unanimously

VOTED: To adopt the Investment Policy and Procedures and Interim FY94 Annual Report of Town Trust Funds dated August 24, 1994, from the Town Treasurer and Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn, subject to Town Counsel Paul L. Kenny's further review and approval.

Setting of Allocations - Town Trust Funds

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To table approval of setting allocations for expenditure for FY95 from the Town's Trust Funds until the Board's next scheduled meeting on October 11, 1994.

Trustees of Town Donations - Action Items

The Board was in receipt of a communication dated September 23, 1994, from Town Treasurer and Collector Mary Ellen Normen Dunn outlining action items for discussion at the Selectmen's meeting on September 26, 1994.

Subject to Town Counsel review, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve disbursement from the George J. Raymond Scholarship Fund of \$393.84 to Everett Gong, 1993-94 recipient of the scholarship award, and it was further

VOTED: To authorize the Treasurer to seize ten North Sudbury Burying Ground Association bank accounts at Middlesex Savings Bank which were using the Town's tax ID number - having no further identity/name(s) - and deposit same as principal in the central investment account of the Town Trust Funds, and it was further

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

VOTED: To authorize the Treasurer to close and transfer the balance of the passbook savings account which represents the Framingham Cooperative Bank Paid Up Shares to the central investment account.

Mr. Hans Lopater reported that the Goodnow Library Building Committee has narrowed down the number of architects for consideration from 15 to 3. He added that the State has appropriated funds in the amount of \$45 million and that Sudbury hopes to receive some of these funds for the Library, but will need to include a State Reimbursement Article at Town Meeting which says the Town approves of the building in order to obtain funds.

FY 1996-2001 Six-Year Capital Plan - Board of Selectmen

After a brief discussion, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To approve the Board of Selectmen's FY 1996-2001 six-year capital plan for submission to the Long Range Planning Committee containing the following projects.

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Voting Equipment | \$ 50,000 | FY 1996 |
| Transfer (Convenience) Station | \$ 150,000 | FY 1996 |
| Landfill Final Closure | \$ 500,000 | FY 1997 and FY 1998 |
| Town Offices Renovation | \$1,500,000 | FY 1997 |
| Postage Machine | \$ 10,000 | FY 1997 |

Board of Selectmen - Meeting Prior to Special Town Meeting - October 17, 1994

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To schedule a meeting of the Board at 7:00 p.m. on October 17, 1994, in the Library of the Lincoln-Sudbury Regional High School.

Memorandums of Understanding - Engineering, Highway and Supervisory

The Board acknowledged receipt of Memorandums of Understanding from the Engineering Local 105 dated September 20, 1994; Laborers' Public Employee Local 1156 dated September 13, 1994, and Sudbury Supervisory Association dated September 23, 1994. Ratifications of the foregoing were also received as follows: Engineering - September 20, 1994; Laborers - September 13, 1994, and Supervisory (Verbal) - September 23, 1994.

Chairman Blacker briefly explained the contents of the Memorandums of Understanding and how agreement was reached.

Secretary Thompson asked that the Board ratify the Memorandums of Understanding with a Health Insurance proviso.

On the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, it was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To ratify Memorandums of Understanding relative to Engineering, Highway, and Supervisory collective bargaining contracts for the period July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1997, subject to health insurance references to new benefits and further review by Labor Counsel Richard Murphy.

Metropolitan Area Planning Council

The Board acknowledged receipt of a communication dated September 22, 1994 from Metropolitan Area Planning Council Director David C. Soule acknowledging the Board's request in a communication to the MAPC dated September 13, 1994, for removal of the Route 20 Bypass Road from the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The communication received from the MAPC also advises the Town on action to be taken to substitute the Bypass with other roadway improvements.

Walkway Easement - Old Lancaster Road

It was on motion unanimously

VOTED: To accept on behalf of the Town a Walkway Easement dated June 28, 1994, granted by Deborah A. Bryant shown on a plan entitled: "Plan Showing Proposed Walkway Easement Over Land of Deborah A. Bryant, 321 Old Lancaster Road, Sudbury, MA", dated January 18, 1994, drawn by Town of Sudbury Engineering Department.

Meeting with Marlborough - Water Treatment Plant

Selectman Drobinski reported that the Environmental Protection Agency was present at the recent meeting he attended concerning the west side Water Treatment Plant in Marlborough. He indicated that there seemed to be an atmosphere of mutual cooperation and movement in a positive direction. Mr. Thompson informed there will be another meeting scheduled for October, and he will be helping to coordinate it.

Bicycle/Pedestrian Paths Committee

Selectman Clark reported she coordinated a response to Metrowest by Dr. Buttner and Safety Officer Ronald Conrado concerning the Metrowest Bicycle Commuting Map and classification of roads in Sudbury. A copy will be on file in the Selectmen's office.

Dudley Road - Signage

Selectman Clark informed that she talked with Safety Officer Ronald Conrado regarding the "Residents Only" sign at the intersection of Dudley Road and Nobscot Road and about the possibility of changing the sign to read "No Thru Traffic". She said she has noticed several areas in several towns that use this sign. Officer Conrado confirmed to Ms. Clark that a sign stating "No Thru Traffic" could be enforced. After a brief discussion regarding the fact that it might be difficult even with a different sign to identify who the residents are, it was suggested that placing a sticker on the rear window might alleviate this confusion. Ms. Clark stated that Officer Conrado will be reporting back to the Board.

IN BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1994

Citizen's for Sudbury's Future

An item not on the agenda, the Board was presented by Kirsten Roopenian with a memorandum dated September 26, 1994, from the Citizen's for Sudbury's Future, introducing themselves as a newly formed advocacy committee to support the November 8th Ballot Question No. 10 - Selectmen/Town Manager Form of Administration for Sudbury.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Attest: _____
Richard E. Thompson
Executive Secretary-Clerk